

91704

JOURNALS

OF THE

Legislative Assembly

OF THE

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO

*From the 10th February to 29th March, 1932
Both Days Inclusive*

IN THE TWENTY-SECOND YEAR OF THE REIGN OF OUR
SOVEREIGN LORD, KING GEORGE V.

BEING THE

Third Session of the Eighteenth Legislature of Ontario

SESSION 1932

PRINTED BY ORDER OF THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

VOL. LXVI.



ONTARIO

TORONTO

Printed and Published by Herbert H. Ball, Printer to the King's Most Excellent Majesty
1932



INDEX

To the Sixty-Sixth Volume

Journals of the

Legislative Assembly, Ontario

22 GEORGE V, 1932

ACCOUNTS, PUBLIC:—See *Public Accounts*.

ADMINISTRATOR, THE:

1. Proclamation calling Assembly, 1.
2. His Speech at opening of the House, 2.
3. Assents to Bills, 60, 193.
4. Presents Public Accounts, 80.
5. Recommends Resolutions to House, 62, 88, 126, 134, 135, 136, 147, 148, 158.
6. Presents Estimates to House, 99, 139.
7. His Speech at closing of House, 193.

AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE AT GUELPH:

Question (No. 28) as to whether a suite of rooms is maintained for use of Ministers, 77.

AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS, ACT TO ASSIST CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES IN MARKETING:

Bill (No. 113) introduced, 91. Second Reading, 125. House in Committee, 152. Third Reading, 182. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 16.)

AGRICULTURE AND COLONIZATION, COMMITTEE ON:

1. Authorized, 10.
2. Appointed, 20.
3. Name of Mr. Hambly added, 27.
4. Report, 157.

AGRICULTURE, DEPARTMENT OF:

1. Report for year 1931, 99. (*Sessional Paper No. 21.*)
2. Report of Statistical Branch for year 1931, 100. (*Sessional Paper No. 22.*)
3. Question (No. 29) as to employment of efficiency experts in, 96.

AMUSEMENTS TAX ACT, THE:

Bill (No. 132) to amend, introduced, 120. Resolution introduced. Administrator's recommendation signified. Passed through House and referred to Bill, 136. Second Reading, 137. House in Committee, 163. Third Reading on division, 183. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 9.)

APPRENTICESHIP ACT, THE:

1. Mentioned in Speech from Throne, 4.
2. Question (No. 9) as to arrears of assessment under, 52.
3. Bill (No. 81) to amend, introduced, 24. Second Reading, 31. House in Committee and progress reported, 39. House in Committee and reported, 159. Third Reading, 182. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 44.)

ARCHIVES AND PUBLIC RECORDS, DEPARTMENT OF:

Report for year 1931, 70. (*Sessional Paper No. 39.*)

ART PURPOSES, COMMITTEE FOR:

Appointed, 21.

ASSESSMENT ACT, THE:

1. Bill (No. 85) to amend, introduced, 31. Second Reading and referred to Committee on Municipal Laws, 38. Incorporated in Bill (No. 146), 140.
2. Bill (No. 117) to amend, introduced, 93. Second Reading and referred to Committee on Municipal Laws, 117. Not reported, 141.

ASSESSMENT AMENDMENT ACT, 1932:

Bill (No. 146) introduced, 141. Second Reading, 151. House in Committee, 165. Third Reading, 185. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 31.)

ATHLETIC COMMISSION, THE ONTARIO:

See *Ontario*.

AUDITOR, PROVINCIAL:

Report for year 1931, 92. (*Sessional Paper No. 27.*)

BACKUS-BROOKS COMPANY:

Question (No. 65) as to whether it was connected with tenders for Garden Lake Area, 116.

BACKUS, E. W.:

Question (No. 65) as to whether he was connected with tenders for Garden Lake Area, 116.

BARBER SHOPS IN THE PROVINCE OF ONTARIO, ACT TO REGULATE:

Bill (No. 98) introduced, 70. Motion for Second Reading lost on division, 126.

BATTERY SERVICE STATIONS, STORAGE:

See *Storage*.

BAYLY, E.:

Examined by Committee on Public Accounts, 132.

BEAUHARNOIS POWER CONTRACT:

1. Question (No. 11) as to who signed contract for power from, on behalf of Provincial Hydro, 53.
2. Question (No. 17) as to date of signing, 64.
3. Motion for production of contract and all correspondence in connection therewith, 186.

BEER:

See *Liquor*.

BESSBOROUGH, EARL AND COUNTESS OF:

Mentioned in Speech from Throne, 2.

BIRTHS, MARRIAGES AND DEATHS:

Report for year ending December 31st, 1931, 157. (*Sessional Paper No. 13.*)

BLACK BAY PENINSULA:

Question (No. 24) as to area on, leased to Newaga Company, and cutting of pulpwood thereon, 95.

BLIND RIVER, TOWN OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 38. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 67. Bill (No. 61) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 69. Reported, 106. Second Reading, 118. House in Committee, 128. Third Reading, 133. Royal Assent, 190. (22 George V, c. 58.)

BOILER INSPECTORS:

Question (No. 60) as to chief inspector and his assistants, 98.

BOOK DEBTS ACT, THE ASSIGNMENT OF:

Bill (No. 109) to amend introduced, 77. Second Reading, 87. House in Committee, 119. Referred back to Committee, amended and reported, 127. Third Reading, 133. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 48.)

BOOTH, TOWNSHIP OF:

Question (No. 19) as to any lease given in, 94.

BRAMPTON, TOWN OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 17. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 65. Bill (No. 12) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 68. Reported, 76. Second Reading, 81. House in Committee, 84. Third Reading, 111. Royal Assent, 188. (22 George V, c. 59.)

BRANTFORD, CITY OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 30. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 34. Bill (No. 43) introduced and referred to Ontario Railway and Municipal Board, 36. Reported and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 74. Reported, 90. Second Reading, 98. House in Committee, 118. Third Reading, 121. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 60.)

BUDGET:

See *Supply*.

BULK SALES ACT, THE:

Bill (No. 91) to repeal, introduced, 43. Second Reading and referred to Committee on Legal Bills, 80. Not reported, 131.

BUREAU OF MUNICIPAL AFFAIRS:

Amalgamation with Ontario Railway and Municipal Board forecast in Speech from Throne, 5.

BURT, MR.:

1. Election of, reported to House, 8.
2. Takes his seat, 10.

CALHOUN, ARRY ELLEN MAYER, ET AL, TRUST SETTLEMENT OF :

Petition for an Act respecting, 15. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 65. Bill (No. 20) introduced and referred to Commissioners on Estate Bills, 67. Reported and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 110. Reported, 130. Second Reading, 150. House in Committee, 166. Third Reading, 186. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 112.)

CALVIN:

Question (No. 61) as to timekeeper at Camp 101 at Calvin, 116.

CANADA, TRANS-:

See *Trans.*

CANCER, COMMISSION:

1. Mentioned in Speech from Throne, 4.
2. Question (No. 37) as to membership and cost of, 85.
3. Report, 89. (*Sessional Paper No. 41.*)

CAPITAL ACCOUNT:

Question (No. 47) as to borrowing for, October 31st, 1925, to October 31st, 1931, 145.

CARLETON, COUNTY OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 15. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 24. Bill (No. 14) introduced and referred to Ontario Railway and Municipal Board, 25. Reported and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 37. Reported, 45. Second Reading, 53. House in Committee, 61. Third Reading, 84. Royal Assent, 188. (22 George V, c. 61.)

CEMETERY ACT, THE:

Bill (No. 72) to amend, introduced, 55. Second Reading, 64. House in Committee, 79. Third Reading, 111. Royal Assent, 190. (22 George V, c. 40.)

CHALLIES, GEORGE HOLMES:

1. His acceptance of Public Office reported to House, 6.
2. Election of, reported to House, 8.

CHATS FALLS POWER DEVELOPMENT:

Referred to in Speech from Throne, 3.

CHILDREN'S PROTECTION ACT, THE:

Bill (No. 71) to amend, introduced, 59. Second Reading, 65. House in Committee, 79. Third Reading, 111. Royal Assent, 190. (22 George V, c. 37.)

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION, THE

Report for year ending October 31st, 1931, 43. (*Sessional Paper No. 35.*)

COBOURC, TOWN OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 16. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 65. Bill (No. 25) introduced and referred to Ontario Railway and Municipal Board, 68. Reported by Committee on Private Bills, 106. Second Reading, 117. House in Committee, 128. Third Reading, 133. Reference to Ontario Railway Board rescinded and Rule 72 suspended, 141. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 62.)

COLLECTION AGENCIES, ACT RESPECTING:

Bill (No. 126) introduced, 111. Second Reading, 125. House in Committee, 153. Referred back to Committee, amended and reported, 163. Third Reading, 182. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 51.)

COLONIZATION ROADS ACT, THE:

Report of operations under, for year ending October 31st, 1931, 70. (*Sessional Paper No. 38.*)

COMMISSIONERS OF ESTATE BILLS:

Bills referred to:

- (a) No. 7, referred, 25. Reported, 100.
- (b) No. 60, referred, 36. Reported, 100.
- (c) No. 20, referred, 67. Reported, 109.

COMMITTEES:

1. Standing Committees authorized, 10.
2. Striking Committee appointed, 13.
3. Committee on Standing Orders appointed, 17.
4. Committee on Privileges and Elections appointed, 19.
5. Committee on Railways appointed, 19.
6. Committee on Private Bills appointed, 19.
7. Committee on Public Accounts appointed, 19.
8. Committee on Printing appointed, 20.
9. Committee on Municipal Law appointed, 20.
10. Committee on Legal Bills appointed, 20.
11. Committee on Agriculture and Colonization appointed, 20.
12. Committee on Fish and Game appointed, 21.
13. Committee on Labour appointed, 21.
14. Committee on Art Purposes appointed, 21.
15. Committee to assist in management of Library appointed, 22.
(For Reports see under name of committee.)

COMMITTEES, SELECT:

1. Report of Committee on amendments to Municipal Act, 57.
Reappointed, 187.
2. To consider amendments to Mechanics Lien Act, appointed, 59. Report,
131.

COMPANIES ACT, THE:

Report of Secretary and Registrar re administration of, for 1931, 157.
(*Sessional Paper No. 48.*)

COMPANIES INFORMATION ACT:

Report of Secretary and Registrar on administration of, for 1931, 157.
(*Sessional Paper No. 48.*)

CONDITIONAL SALES ACT:

Bill (No. 100) to amend, introduced, 76. Second Reading, 126. House
in Committee, 162. Third Reading, 182. Royal Assent, 191. (22
George V, c. 18.)

CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUND:

1. Motion for loan of \$40,000,000.00 on credit of, 148.
2. Act for raising money on credit of: Bill (No. 143) introduced, 133. Second Reading, 159. House in Committee, 165. Third Reading, 186. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 10.)

CONSUMPTIVES, ACCOMMODATION FOR:

Mentioned in Speech from Throne, 4.

CO-OPERATIVE ASSOCIATION:

See *Agriculture*.

CORPORATIONS ACT, THE EXTRA PROVINCIAL:

Report of Secretary and Registrar re administration of, for 1931, 157. (*Sessional Paper No. 48.*)

CORPORATION SECURITIES, ACT TO MAKE UNIFORM THE LAW RESPECTING REGISTRATION OF:

Bill (No. 108) introduced, 77. Second Reading, 87. House in Committee, 119. Third Reading, 121. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 50.)

CORPORATIONS TAX ACT, THE:

Bill (No. 128) to amend, introduced, 111. Resolution introduced, Administrator's recommendation signified. Passed through House, and referred to Bill, 134. Second Reading, 137. House in Committee, 163. Third Reading on division, 182. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 8.)

CROWLAND, TOWNSHIP OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 15. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 65. Bill (No. 9) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 68. Reported, 75. Second Reading, 81. House in Committee, 84. Third Reading, 111. Royal Assent, 188. (22 George V, c. 63.)

CROWLEY AND MCCrackEN COMPANY:

Question (No. 31) as to contract held by, on Unemployment Relief Work, 112.

DEBATES:

1. On motion for address in reply to Speech from Throne, 13, 26, 29, 37, 41, 47, 55.
2. On point raised by Mr. Nixon regarding appointment of counsel to represent the Opposition before the Royal Commission inquiring into certain Hydro-Electric matters, 22.
3. On motion to go into Supply, 80, 91, 99, 107.
4. On motion for Second Reading of Bill (No. 118), An Act for the better Protection of Owners and Operators of Storage Battery Service Stations, 117.
5. On motion for Second Reading of Bill (No. 98), An Act to Regulate Barber Shops in the Province of Ontario, 126.
6. On motion for Second Reading of Bill (No. 137), An Act to amend The Game and Fisheries Act, 137.
7. On motion for a year's delay in the appointment of a Lieutenant-Governor, 147.
8. On motion for a Select Committee to consider methods of improving preparation of Voters' Lists, 147.
9. On motion for Second Reading of Bill (No. 147), The Fuel Oil Tax Act, 161.

DEBT RETIREMENT SINKING FUND:

Question (No. 69) as to amount set aside for retirement of Highway Debt since inauguration of debt retirement plan, 146.

DENTAL SERVICES:

Mentioned in Speech from Throne, 4.

DIVISIONS IN THE HOUSE:

1. On amendment to amendment to motion for address in reply to Speech from the Throne, 55.
2. On motion for address in reply, 57.
3. On amendment to motion to go into Supply, 107.
4. On motion to go into Supply, 108.
5. On motion for Second Reading of Bill (No. 147), The Fuel Oil Tax Act, 161.
6. On motion for Third Reading of Bill (No. 128), An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 183.
7. On motion for Third Reading of Bill (No. 132), An Act to amend The Amusements Tax Act, 184.
8. On motion for Third Reading of Bill (No. 133), The Gasoline Tax Act, 1932, 185.

DOMINION MINES AND QUARRIES:

Question (No. 36) as to purchase of rock from, for road work on Manitoulin Island, 1930 and 1931, 96.

DRAINAGE, AN ACT RESPECTING INTER-PROVINCIAL:

Bill (No. 103) introduced, 76. Second Reading, 87. House in Committee, 119. Third Reading, 121. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 52.)

DUNDAS, ELECTORAL DIVISION OF:

1. Vacancy in, notified to the House, 6.
2. Result of By-election reported, 8.

EAST BLOCK:

Question (No. 30) as to cost of, etc., 77.

EAST WHITBY, TOWNSHIP OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 16. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 34. Bill (No. 31) introduced and referred to Ontario Railway and Municipal Board, 35. Reported, and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 71. Reported, 90. Second Reading, 98. House in Committee, 118. Third Reading, 121. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 64.)

EAST WINDSOR, CITY OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 45. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 66. Bill (No. 27) introduced and referred to Ontario Railway and Municipal Board, 68. Reported by Committee on Private Bills, 130. Reference to Ontario Railway Board, rescinded, 141. Second Reading, 150. House in Committee, 167. Third Reading, 189. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 65.)

EAST YORK, TOWNSHIP OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 15. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 65. Bill (No. 19) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 67. Reported, 75. Second Reading, 81. House in Committee, 84. Third Reading, 133. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 66.)

EDUCATION:

1. Report of Department for year 1931, 157. (*Sessional Paper No. 11.*)
2. Question (No. 10) as to school grants for Manitoulin Island in years 1930 and 1931, 52.
3. Comparative statement of Legislative grants apportioned to rural Public and Separate Schools, 1931, 17. (*Sessional Paper No. 30.*)
4. Return of Regulations and Orders-in-Council made under, relating to Public, Separate and High Schools, 28. (*Sessional Paper No. 34.*)
5. Question (No. 48) as to number of Public and High School Inspectors and their salaries, 97.
6. Act to amend the School Laws, Bill (No. 123) introduced, 94. Second Reading, 125. House in Committee, 162. Third Reading, 182. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 42.)

EFFICIENCY EXPERTS:

Question (No. 29) as to whether any are working in various Government Departments, 96.

ELECTIONS:

Records of By-elections laid on Table, 9. (*Sessional Paper, No. 25.*)

EMBALMERS AND FUNERAL DIRECTORS ACT:

Bill (No. 92) to amend, introduced, 43. Second Reading, 53. House in Committee, 61. Third Reading, 111. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 45.)

EMPLOYMENT OFFICER, EXTRA-MURAL:

Report for year ending October 31st, 1931, 140. (*Sessional Paper No. 18.*)

ENGINEERS, ACT RESPECTING OPERATING:

Bill (No. 82) introduced, 27. Second Reading, 30. House in Committee, 32. Referred back to Committee, amended and reported, 127. Third Reading, 133. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 23.)

ENGINEERS, ASSOCIATION OF PROFESSIONAL:

Petition for an Act respecting, 30. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 66. Bill (No. 56) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 69. Not reported, 130.

EPILEPTICS:

See *Hospitals.*

ESSEX BORDER UTILITIES COMMISSION:

Petition for an Act respecting, 54. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 66. Bill (No. 54) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 69. Reported, 130. Second Reading, 150. House in Committee, 167. Third Reading, 186. Royal Assent, 190. (22 George V, c. 97.)

ESTATE BILLS, COMMISSIONERS OF:

Bills referred to:

- (a) No. 7, referred, 25. Reported, 100.
- (b) No. 60, referred, 36. Reported, 100.
- (c) No. 20, referred 67. Reported, 110.

ESTIMATES:

1. Supplementary Estimates for 1932, 99.
2. Main Estimates for 1933, 139. (*Sessional Paper No. 2.*)

FACTORY ACT, THE:

Amendments to, suggested in Speech from Throne, 4.

FACTORIES, SHOPS AND OFFICE BUILDINGS, ACT FOR THE PROTECTION OF PERSONS EMPLOYED IN:

Bill (No. 124) introduced, 107. Second Reading, 125. House in Committee, 160. Third Reading, 182. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 35.)

FARMS ACT, THE INDUSTRIAL:

See *Industrial Farms.*

FEEBLE-MINDED:

See *Hospitals.*

FERGUS, VILLAGE OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 15. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 65. Bill (No. 23) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 68. Reported, 75. Second Reading, 81. House in Committee, 84. Third Reading, 112. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 67.)

FISH AND GAME, COMMITTEE ON:

1. Authorized, 10.
2. Appointed, 21.
3. Report, 129.

FISH AND GAME, RESOURCES OF PROVINCE:

Commission of investigation referred to in Speech from Throne, 5.

FORT ERIE, TOWN OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 16. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 24. Bill (No. 37) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 26. Reported, 40. Second Reading, 42. House in Committee, 44. Third Reading, 83. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 68.)

FOWL ACT, 1929, TRANSPORTATION OF:

Bill (No. 127) to amend, introduced, 111. Second Reading, and referred to Committee on Municipal Laws, 125. Reported, 140. House in Committee, 166. Third Reading, 186. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 47.)

FRASER, MARY MELISSA MAYER:

See *Calhoun*.

FRUIT AND VEGETABLE GROWERS MARKETS COUNCIL:

Mentioned in Speech from Throne, 3.

FUEL OIL:

Motion for a tax on, 159.

FUEL OIL TAX ACT, THE:

Resolution introduced. Administrator's recommendation signified. Passed through House and referred to the Bill, 1:8. Bill (No. 147) introduced, 161. Second Reading on division, 161. House in Committee, 165. Third Reading, 186. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 12.)

FUNERAL DIRECTORS:

See *Embalmers*.

GAME AND FISH, COMMITTEE ON:

See *Fish*.

GAME AND FISHERIES ACT, THE:

Bill (No. 137) to amend, introduced, 121. Second Reading, after a debate, 137. House in Committee, 163. Third Reading, 185. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 41.)

GAME AND FISHERIES, DEPARTMENT OF:

Report for 1931, 140. (*Sessional Paper No. 9*.)

GARDEN LAKE AREA:

Question (No. 65) as to leasing of area and who were the tenderers, 116.

GAS, NATURAL:

Motion for change in tax on, 149. (For Bill, see *Mining Tax Act*.)

GASOLINE TAX:

1. Question (No. 12) as to arrears in payment of, 64.
2. Question (No. 54) as to total collection, rebates and commissions paid, 1930-31, 98.
3. Motion for increase of tax to six (6) cents per gallon, 136.

GASOLINE TAX ACT, 1932, THE:

Bill (No. 133) introduced, 120. Resolution introduced. Administrator's recommendation signified, passed through House and referred to Bill 136. Second Reading, 137. House in Committee, 163. Third Reading on division, 184. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 11.)

GATINEAU POWER COMPANY:

1. Question (No. 1) as to details of contract for power made by Hydro-Electric Power Commission, 48.
2. Question (No. 38) as to what lawyer represented the Hydro Commission in negotiating contract, 121.
3. Question (No. 40) as to whether any power sold to, by Ontario Hydro-Electric Commission, 113.

GLOUCESTER, TOWNSHIP OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 16. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 66. Bill (No. 28) introduced and referred to Ontario Railway and Municipal Board, 68. Reported, 82. Referred to Committee on Private Bills, 82. Reported, 106. Second Reading, 117. House in Committee, 128. Third Reading, 133. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 69.)

GODFREY, FORBES E.:

Death of, reported to House, 7.

GOLDIE, LINCOLN:

Death of, reported to House, 7.

GOVERNMENT, THE:

Question (No. 4) as to employment by, of Mr. W. N. Tilley, 142.

GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS:

Question (No. 29) as to whether efficiency experts are investigating Government Departments, 96.

GOVERNMENT LOAN:

Question (No. 66) as to net amount received from recent loan, 124.

GRAND RIVER CONSERVATION COMMISSION ACT, THE:

Bill (No. 96) introduced, 60. Second Reading, 73. House in Committee, 79. Referred back to Committee, amended and reported, 127. Third Reading, 133. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 55.)

GREER, CHARLES:

Question (No. 20) as to number of ties cut by, in Gull River Limit, 94.

GUARANTEE COMPANIES INSURANCE ACT, THE:

Return of Orders-in-Council made under, 28. (*Sessional Paper No. 32.*)

GUELPH, CITY OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 14. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 23. Bill (No. 6) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 25. Reported, 106. Second Reading, 117. House in Committee, 128. Third Reading, 133. Royal Assent, 188. (22 George V, c. 70.)

GULL RIVER LIMIT:

Question (No. 20) as to ties cut by Charles Greer, 94.

HALTON, COUNTY OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 15. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 24. Bill (No. 16) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 26. Reported, 40. Second Reading, 42. House in Committee, 44. Third Reading, 83. Royal Assent, 188. (22 George V, c. 71.)

HALTON, COUNTY OF:

Question (No. 27) as to who is Registrar for, 95.

HAMBLY, MR.:

Name added to Committee on Agriculture and Colonization, 27.

HAMILTON, CITY OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 18. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 34. Bill (No. 44) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 43. Reported, 106. Second Reading, 118. House in Committee, 128. Third Reading, 133. Royal Assent, 190. (22 George V, c. 72.)

HAMILTON, CITY OF, AND HAMILTON BOARD OF PARK MANAGEMENT:

Petition for an Act respecting, 23. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 34. Bill (No. 52) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 44. Reported, 55. Second Reading, 60. House in Committee, 63. Third Reading, 84. Royal Assent, 190. (22 George V, c. 73.)

HAMILTON STREET RAILWAY ACT, THE:

Bill (No. 106) to amend, introduced, 76. Second Reading, 87. House in Committee, 119. Third Reading, 121. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 57.)

HANNIGAN, T. J.:

Question (No. 21) as to whether any payments made to, by Ontario Hydro-Electric Commission, 85.

HEALTH ACT, THE PUBLIC:

Bill (No. 93) to amend, introduced, 43. Second Reading, 54. House in Committee, 62. Third Reading, 111. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 34.)

HEALTH, DEPARTMENT OF

1. Mentioned in Speech from Throne, 3.
2. Report for 1931, 139. (*Sessional Paper, No. 14.*)

HEWARD, TRUST SETTLEMENT OF CHARLES GRANVILLE:

Petition for an Act respecting, 15. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 23. Bill (No. 7) introduced and referred to Commissioners of Estate Bills, 25. Reported against by Commissioners, 100.

HIGHWAY DEBT RETIREMENT:

Question (No. 69) as to amount set aside for, since inauguration of debt retirement plan, 146.

HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT FUND:

Statement showing all sums credited to and charged to, for year ending October 31st, 1931, 70. (*Sessional Paper No. 40.*)

HIGHWAY TRAFFIC ACT, 1932, THE:

Bill (No. 97) introduced, 70. Second Reading, 78. House in Committee, 89. Third Reading, 112. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 32.)

HIGHWAYS, DEPARTMENT OF:

1. Report for 1930 and 1931, 140. (*Sessional Paper No. 46.*)
2. Question (No. 16) as to cost of constructing cut-off on Highway No. 2, near Lancaster, 77.
3. Question (No. 18) as to cost of resurfacing King's Highway No. 2, between Napanee and Odessa, 73.
4. Question (No. 32) as to cost of changing names from Provincial Highways to King's Highways, 96.
5. Question (No. 45) as to borrowings for, in years 1924 to 1931, 145.
6. Question (No. 62) as to number of motor licenses issued to Government Departments or employees free of charge, 116.
7. Question (No. 64) as to cost of cleaning snow on, in Residency 7, during winter of 1931-2, 146.

HOGARTH, GEORGE:

Examined by Committee on Public Accounts, 132.

HOGG, FREDERICK D.:

Examined by Committee on Public Accounts, 132.

HOGG & HOGG:

Legal expenses paid to, investigated by Committee on Public Accounts, 132.

HOLLAND LANDING, VILLAGE OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 15. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 24. Bill (No. 22) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 25. Reported, 46. Second Reading, 53. House in Committee, 61. Third Reading, 84. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 74.)

HOSPITALS AND SANATORIA, INSPECTOR OF:

Report for year ending September 30th, 1931, 139. (*Sessional Paper No. 17.*)

HOSPITALS FOR INSANE, FEEBLE-MINDED, AND EPILEPTIC:

Report for 1931, 187. (*Sessional Paper No. 15.*)

HORSESHOERS AND CARRIAGE WORKERS ASSOCIATION:

Petition for Act respecting, 31. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 34. Bill (No. 35) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 38. Withdrawn.

HOUSE, THE:

1. Proclamation calling, 1.
2. House meets, 2.
3. Sits after midnight, 47, 99, 137, 155, 184.
4. Motion to sit at 11.00 o'clock a.m., 151.
5. Prorogues, 195.

HUNTSVILLE, TOWN OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 15. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 23. Bill (No. 11) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 25. Reported, 40. Second Reading, 42. House in Committee, 44. Third Reading, 83. Royal Assent, 188. (22 George V, c. 75.)

HYDRO-ELECTRIC POWER COMMISSION OF ONTARIO:

1. Increase in rural demands for power mentioned in Speech from Throne, 3.
2. New developments of power referred to in Speech from Throne, 3.
3. Motion for a Return of all schedules of insurance of the Commission. Return ordered, 78. Returned, 92.
4. Motion requiring an Act of the Legislature to confirm all contracts for power, etc. Defeated after debate, 187.

HYDRO-ELECTRIC POWER COMMISSION OF ONTARIO—*Continued*

5. Motion for production of contract with Beauharnois and all correspondence in connection therewith, 186.
6. Question (No. 1) as to details of contract made by, for Gatineau Power, 48.
7. Question (No. 2) as to members of Commission and their remuneration, 49.
8. Question (No. 3) as to employment by, of Mr. W. N. Tilley, 178.
9. Question (No. 11) as to signing of contract for Beauharnois Power, 53.
10. Question (No. 15) as to blanket insurance policy placed by, to protect individual commissions. Return ordered, 64. Returned, 91.
11. Question (No. 17) as to date of signing contract for Beauharnois Power, 64.
12. Question (No. 21) as to whether any payments made by, to T. J. Hannigan or Ontario Municipal Electrical Association, 85.
13. Question (No. 38) as to legal representatives of, in negotiating Gatineau Power Contract, 121.
14. Question (No. 39) as to power available for, in years 1930, 1931, 1932 and 1933, 85.
15. Question (No. 40) as to whether Commission has sold any power to Gatineau Power Company, 113.
16. Question (No. 46) as to purchase of power plant at Sydenham and at what price, 122.
17. Question (No. 68) as to total number of employees and total salary bill, 64.
18. Question (No. 70) as to when Maple Hill power plant was purchased, price paid, etc., 181.
19. Report for year 1931, 157. (*Sessional Paper No. 26.*)

IMPERIAL ECONOMIC CONFERENCE:

Mentioned in Speech from Throne, 2.

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, THE:

Legislation regarding, suggested in Speech from Throne, 4.

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES, ACT TO AID IN THE PREVENTION AND SETTLEMENT OF:

Bill (No. 76) introduced, 12. Second Reading, 53. House in Committee, 119. Third Reading, 181. Royal Assent, 190. (22 George V, c. 20.)

INDUSTRIAL FARMS:

Introduction of vocational training in, referred to in Speech from Throne, 4.

INDUSTRIAL FARMS ACT, THE:

Bill (No. 70) to amend, introduced, 59. Second Reading, 73. House in Committee, 79. Third Reading, 111. Royal Assent, 190. (22 George V, c. 43.)

INSANE:

See *Hospitals*.

INSURANCE ACT, THE:

1. Bill (No. 112) to amend, introduced, 90. Second Reading, 125. House in Committee, 152. Third Reading, 182. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 24.)
2. Bill (No. 115) to amend, introduced, 91. Second Reading and referred to Committee on Legal Bills, 137. Reported, 141. House in Committee, 166. Third Reading, 186. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 24.)
3. Bill (No. 78) Respecting Automobile Insurance, to amend, introduced, 17. Second Reading, 39. House in Committee, 54, 118. Third Reading, 121. Royal Assent, 190. (22 George V, c. 25.)
4. Return of Orders-in-Council made under, 28. (*Sessional Paper, No. 32*.)

INSURANCE (TEMPORARY PROVISIONS) ACT, 1932:

Bill (No. 131) introduced, 120. Second Reading, 137. House in Committee, 153. Third Reading, 182. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 26.)

INSURANCE ON HYDRO PROPERTIES:

See *Hydro*.

INSURANCE, SUPERINTENDENT OF:

Report for year 1931, 100. (*Sessional Paper No. 6*.)

INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY:

Question (No. 25) as to cutting of pulpwood by, on Nipigon Limit, 1930-31, and 1931-2, 95.

JUSTICES OF THE PEACE:

Act respecting administration of Oaths to, 10.

KENORA, DISTRICT OF:

1. Question (No. 43) as to purchase of implements for Trans-Canada Highway in, 122.
2. Question (No. 51) as to damages in, by floods on Winnipeg River, 114.

KENORA, TOWN OF:

Fees, less penalties and cost of printing, on Bill (No. 3), 1931, remitted, 41.

KENORA, TOWN OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 31. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 34. Bill (No. 21) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 36. Reported, 55. Second Reading, 60. House in Committee, 63. Third Reading, 84. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 76.)

KING'S HIGHWAYS:

1. Additions to, mentioned in Speech from Throne, 4.
2. Question (No. 32) as to cost of changing names on, 96.
3. Question (No. 64) as to cost of cleaning snow in Residency No. 7, winter of 1931-2, 146.

KINGSTON, ROMAN CATHOLIC EPISCOPAL CORPORATION OF:

See *Roman Catholic*.

KIRKLAND LAKE:

Question (No. 33) as to grants to Separate School at, 78.

LABOUR ACT, DEPARTMENT OF:

Bill (No. 80) to amend, introduced, 24. Second Reading, 29. House in Committee, 32. Third Reading, 111. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 15.)

LABOUR, COMMITTEE ON:

1. Authorized, 10.
2. Appointed, 21.

LABOUR, DEPARTMENT OF:

1. Mentioned in Speech from Throne, 4.
2. Report of, for year 1931, 81. (*Sessional Paper No. 10.*)

LA CLOCHE, LITTLE CURRENT FERRY:

1. Question (No. 14) as to cost of, and use of, 72.
2. Question (No. 41) as to number of cars which used ferry during 1930 and 1931 and as to bonus to operator, 97.

LANDS AND FORESTS, DEPARTMENT OF:

1. Question (No. 65) as to applications to, for lease of Garden Lake Area, 116.
2. Report for year 1931, 120. (*Sessional Paper No. 3.*)

LEDGER, TOWNSHIP OF:

Question (No. 19) as to lease given in, 94.

LEGAL BILLS, COMMITTEE ON:

1. Authorized, 10.
2. Appointed, 20.
3. Name of Mr. Strickland added, 27.
4. Reports, 131, 141.

LEGAL OFFICES, INSPECTOR OF:

Report for year ending December 31st, 1931, 92. (*Sessional Paper No. 5.*)

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY, THE:

See *House*.

LIBRARY, COMMITTEE FOR MANAGEMENT OF:

Appointed, 22.

LIEUTENANT-GOVERNOR:

1. Motion by Mr. Nixon asking that no appointment be made for a year. Defeated, 147.
2. See also *Administrator*.

LIQUOR CONTROL ACT:

Bill (No. 99) to amend, introduced, 71. Second Reading, 78. House in Committee, 89. Referred back to Committee, amended and reported, 127. Third Reading, 133. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 33.)

LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD, THE:

Report for year ending October 31st, 1931, 27. (*Sessional Paper No. 20.*)

LIQUOR PERMITS:

Question (No. 53) as to total number issued and revenue from, 114.

LIQUOR, WINES AND BEERS:

1. Question (No. 52) as to amount imported by Liquor Control Board in 1930-1931 and cost of same, 123.
2. Question (No. 55) as to total sale of, last fiscal year, 115.

LIVE STOCK AND LIVE STOCK PRODUCTS:

Bill (No. 111) respecting, introduced, 83. Second Reading, 125. House in Committee, 152. Third Reading, 182. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 38.)

LOAN ACT, 1932, THE ONTARIO:

Bill (No. 138) introduced, 132. Resolution introduced, Administrator's recommendation signified. Passed through House and referred to Bill, 148. Second Reading, 151. House in Committee, 164. Third Reading, 185. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 2.)

LOAN BILL, THE:

Bill (No. 148) introduced, 178. Second Reading, 178. Third Reading, 178. Royal Assent, 193. (22 George V, c. 1.)

LOAN CORPORATIONS, REGISTRAR OF:

Report for year 1931, 100. (*Sessional Paper No. 7.*)

LOAN, GOVERNMENT:

Motion for authority to raise by way of a loan a sum not exceeding \$40,000,000.00, 148.

LOAN, GOVERNMENT:

Question (No. 66) as to net amount received by Government from, 124.

LOCAL IMPROVEMENT ACT, THE:

1. Bill (No. 86) to amend, introduced, 31. Second Reading, and referred to Committee on Municipal Law, 39. Incorporated in Bill (No. 145), 140.
2. Bill (No. 95) to amend, introduced, 60. Second Reading, 70. Referred to Committee on Municipal Law, 80. Incorporated in Bill (No. 145), 140.
3. Bill (No. 121) to amend, introduced, 93. Second Reading and referred to Committee on Municipal Laws, 117. Incorporated in Bill (No. 145), 140.

LOCAL IMPROVEMENT AMENDMENT ACT, 1932:

Bill (No. 145) introduced, 141. Second Reading, 151. House in Committee, 164. Third Reading, 185. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 30.)

LONDON, CITY OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 16. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 35. Bill (No. 24) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 36. Reported, 45. Second Reading, 53. House in Committee, 61. Third Reading, 84. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 77.)

LONDON, WOMEN'S CHRISTIAN ASSOCIATION OF:

See *Women's*.

MANITOULIN ISLAND:

Question (No. 10) as to school grants in, years 1930 and 1931, 52.

MAPLE HILL POWER PLANT:

Question (No. 70) as to when it was taken over by Ontario Hydro-Electric Commission, price paid, etc., 181.

MARTIN, JOHN STRICKLER:

Death reported to House, 6.

MARTIN, MR. (HAMILTON WEST):

1. Moves address in Reply to Speech from Throne, 13.

MAYER, KATHLEEN G.:

See *Calhoun*.

MECHANICS LIEN ACT, THE:

1. Amendment to, suggested in Speech from Throne, 4.
2. Select Committee to consider proposed amendments, appointed, 59. Granted leave to sit concurrently with House, 106. Report, 131.
3. Bill (No. 139) to amend, introduced, 132. Second Reading, 149. House in Committee, 166. Third Reading, 186. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 19.)

MEDICAL ACT, THE:

Bill (No. 105) to amend, introduced, 76. Second Reading, 125. House in Committee, 160. Third Reading, 182. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 22.)

MEMBERS OF THE HOUSE:

1. Return of elections of, laid on Table, 9.
2. Motion for payment of Sessional indemnity to, 187.

MIDDLETON, HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE:

Report re proposed amendments to The Workmen's Compensation Act, 45.

MILLAR, CHARLES, AN ACT RESPECTING THE ESTATE OF:

Bill (No. 141) introduced, 132. Second Reading, 151. Withdrawn, 160.

MILTON, TOWN OF:

Question (No. 26) as to who is Police Magistrate in, 95.

MIMICO, TOWN OF, AND TOWN OF NEW TORONTO:

Petition for an Act respecting, 40. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 67. Bill (No. 64) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 69. Reported, 106. Second Reading, 118. House in Committee, 128. Third Reading, 133. Royal Assent, 190. (22 George V, c. 78.)

MINES, DEPARTMENT OF:

Report for year 1931, 100. (*Sessional Paper No. 4.*)

MINIMUM WAGE ACT, THE:

Bill (No. 77) to amend, introduced, 12. Second Reading, 22. House in Committee, 32. Third Reading, 111. Royal Assent, 190. (22 George V, c. 36.)

MINIMUM WAGE BOARD:

Report for 1931, 140. (*Sessional Paper No. 47.*)

MINING ACT, THE:

Bill (No. 101) to amend, introduced, 76. Second Reading, 126. House in Committee, 162. Third Reading, 182. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 13.)

MINING INDUSTRY:

Mentioned in Speech from Throne, 3.

MINING TAX ACT, THE:

Bill (No. 136) to amend, introduced, 121. Resolution introduced, Administrator's recommendation signified. Passed through House and referred to Bill, 149. Second Reading, 151. House in Committee, 165. Third Reading, 186. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 7.)

MINISTERS OF THE CROWN:

Question (No. 28) as to whether suite of rooms is maintained for use of, at Agricultural College at Guelph, 77.

MORTGAGES, ACT TO GIVE FURTHER POWER TO COURTS WITH RESPECT TO THE RECOVERY OF MONEY SECURED BY:

Bill (No. 75) introduced, 12. Second Reading, 22. House in Committee, 39, 42. Third Reading, 60. Royal Assent, 61. (22 George V, c. 49.)

MORTMAIN AND CHARITABLE USES ACT:

Report of Secretary and Registrar re administration of, for 1931, 157. (*Sessional Paper No. 48.*)

MOTIONS:

1. By Mr. Nixon asking that no appointment to position of Lieutenant-Governor be made for a year. Defeated, 147.
2. For a Return showing all schedules of insurance placed by Hydro-Electric Power Commission. Ordered, 78. Returned, 92.
3. Gasoline Tax Act, for amendment to, 135
4. Amusements Tax Act, 136.
5. Corporations Tax Act, 134.
6. Stock Transfer Tax Act, 134.

MOTIONS—*Continued*

7. Voters Lists. Motion for a Committee to improve method of preparing. 147.
8. For authority to raise by way of a loan a sum not exceeding \$40,000,000.00, 147.
9. To require authority of Act of the Legislature to confirm purchases of power by Hydro-Electric Commission. Defeated after debate, 187.
10. Beauharnois Power Company, for a Return of contract with, and all correspondence regarding, 186.
11. Fuel Oil, for imposition of tax on, 158.
12. For change in Tax on Natural Gas, 149.

MOTION PICTURE STUDIO AT TRENTON:

Question (No. 34) as to cost of, during 1931, 112.

MOTOR LICENSES:

Question (No. 62) as to how many licenses are issued to Government cars and Government employees for which nothing is paid, 116.

MUNICIPAL ACT, SPECIAL COMMITTEE FOR REVISION OF:

1. Report, 57.
2. Reappointed, 187.

MUNICIPAL ACT, THE:

1. Bill (No. 65) to amend, introduced, 59. Second Reading and referred to Committee on Municipal Law, 81. Incorporated in Bill (No. 144), 140.
2. Bill (No. 66) to amend, introduced, 70. Second Reading and referred to Committee on Municipal Law, 87. Incorporated in Bill (No. 144), 140.
3. Bill (No. 67) to amend, introduced, 77. Withdrawn, 87.
4. Bill (No. 68) to amend, introduced, 93. Second Reading and referred to Committee on Municipal Law, 117. Incorporated in Bill (No. 144), 140.
5. Bill (No. 69) to amend, introduced, 59. Second Reading and referred to Committee on Municipal Law, 70. Incorporated in Bill (No. 144), 140.

MUNICIPAL ACT, THE:—*Continued*

6. Bill (No. 88) to amend, introduced, 41. Second Reading, and referred to Committee on Municipal Law, 44. Incorporated in Bill (No. 144), 140.
7. Bill (No. 90) to amend, introduced, 42. Second Reading and referred to Committee on Municipal Law, 44. Incorporated in Bill (No. 144), 140.
8. Bill (No. 119) to amend, introduced, 93. Second Reading and referred to Committee on Municipal Law, 117. Incorporated in Bill (No. 144), 140.
9. Bill (No. 120) to amend, introduced, 93. Second Reading and referred to Committee on Municipal Law, 124. Incorporated in Bill (No. 144), 140.
10. Bill (No. 134) to amend, introduced, 121. Second Reading and referred to Committee on Municipal Law, 137. Incorporated in Bill (No. 144), 140.

MUNICIPAL AMENDMENT ACT, 1932:

Bill (No. 144) introduced, 141. Second Reading, 151. House in Committee, 164. Third Reading, 185. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 29.)

MUNICIPAL BOARD:

See *Ontario*.

MUNICIPAL LAW, COMMITTEE ON:

1. Authorized, 10.
2. Appointed, 20.
3. Report, 140.

MUNRO, MR.:

1. Election of, reported to House, 8.
2. Takes his seat, 10.

M^CCRACKEN COMPANY, CROWLEY AND:

See *Crowley*.

MCMASTER UNIVERSITY:

Petition for an Act respecting, 31. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 34. Bill (No. 58) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 35. Reported, 46. Second Reading, 53. House in Committee, 61. Third Reading, 84. Royal Assent, 190. (22 George V, c. 106.)

NEWAGO COMPANY:

Question (No. 24) as to any area leased to, on Black Bay Peninsula and cutting of pulpwood thereon, 95.

NEW TORONTO, TOWN OF:

See *Mimico*.

NIAGARA FALLS, CITY OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 18. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 66. Bill (No. 46) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 68. Reported, 76. Second Reading, 81. House in Committee, 84. Third Reading, 112. Royal Assent, 190. (22 George V, c. 79.)

NIAGARA PARKS COMMISSION:

Report for 1931, 120. (*Sessional Paper No. 44.*)

NIPIGON LIMIT:

Question (No. 25) as to cutting of pulpwood on, by International Paper Company, 1930-31, 95.

NIPISSING, DISTRICT OF:

Question (No. 58) as to purchase of implements in, for Trans-Canada Highway, 123.

NIXON, MR.:

Discussion by, of appointment of Counsel in connection with investigation of Hydro-Electric Commission, 22.

NORFOLK, ELECTORAL DIVISION OF:

1. Vacancy in, reported to House, 6.
2. Result of By-election reported, 8.

NORTHERN DEVELOPMENT ACT, THE:

Report of operations under, for year ending October 31st, 1931, 70. (*Sessional Paper No. 38.*)

NORTHERN DEVELOPMENT ACT, 1929, THE:

Return of Orders-in-Council made under authority of, 28. (*Sessional Paper No. 33.*)

NORTHERN DEVELOPMENT PURPOSES:

Bill (No. 94) to appropriate \$5,000,000.00 for, 59. Resolution passed through the House, Administrator's consent intimated and referred to Bill 62. Second Reading, 70. House in Committee, 79. Third Reading, 112. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 3.)

NORTH YORK, TOWNSHIP OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 16. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 66. Bill (No. 33) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 68. Reported, 75. Second Reading, 81. House in Committee, 84. Again referred to Committee on Private Bills, 94. Reported, 130. Second Reading, 150. House in Committee, 166. Third Reading, 186. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 80.)

OFFICE BUILDINGS, FACTORIES, SHOPS AND:

See *Factories*.

OLD AGE PENSIONS:

Change in allotment of, referred to in Speech from Throne, 4.

OLD AGE PENSION ACT, THE:

1. Act to amend forecast in Speech from Throne, 5.
2. Bill (No. 79) to amend, introduced, 24. Second Reading, 30. House in Committee, 32. Third Reading, 111. Royal Assent, 190. (22 George V, c. 46.)
3. Question (No. 7) as to persons removed from list in York County, 71.

ONTARIO AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE, THE:

1. Question (No. 5) as to who is president of, and his emoluments, 49.
2. Question (No. 28) as to whether a suite of rooms is maintained at, for use of Ministers of the Crown, 77.
3. Construction and furnishing of addition to, investigated by Public Accounts Committee, 132.

ONTARIO ATHLETIC COMMISSION:

Report for year ending October 31st, 1931, 120. (*Sessional Paper No. 45.*)

ONTARIO, COUNTY OF:

Question (No. 56) as to vacancy in office of Sheriff of, 115.

ONTARIO INSURANCE ACT, THE:

Return of Orders-in-Council made under, 28. (*Sessional Paper No. 32.*)

ONTARIO MARKETING BOARD:

Mentioned in Speech from Throne, 3.

ONTARIO MUNICIPAL BOARD, THE:

Bill (No. 116) respecting, introduced, 94. Second Reading, 137. House in Committee, 153. Third Reading, 182. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 27.)

ONTARIO MUNICIPAL ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION:

Question (No. 21) as to payments made to, by Ontario Hydro-Electric Commission, 85.

ONTARIO RAILWAY AND MUNICIPAL BOARD:

1. Amalgamation with Bureau of Municipal Affairs forecast in Speech from Throne, 5.

2. Bills referred to,

- (a) No. 14, referred, 25. Reported, 37.
- (b) No. 31, referred, 35. Reported, 71.
- (c) No. 53, referred, 36. Rescinded, 141.
- (d) No. 40, referred, 36. Reported, 74.
- (e) No. 43, referred, 36. Reported, 74.
- (f) No. 13, referred, 67. Reported, 89.
- (g) No. 25, referred, 68. Rescinded, 141.
- (h) No. 26, referred, 68. Rescinded, 141.
- (i) No. 27, referred, 68. Rescinded, 141.
- (j) No. 28, referred, 68. Reported, 82.
- (k) No. 39, referred, 68. Reported, 82.
- (l) No. 41, referred, 68. Withdrawn, 131.
- (m) No. 49, referred, 69. Withdrawn, 131.
- (n) No. 62, referred, 69. Withdrawn, 131.
- (o) No. 48, referred, 70. Withdrawn, 131.

3. Annual report for year 1931, 92. (*Sessional Paper No. 24.*)

4. Bill (No. 87) to amend, introduced, 38. Withdrawn, 73.

ONTARIO SHORE GAS COMPANY:

Petition for an Act respecting, 16. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 34. Bill (No. 32) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 36. Reported, 46. Second Reading, 53. House in Committee, 61. Third Reading, 84. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 100.)

ONTARIO VETERINARY COLLEGE, THE:

Report for year 1931, 100. (*Sessional Paper No. 29.*)

OTTAWA, CITY OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 16. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 66. Bill (No. 38) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 68. Reported, 75. Second Reading, 81. House in Committee, 84. Third Reading, 112. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 81.)

OTTAWA RIVER POWER DEVELOPMENT:

Mentioned in Speech from Throne, 3.

PAIPOONGE, MUNICIPALITY OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 14. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 23. Bill (No. 3) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 25. Reported, 40. Second Reading, 42. House in Committee, 44. Third Reading, 83. Royal Assent, 188. (22 George V, c. 82.)

PARLIAMENT BUILDINGS, EAST BLOCK:

See *East Block*.

PAROLE, BOARD OF:

Report for year ending October 31st, 1931, 140. (*Sessional Paper No. 18.*)

PELICAN FALLS:

1. Question (No. 22) as to whether a lease has been given for development of power at, 73.
2. Question (No. 23) as to payment of \$50,000.00 compensation in connection with, 73.

PEMBROKE, TOWN OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 30. Withdrawn.

PENSIONS, OLD AGE: .

See *Old Age*.

PERDOM, TOWNSHIP OF:

Question (No. 19) as to giving of lease in, 94.

PERTH, TOWN OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 30. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 34. Bill (No. 40) introduced and referred to Ontario Railway and Municipal Board, 36. Reported and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 74. Reported, 106. Second Reading, 118. House in Committee, 128. Third Reading, 133. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 83.)

POLICE, PROVINCIAL:

Report of Commissioner for year ending October 31st, 1931, 92. (*Sessional Paper No. 16.*)

POWER:

Motion requiring Act of the Legislature to confirm purchases of. Lost after a debate, 187.

POWER COMMISSION ACT, THE:

Bill (No. 135) to amend, introduced, 121. Second Reading, and referred to Committee on Municipal Law, 138. Not reported, 141.

POWER COMMISSION ACT, 1932, THE:

Bill (No. 129) introduced, 111. Second Reading, 150. House in Committee, 164. Third Reading, 185. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 14.)

PRINTING, COMMITTEE ON:

1. Authorized, 10.
2. Appointed, 20.
3. Reports, 46, 92.

PRISONS AND REFORMATORIES:

Report on, for year ending October 31st, 1931, 140. (*Sessional Paper No. 18.*)

PRIVATE BILLS, COMMITTEE ON:

1. Authorized, 10.
2. Appointed, 19.
3. Reports, 40, 45, 55, 75, 90, 105, 129.
4. Time for presenting petitions and introducing bills extended, 35.
5. Sub-committee of, granted leave to sit concurrently with House, 106.

PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS, COMMITTEE ON:

1. Authorized, 10.
2. Appointed, 19.

PROROGATION OF THE HOUSE, 195.

PUBLIC ACCOUNTS:

1. Referred to in Speech from Throne, 5.
2. Presented to House and referred to Committee, 80.

PUBLIC ACCOUNTS, COMMITTEE ON:

1. Authorized, 10.
2. Appointed, 19.
3. Report, 132.

PUBLIC HEALTH ACT, THE:

Bill (No. 93) to amend, introduced, 43. Second Reading, 54. House in Committee, 62. Third Reading, 111. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 34.)

PUBLIC RECORDS AND ARCHIVES:

See *Archives*.

PUBLIC SCHOOLS:

See *Education*.

PUBLIC SERVICE ACT, THE:

Bill (No. 83) to amend, introduced, 27. Second Reading, 32. House in Committee, 39. Third Reading, 111. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 5.)

PUBLIC SERVICE SUPERANNUATION BOARD:

Report for year ending October 31st, 1931, 43. (*Sessional Paper No. 36.*)

PUBLIC UTILITIES ACT, THE:

Bill (No. 107) to amend, introduced, 77. Second Reading, 87. Withdrawn, 120.

PUBLIC WELFARE ASSOCIATION:

Suggested in Speech from Throne, 4.

PUBLIC WELFARE, DEPARTMENT OF:

1. Mentioned in Speech from Throne, 4.
2. Report for year 1930-31, 140. (*Sessional Paper No. 19.*)

PUBLIC WORKS, MINISTER OF:

Report for year ending October 31st, 1931, 63. (*Sessional Paper No. 8.*)

QUEEN CITY FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY:

Petition for an Act respecting, 14. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 23. Bill (No. 4) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 25. Reported, 40. Second Reading, 42. House in Committee, 44. Third Reading, 83. Royal Assent, 188. (22 George V, c. 111.)

QUETICO PARK:

Question (No. 50) as to extension of boundaries, 113.

QUESTIONS:

1. As to contracts made for Gatineau River Power by the Hydro-Electric Commission of Ontario, 48.
2. As to the personnel of the Ontario Hydro-Electric Commission, 49.
3. As to whether Mr. W. N. Tilley, K.C., or any member of the firm of Tilley, Johnson, Thomson and Parmenter, Barristers, or the firm itself has been retained by the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario since January 1st, 1926, 178.
4. As to whether Mr. W. N. Tilley, K.C., or any member of the firm of Tilley, Johnson, Thomson and Parmenter, Barristers, or the firm itself has been retained by the Government in any capacity since January 1st, 1926, 142.

QUESTIONS—*Continued*

5. As to the Presidency of the Ontario Agricultural College, 49.
6. As to the names of the various municipalities (including towns and cities) in the Province of Ontario which applied for grants under The Unemployment Relief Act, 49.
7. As to the names and addresses of the persons who were removed from the list of old age pensioners in the County of York up to December 31st, 1931, and what was the reason in each case. 71.
8. As to what amounts were paid to Peter White, Esq., K.C., by the Government in 1931 for professional services, 52.
9. As to how many firms or companies assessed under The Apprenticeship Act have not paid their assessment or levy for the years 1931 and 1932, 52.
10. As to the total amount of school grants for Manitoulin Island in each of the years 1930 and 1931, 52.
11. As to who signed on behalf of the Hydro-Electric Power Commission the contract to purchase 250,000 h.p. from the Beauharnois Power Company, 53.
12. As to how many retail gasoline vendors are at present in arrears in paying the Gasoline Tax to the Government, 64.
13. As to whether the Attorney-General ever received any warning or complaints regarding the financial condition of G. A. Stimson & Company, Limited, prior to the action in bankruptcy of this and subsidiary companies, 72.
14. As to the cost of extending to deep water the La Cloche Island terminus of the ferry on the Little Current-Espanola Highway during 1931, 72.
15. As to through what insurance company does the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario place the blanket policy protecting the individual commissions in the Province against public liability and property damage losses. Return Ordered, 64.
16. As to the total cost of construction of the cut-off on No. 2 King's Highway, at the Town of Lancaster, 77.
17. As to the date upon which the Order-in-Council was signed, approving of the agreement between the Hydro-Electric Power Commission and the Beauharnois Corporation for the purchase of power, 64.
18. As to the total cost of resurfacing No. 2 King's Highway between Napanee and Odessa in 1931, 73.

QUESTIONS—*Continued*

19. As to whether a lease has been given on Townships of Booths, Perdom and Ledger near Nipigon, 94.
20. As to number of ties taken out by Charles Greer at Gull River limit in 1930, 94.
21. As to what moneys have been paid from funds of the Ontario Hydro-Electric Power Commission to T. J. Hannigan or the Ontario Municipal Electrical Association or to any of its officers, either directly or indirectly for each of the fiscal years 1928, 1929, 1930 and 1931, 85.
22. As to whom was item shown in report, Department of Lands and Forests, page G 11, report 1931, compensation re Pelican Falls, \$50,000, paid by the Department of Lands and Forests, 73.
23. As to whether a lease has ever been given to develop power at Pelican Falls, near Sioux Lookout, 73.
24. As to what area on Black Bay Peninsula is under lease to Newago Company, 95.
25. As to how many cords of pulpwood have been cut on Nipigon limit of International Paper Company in seasons 1930-31, 95.
26. As to the identity of the Police Magistrate for the Town of Milton, 95.
27. As to the identity of the Registrar for the County of Halton, 95.
28. As to whether the Department of Agriculture keeps a suite of rooms for the use of Ministers at the Agricultural College at Guelph in the new \$1,000,000 building, 77.
29. As to whether efficiency experts are working in the various Departments of the Government, 96.
30. As to the estimated cost of the New East Wing of the Parliament Buildings now under construction, 77.
31. As to the identity of the Crowley and McCracken Company, 112.
32. As to what companies were the contracts let for changing Provincial Highways signs to King's Highways signs, 96.
33. As to how much money was paid from all sources to the Separate School at Kirkland Lake in the years 1928, 1929 and 1930, 77.
34. As to the cost of operating the Trenton Motion Picture Studio during the fiscal year of 1931, 112.

QUESTIONS—*Continued*

35. As to the personnel of the T. & N.O. Commission and their salaries, 144.
36. As to how many cubic yards of rock were purchased from the Dominion Mines and Quarries for road work on Manitoulin Island during the years 1930 and 1931, 96.
37. As to the personnel of the Cancer Commission, 85.
38. As to what solicitor or legal firm represented the Hydro Commission in negotiating the contract with the Gatineau Power Company, 121.
39. As to how much power did the Ontario Hydro-Electric Power Commission have available in 1930, 85.
40. As to whether any power was sold by the Hydro-Electric Power Commission to the Gatineau River Power Company or any of its subsidiaries in the Province of Quebec during the years 1930, 1931, or up to date this year, 113.
41. As to how many cars crossed the La Cloche-Little Current ferry during the years 1930 and 1931, 97.
42. As to what contracts have been let on the Trans-Canada Highway, 113.
43. As to what materials for buildings and what equipment, picks, shovels, hardware, powder, explosives, drill steel, drill machines for use on the Trans-Canada Highway, in the District of Kenora, have been purchased, 122.
44. As to what materials for buildings, and what equipment, picks, shovels, hardware, powder, explosives, drill steel, drill machines, have been purchased for use on the Trans-Canada Highway in the District of Thunder Bay, 122.
45. As to the total amount borrowed by the Province for the financing of Provincial Highways for the years 1924 to 1931, both inclusive, 145.
46. As to when the power plant at Sydenham was purchased by the Hydro-Electric Power Commission, 122.
47. As to what amount of money has been borrowed and charged to Capital Account since October 31st, 1925, until October 31st, 1931. 145.
48. As to the number of Public School Inspectors in the Province of Ontario, 97.
49. As to what basis is the Railway Tax collected upon, 197.

QUESTIONS—*Continued*

50. As to what date was the Order-in-Council signed for extending the boundaries of Quetico Park, 113.
51. As to the amount of compensation claimed for damages on the Winnipeg River in the Kenora District, through flooding caused by high water, 114.
52. As to the amount and cost of (a) spirituous or distilled liquors; (b) wines; (c) ales and beers, imported by the Ontario Liquor Board during each fiscal year 1930, 1931, 123.
53. As to how many permits (a) resident, (b) transient, were issued by the Liquor Control Board in each fiscal year, 1930 and 1931. 114,
54. As to the total amount received from Gasoline Tax during the fiscal years 1930 and 1931, 98.
55. As to the total sale during the last fiscal year by the Liquor Commission of (a) spirituous liquor, (b) wine, (c) beer, 115.
56. As to whether the Sheriff in and for the County of Ontario has vacated his office, if so, on what date was he relieved from duties, 115.
57. As to what materials for buildings, and what equipment, picks, shovels, hardware, powder, explosives, drill steel and drill machines have been purchased for use on the Trans-Canada Highway in the District of North Renfrew, 123.
58. As to what materials for buildings and what equipment, picks, shovels, hardware, powder, explosives, drill steel, drill machines have been purchased for use on the Trans-Canada Highway in the District of Nipissing, 123.
59. As to the districts in which camps were located in Northern Ontario. 146.
60. As to the identity of the Chief Boiler Inspector of Ontario, 98.
61. As to who is timekeeper at Camp 101 at Calvin, 116.
62. As to how many licenses are issued by the Highway Department to cars of the Government or employees of the Government for which no money is paid to the Department, 116.
63. As to what has been the total amount of money spent to date either under Northern Development or under Unemployment Relief Work, on the Trans-Canada Highway from Clear Water Bay to the Manitoba boundary, 180.

QUESTIONS—*Continued*

64. As to whether the clearing of the King's Highways of snow in Residence 7, during the present winter, was done by contract or on a time basis, or partly each way, 146.
65. As to what firms or individuals applied to the Department of Lands and Forests for the sale of timber on an area lying north and east of the Grand Trunk Pacific Block 4, and consisting of four parcels, known as Garden Lake Area, 116.
66. As to what was the net amount received by the Government from the recent short-term loan, 124.
67. As to what was the total amount of timber dues outstanding at the close of the last fiscal year, 124.
68. As to the total number of permanent employees of the headquarters staff of the Ontario Hydro-Electric Commission, and the total salaries, 181.
69. As to what amount has been set aside for highway debt retirement since the inauguration of the debt retirement scheme, 146.
70. As to what date was the power development known as "Maple Hill" taken over by the Hydro-Electric Power Commission, 181.

RADIUM:

Report of Royal Commission on use of, 89. (Sessional Paper No. 41.)

RAILWAY AND MUNICIPAL BOARD, THE ONTARIO:

See *Ontario*.

RAILWAY TAX:

Question (No. 49) as to manner of collection of, 97.

RAILWAYS, COMMITTEE ON:

1. Authorized, 10.
2. Appointed, 19.

REAL ESTATE BROKERS ACT:

Mentioned in Speech from Throne, 4.

RECORDS AND ARCHIVES:

See *Archives*.

REFORMATORIES:

See *Prisons*.

REFORMATORIES AND PENAL INSTITUTIONS:

Mentioned in Speech from Throne, 4.

REGISTRY ACT, THE:

Bill (No. 125) to amend, introduced, 111. Second Reading, 125. House in Committee, 152. Third Reading, 182. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 17.)

RENFREW, DISTRICT OF NORTH:

Question (No. 57) as to purchase of implements in, for Trans-Canada Highway, 123.

RENFREW, TOWN OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 16. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 33. Bill (No. 29) introduced, and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 35. Reported, 46. Second Reading, 53. House in Committee, 61. Third Reading, 84. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 84.)

RETURNS ORDERED:

1. Showing through what insurance Company the Hydro-Electric Power Commission places its blanket policy covering the individual commissions, etc., 64. Returned, 91.
2. Showing schedule of insurance carried by Hydro-Electric Power Commission, 78. Returned, 92.
3. Showing the contract between the Hydro-Electric Power Commission and the Beauharnois Power Company, and all documents relating thereto, 186.

REVENUE OF THE CROWN IN THE PROVINCE OF ONTARIO, AN ACT TO SUPPLEMENT:

Bill (No. 143) introduced, 133. Second Reading, 159. House in Committee, 165. Third Reading, 186. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 10.)

REVENUES OF THE PROVINCE:

Referred to in Speech from Throne, 5.

RICHARDSON TRUST, THE GEORGE TAYLOR:

Petition for an Act respecting, 33. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 34. Bill (No. 60) introduced and referred to Commissioners of Estate Bills, 36. Reported and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 100. Reported, 130. Second Reading, 149. House in Committee, 166. Third Reading, 186. Royal Assent, 190. (22 George V, c. 113.)

RIVERSIDE, TOWN OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 48. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 66. Bill (No. 26) introduced and referred to Ontario Railway and Municipal Board, 68. Reported by Committee on Private Bills, 130. Reference to Ontario Railway Board rescinded, 141. Second Reading, 150. House in Committee, 167. Third Reading, 186. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 85.)

ROCKLAND, TOWN OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 16. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 66. Bill (No. 39) introduced and referred to Ontario Railway and Municipal Board, 68. Reported; 82. Referred to Committee on Private Bills, 83. Reported, 129. Second Reading, 149. House in Committee, 166. Third Reading, 186. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 86.)

ROMAN CATHOLIC EPISCOPAL CORPORATION OF THE DIOCESE OF KINGSTON:

Petition for an Act respecting, 27. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 67. Bill (No. 57) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 69. Reported, 130. Fees, less penalties and cost of printing, remitted, 130. Second Reading, 150. House in Committee, 166. Third Reading, 186. Royal Assent, 190. (22 George V, c. 102.)

ROMAN CATHOLIC EPISCOPAL CORPORATION OF THE DIOCESE OF OTTAWA:

Petition for an Act respecting, 14. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 23. Bill (No. 2) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 41. Reported, 130. Fees, less penalties and cost of printing, remitted, 130. Second Reading, 150. House in Committee, 166. Third Reading, 186. Royal Assent, 188. (22 George V, c. 103.)

RULES OF THE HOUSE:

1. Rule No. 75, suspended so far as it related to certain Bills, 76.
2. Rule No. 72, suspended in relation to Bill No. 25, 141.

RURAL SCHOOLS:

See *Education*.

ST. CATHARINES, CITY OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 18. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 66. Bill (No. 47) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 68. Reported, 90. Second Reading, 98. House in Committee, 118. Third Reading, 121. Royal Assent, 190. (22 George V, c. 87.)

ST. JOSEPH, SISTERS OF, OF THE DIOCESE OF HAMILTON:

Petition for an Act respecting, 14. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 23. Bill (No. 5) introduced, and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 25. Reported, 106. Fees, less penalties and cost of printing, remitted, 106. Second Reading, 117. House in Committee, 128. Third Reading, 133. Royal Assent, 188. (22 George V, c. 104.)

ST. JOSEPH, SISTERS OF, OF DIOCESE OF PETERBOROUGH:

Petition for an Act respecting, 15. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 24. Bill (No. 17) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 25. Reported, 106. Fees, less penalties and cost of printing, remitted, 106. Second Reading, 118. House in Committee, 128. Third Reading, 133. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 105.)

ST. LAWRENCE RIVER DEVELOPMENT:

Mentioned in Speech from Throne, 3.

ST. THOMAS YOUNG WOMEN'S CHRISTIAN ASSOCIATION:

See *Young Women's Christian Association*.

SALES ACT, THE CONDITIONAL:

See *Conditional*.

SANATORIA:

See *Hospitals*.

SANDERSON, MR.:

Seconds address in reply to Speech from Throne, 13.

SANDWICH, TOWN OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 38. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 67. Bill (No. 62) introduced and referred to Ontario Railway and Municipal Board, 69. Withdrawn, 131.

SANDWICH EAST, TOWNSHIP OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 18. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 24. Bill (No. 48) introduced and referred to Ontario Railway and Municipal Board, 70. Withdrawn, 131.

SANDWICH WEST, TOWNSHIP OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 54. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 66. Bill (No. 49) introduced and referred to Ontario Railway and Municipal Board, 69. Withdrawn, 131.

SANDWICH, WINDSOR, AND AMHERSTBURG RAILWAY, ACT RESPECTING:

Bill (No. 140) introduced, 132. Second Reading, 159. House in Committee, 165. Third Reading, 186. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 56.)

SARNIA BUS COMPANY:

Petition for an Act respecting, 22. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 34. Bill (No. 50) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 35. Reported, 55. Second Reading, 60. House in Committee, 63. Third Reading, 84. Royal Assent, 190. (22 George V, c. 101.)

SARNIA, CITY OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 15. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 65. Bill (No. 13) introduced and referred to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board, 67. Reported and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 89. Reported, 129. Second Reading, 149. House in Committee, 166. Third Reading, 186. Royal Assent, 188. (22 George V, c. 88.)

SCARBOROUGH, TOWNSHIP OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 16. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 33. Bill (No. 34) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 35. Reported, 130. Second Reading, 34. House in Committee, 167. Third Reading, 186. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 89.)

SCHOOL INSPECTORS:

Question (No. 48) as to number of public and high school inspectors and their salaries and expenses, 97.

SCHOOL LAWS, THE:

Bill (No. 123) to amend, introduced, 94. Second Reading, 125. House in Committee, 162. Third Reading, 182. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 42.)

SCHOOLS, RURAL, PUBLIC AND SEPARATE:

Statement of Legislative grants for year 1931, 17. (*Sessional Paper No. 30.*)

SECRETARY AND REGISTRAR, THE PROVINCIAL:

1. Report on administration of The Companies Act, the Extra Provincial Corporation Act, The Mortmain and Charitable Uses Act and The Companies Information Act, for 1931, 157. (*Sessional Paper No. 48.*)
2. Announces prorogation, 195.

SECURITIES, CORPORATION:

See *Corporation.*

SECURITY FRAUDS PREVENTION ACT:

Mentioned in Speech from Throne, 1

SECURITY FRAUDS PREVENTION BOARD:

Mentioned in Speech from Throne, 4.

SEPARATE SCHOOLS:

See *Education.*

SESSIONAL INDEMNITY:

Payment of, to Members sick, etc., authorized, 187.

SESSIONAL PAPERS:

Report of distribution of, for year ending October 31st, 1931, 17. (*Sessional Paper No. 31.*)

SHOPS AND OFFICE BUILDINGS:

See *Factories.*

SINCLAIR, MR.:

Adjourns debate on reply to Speech from Throne, 13.

SIOUX LOOKOUT:

Question (No. 23) as to whether a lease for power development has been given on Pelican Falls, 73.

SMITH'S FALLS, TOWN OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 18. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 24. Bill (No. 45) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 26. Reported, 75. Second Reading, 81. House in Committee, 84. Third Reading, 112. Royal Assent, 190. (22 George V, c. 90.)

SPEAKER, MR.:

1. Reads a copy of His Honour's Speech, 5.
2. Informs the House of vacancies which had occurred in the House, 5.
3. Informs the House of results of by-elections, 8.
4. Informs the House that the Clerk has laid upon the Table a report of the by-elections held during the Recess, 9.
5. Presents Bills for Royal Assent, 188.
6. Presents Supply Bill, 193.

SPEECH FROM THE THRONE:

1. Presented, 2.
2. Motion for Consideration of, 10.
3. Address in Reply, Motion for, 13.
4. Debate on, 13, 26.
5. Amendment to, moved, 26.
6. Debate on, 26, 29.
7. Amendment to amendment moved, 29.
8. Debate on, 29, 37, 41, 47, 55.
9. Amendment to amendment carried, 55.
10. Motion, as amended, carried, 57.
11. Address authorized, 57.

STANDING ORDERS, COMMITTEE ON:

1. Authorized, 10.
2. Appointed, 17.
3. Reports, 23, 33, 65.
4. Recommends extension of time for presenting petitions and introducing bills, 35.

STATISTICS, VITAL:

See *Births, Marriages and Deaths.*

STATUTE LAW AMENDMENT ACT, 1932, THE:

Bill (No. 130) introduced, 120. Second Reading, 137. House in Committee, 153, 159. Third Reading, 182. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 53.)

STATUTES, THE:

Report of Distribution of, for year ending October 31st, 1931, 17. (*Sessional Paper No. 31.*)

STEAM BOILER ACT, THE:

Bill (No. 89) to amend, introduced, 41. Second Reading, 44. House in Committee, 54. Third Reading, 111. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 39.)

STIMSON, G. A., AND COMPANY:

Question (No. 13) as to whether Attorney-General received any warnings re financial condition of, 72.

STORAGE BATTERY SERVICE STATIONS, AN ACT FOR THE BETTER PROTECTION OF OWNERS AND OPERATORS OF:

Bill (No. 118) introduced, 93. Second Reading defeated on called vote, 117.

STRACHAN, FRANCES LOUISE MAYER:

See *Calhoun.*

STRICKLAND, MR.:

Name added to Committee on Legal Bills, 27.

STRIKING COMMITTEE:

1. Appointed, 13.
2. Reports, 17, 18.

SUCCESSION DUTY ACT, THE:

Bill (No. 104) to amend, introduced, 76. Second Reading, 87. Resolution introduced, Administrator's recommendation signified. Passed through House and referred to Bill, 88. House in Committee, 160. Third Reading, 182. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 6.)

SUDBURY, CITY OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 16. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 34. Bill (No. 30) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 36. Reported, 106. Second Reading, 117. House in Committee, 128. Third Reading, 133. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 91.)

SUPERANNUATION BOARD, PUBLIC SERVICE:

See *Public*.

SUPPLY:

1. Motion to go into, 74.
2. Budget debate, 80, 91.
3. Amendment moved and debate on, 91, 99, 107.
4. Amendment lost on Division, 107.
5. Main Motion carried on Division, 108.
6. In the Committee, 108, 128, 153, 167.
7. Concurrence voted, 138, 167-177.
8. House in Committee of Ways and Means, 177.
9. Bill of Supply (No. 148) introduced and read second and third time, 178. Royal Assent, 193. (22 George V, c. 1.)

SYDENHAM POWER PLANT:

Question (No. 46) as to purchase of, by Hydro-Electric Power Commission, 122.

TTEACHERS TRAINING SCHOOLS:

Mentioned in Speech from Throne, 3.

TECK, TOWNSHIP OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 18. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 33. Bill (No. 10) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 35. Reported, 106. Second Reading, 117. House in Committee, 128. Third Reading, 133. Royal Assent, 188. (22 George V, c. 92.)

TECUMSEH, TOWN OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 48. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 66. Bill (No. 41) introduced and referred to Ontario Railway and Municipal Board, 68. Withdrawn, 131.

TELEPHONE ACT, THE:

1. Bill (No. 73) to amend, introduced, 55. Second Reading, 64. House in Committee, 78. Withdrawn (having been added to Bill (No. 102)), 178.
2. Bill (No. 102) to amend, introduced, 76. Second Reading, 125. House in Committee and provisions of Bill (No. 73) added, 151. Third Reading, 181. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 28.)

TEMISKAMING AND NORTHERN ONTARIO RAILWAY:

1. Extension to James Bay referred to in Speech from Throne, 4.
2. Report for year ending October 31st, 1931, 13. (*Sessional Paper No. 23.*)

TEMISKAMING AND NORTHERN ONTARIO RAILWAY COMMISSION:

Question (No. 35) as to members and salaries, 144.

THRONE, SPEECH FROM:

1. Delivered by Administrator, 2.
2. Motion for Consideration of, 10.
3. Motion for Address in Reply, 13.
4. Debate on, 13, 26.
5. Amendment moved, 26.
6. Debate on, 26, 29.
7. Amendment to amendment, 29.
8. Debate on, 29, 37, 41, 47, 55.
9. Amendment to amendment carried on Division, 55.
10. Main motion carried as amended, 57.
11. Address authorized, 57.

THUNDER BAY, DISTRICT OF:

Question (No. 44) as to purchase of implements in, for Trans-Canada Highway, 122.

TILLEY, MR. W. N.:

1. Question (No. 3) as to whether he has been employed by Hydro-Electric Commission of Ontario, 178.
2. Question (No. 4) as to whether he has been employed by the Ontario Government, 142.

TIMBER DUES:

Question (No. 67) as to total amount outstanding at close of last fiscal year, 124.

TORONTO, CITY OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 16. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 24. Bill (No. 36) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 25. Reported, 129. Second Reading, 149. House in Committee, 166. Third Reading, 186. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 93.)

TORONTO, TOWNSHIP OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 16. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 23. Bill (No. 1) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 25. Reported, 55. Second Reading, 60. House in Committee, 63. Third Reading, 84. Royal Assent, 188. (22 George V, c. 94.)

TORONTO, UNIVERSITY OF:

See *University*.

TRANS-CANADA HIGHWAY:

1. Question (No. 42) as to any contracts let, in connection with, 113.
2. Question (No. 43) as to purchase of implements for, in District of Kenora, 122.
3. Question (No. 44) as to purchase of implements for, in District of Thunder Bay, 122.
4. Question (No. 57) as to purchase of implements for, in District of North Renfrew, 123.
5. Question (No. 58) as to purchase of implements for, in District of Nipissing, 123.
6. Question (No. 59) as to location of camps on, and manner of construction, 146.
7. Question (No. 63) as to total amount spent on, from Clear Water Bay to Manitoba boundary, 180.
8. See also *Unemployment Relief Work*.

TRENTON MOTION PICTURE STUDIO:

Question (No. 34) as to cost of operating in 1931, 112.

TRINITY COLLEGE SCHOOL:

Petition for an Act respecting, 15. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 33. Bill (No. 18) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 38. Reported, 55. Second Reading, 60. House in Committee, 63. Third Reading, 84. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 108.)

UNEMPLOYMENT RELIEF

Mentioned in Speech from Throne, 3.

UNEMPLOYMENT RELIEF, ACT RESPECTING:

1. Bill (No. 142) introduced, 133. Second Reading, 151. House in Committee, 164. Third Reading, 185. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 4.)
2. Question (No. 6) as to applications made by municipalities under, and amount expended, 49.
3. Question (No. 61) as to timekeeper at Camp 101, near Calvin, 116.
4. See also *Trans-Canada Highway*.

UNIVERSITY ACT, THE:

Bill (No. 114) to amend, introduced, 91. Withdrawn, 124.

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO:

Report for year ending June 30th, 1931, 17. (*Sessional Paper No. 12.*)

UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO:

See *Western*.

UTILITIES ACT, THE PUBLIC:

See *Public*.

VEGETABLE GROWERS MARKETS COUNCIL:

Mentioned in Speech from Throne, 3.

VETERINARY COLLEGE, THE ONTARIO:

See *Ontario*.

VETERINARY SCIENCE PRACTISE ACT, 1931:

Bill (No. 84) to amend, introduced, 30. Withdrawn, 99.

VITAL STATISTICS:

Report for 1931, 115. (*Sessional Paper No. 13.*)

VOCATIONAL TRAINING:

Introduction into Reformatories and Industrial Farms, referred to in Speech from Throne, 4.

VOTERS LISTS:

Motion for appointment of Select Committee to improve method of preparing. Withdrawn, 147.

WALKERVILLE, EAST WINDSOR WATER COMMISSION:

Petition for an Act respecting, 28. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 33. Bill (No. 15) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 35. Reported, 129. Second Reading, 149. House in Committee, 166. Third Reading, 186. Royal Assent, 188. (22 George V, c. 98.)

WAYS AND MEANS, COMMITTEE ON:

1. Motion to go into, 74.
2. In the Committee, 177.

WELFARE, COUNTY UNITS OF:

Suggested in Speech from Throne, 4.

WELFARE, PUBLIC:

See *Public*.

WELLINGTON SOUTH, ELECTORAL DIVISION OF:

1. Vacancy in, reported to House, 7.
2. Result of By-election reported, 8.

WESTERN ONTARIO, UNIVERSITY OF:

Motion for grant of \$20,000.00 per year for ten years. Passed by House, 126.

WESTERN ONTARIO, UNIVERSITY OF, AN ACT FOR GRANTING AID TO THE
MEDICAL FACULTY OF:

Bill (No. 122) introduced, 93. Second Reading, 125. Resolution introduced, Administrator's recommendation signified, passed through House and referred to Bill, 126. House in Committee, 122. Third Reading, 182. Royal Assent, 192. (22 George V, c. 54.)

WEST YORK, ELECTORAL DIVISION OF:

Vacancy in, reported to House, 7.

WHITBY EAST, TOWNSHIP OF:

See *East Whitby*.

WHITE, PETER:

Question (No. 8) as to employment of, by the Government in 1931, 52.

WINDSOR, CITY OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 30. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 34. Bill (No. 53) introduced and referred to Ontario Railway and Municipal Board, 36. Reported by Committee on Private Bills, 130. Reference to Ontario Railway Board rescinded, 141. Second Reading, 150. House in Committee, 167. Third Reading, 186. Royal Assent, 190. (22 George V, c. 95.)

WINDSOR, EAST:

See *East Windsor*.

WINDSOR, ESSEX AND LAKE SHORE ELECTRIC RAILWAY ASSOCIATION:

Petition for an Act respecting, 38. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 67. Bill (No. 63) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 69. Reported, 131. Second Reading, 150. House in Committee, 167. Third Reading, 186. Royal Assent, 190. (22 George V, c. 99.)

WINES:

See *Liquor*.

WINNIPEG RIVER:

Question (No. 51) as to damages caused by floods on, in Kenora District, 114.

WOMEN'S CHRISTIAN ASSOCIATION OF LONDON:

Petition for an Act respecting, 16. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 34. Bill (No. 42) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 36. Reported, 46. Fees, less penalties and cost of printing, remitted, 46. Second Reading, 53. House in Committee, 61. Third Reading, 84. Royal Assent, 189. (22 George V, c. 109.)

WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION BOARD:

Report for year 1931, 139. (*Sessional Paper No. 28.*)

WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION ACT, THE:

1. Report of the Honourable Mr. Mustice Middleton regarding proposed amendments to, 45. (*Sessional Paper No. 37.*)
2. Bill (No. 110) to amend, introduced, 83. Second Reading, 125. House in Committee, 162. Third Reading, 182. Royal Assent, 191. (22 George V, c. 21.)

WYCLIFFE COLLEGE:

Petition for an Act respecting, 15. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 24. Bill (No. 8) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 26. Reported, 40. Fees, less penalties and cost of printing, remitted, 40. Second Reading, 42. House in Committee, 44. Third Reading, 83. Royal Assent, 188. (22 George V, c. 107.)

YORK, TOWNSHIP OF:

Petition for an Act respecting, 23. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 66. Bill (No. 51) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 69. Reported, 130. Second Reading, 150. House in Committee, 167. Third Reading, 186. Royal Assent, 190. (22 George V, c. 96.)

YORK EAST:

See *East York.*

YORK NORTH:

See *North York.*

YORK WEST, ELECTORAL DIVISION OF:

Vacancy in, reported to House, 7.

YOUNG WOMEN'S CHRISTIAN ASSOCIATION OF ST. THOMAS:

Petition for an Act respecting, 23. Reported by Committee on Standing Orders, 66. Bill (No. 55) introduced and referred to Committee on Private Bills, 69. Reported, and fees, less penalties and cost of printing, remitted, 90. Second Reading, 98. House in Committee, 118. Third Reading, 121. Royal Assent, 190. (22 George V, c. 110.)

X-RAYS:

Report of Royal Commission on Use of, 89. (*Sessional Paper No. 41.*)

LIST OF SESSIONAL PAPERS, 1932

PRESENTED TO THE HOUSE DURING THE SESSION

TITLE	No.	REMARKS
Accounts, Public.....	1	<i>Printed.</i>
Agriculture, Department of (Minister), Report.....	21	<i>Printed.</i>
Agriculture, Department of (Statistics), Report.....	22	<i>Printed.</i>
Archives, Report.....	39	<i>Printed.</i>
Auditor's Report.....	27	<i>Printed.</i>
Civil Service Commissioner, Report.....	35	<i>Not Printed.</i>
Education, Orders-in-Council.....	34	<i>Not Printed.</i>
Education, Report.....	11	<i>Printed.</i>
Elections, By-, Records.....	25	<i>Printed.</i>
Estimates.....	2	<i>Printed.</i>
Game and Fisheries, Report.....	9	<i>Printed.</i>
Health, Department of, Report.....	14	<i>Printed.</i>
Health, Report of Registrar-General, Department of (Births, Marriages and Deaths).....	13	<i>Printed.</i>
Highways Improvement Fund.....	40	<i>Not Printed.</i>
Highways Report.....	46	<i>Printed.</i>
Hospitals for Insane, Feeble-minded.....	15	<i>Printed.</i>
Hospitals and Sanatoria, Report.....	17	<i>Printed.</i>
Hydro Insurance, Returns.....	42-43	<i>Not Printed.</i>
Hydro-Electric Power Commission, Report.....	26	<i>Printed.</i>
Insurance, Report.....	6	<i>Printed.</i>
Insurance Act and Guarantee Companies Securities Act, Orders-in-Council.....	32	<i>Not Printed.</i>
Labour Department, Report.....	10	<i>Printed.</i>
Lands and Forests Department, Report.....	3	<i>Printed.</i>
Legal Offices, Report.....	5	<i>Printed.</i>
Liquor Control Board, Report.....	20	<i>Printed.</i>
Loan Corporations, Registrar of, Report.....	7	<i>Printed.</i>
Mines Department, Report.....	4	<i>Printed.</i>
Minimum Wage Board, Report.....	47	<i>Printed.</i>
Niagara Parks Commission, Report.....	44	<i>Not Printed.</i>

TITLE	No.	REMARKS
Northern Development Act, Orders-in-Council.....	33	<i>Not Printed.</i>
Northern Development and Colonization Roads Act, Report.....	38	<i>Printed.</i>
Ontario Athletic Commission, Report.....	45	<i>Not Printed.</i>
Ontario Railway and Municipal Board, Report.....	24	<i>Printed.</i>
Ontario Veterinary College, Report.....	29	<i>Printed.</i>
Police Commissioner, Report.....	16	<i>Printed.</i>
Prisons and Reformatories, Report.....	18	<i>Printed.</i>
Public Welfare, Report.....	19	<i>Printed.</i>
Public Works, Report.....	8	<i>Printed.</i>
Radium and X-rays in the Treatment of the Sick, Report of Commission on.....	41	<i>Printed.</i>
Rural, Public and Separate Schools, Grants to, Report...	30	<i>Not Printed.</i>
Secretary and Registrar, Report.....	48	<i>Not Printed.</i>
Statistics Distribution, Report.....	31	<i>Not Printed.</i>
Superannuation Fund, Report.....	36	<i>Not Printed.</i>
Temiskaming & Northern Ontario Railway, Report.....	23	<i>Printed.</i>
Toronto, University of, Report.....	12	<i>Printed.</i>
Workmen's Compensation Act: Commissioner The Honourable Mr. Justice Middleton, Report.....	37	<i>Printed.</i>
Workmen's Compensation Board Report.....	28	<i>Printed.</i>

LIST OF SESSIONAL PAPERS

Arranged in Numerical Order with their Titles at full length;
the name of the Member who moved the same, and
whether ordered to be printed or not.

-
- | | |
|--------|---|
| No. 1 | Public Accounts of the Province of Ontario for the twelve months ending October 31st, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 11th, 1932. <i>Printed.</i> |
| No. 2 | Estimates, Supplementary, for the Service of the Province for the year ending October 31st, 1932. Presented to the Legislature, March 16th, 1932. <i>Printed.</i> Estimates for the year ending October 31st, 1933. Presented to the Legislature, March 22nd, 1932. <i>Printed.</i> |
| No. 3 | Report of the Department of Lands and Forests, Ontario, for the year ending October 31st, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 18th, 1932. <i>Printed.</i> |
| No. 4 | Annual Report of the Department of Mines, Ontario. Presented to the Legislature, March 16th, 1932. <i>Printed.</i> |
| No. 5 | Annual Report of the Inspector of Legal Offices for the year ending December 31st, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 15th, 1932. <i>Printed.</i> |
| No. 6 | Report of the Superintendent of Insurance for the year ending December 31st, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 16th, 1932. <i>Printed.</i> |
| No. 7 | Report of Registrar of Loan Corporations for the Province of Ontario, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 16th, 1932. <i>Printed.</i> |
| No. 8 | Report of the Minister of Public Works for the year ending October 31st, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 4th, 1932. <i>Printed.</i> |
| No. 9 | Annual Report of Department of Game and Fisheries, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 22nd, 1932. <i>Printed.</i> |
| No. 10 | Annual Report of the Department of Labour, Province of Ontario, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 11th, 1932. |
| No. 11 | Report of the Department of Education, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 23rd, 1932. <i>Printed.</i> |

- No. 12 Report of the Board of Governors of the University of Toronto for the year ending 30th June, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, February 12th, 1932. *Printed.*
- No. 13 Report relating to the Registration of Births, Marriages and Deaths, Ontario, for the year ending December 31st, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 23rd, 1932. *Printed.*
- No. 14 Report of the Department of Health for the year 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 22nd, 1932. *Printed.*
- No. 15 Annual Report upon the Hospitals for the Insane, Feeble-minded and Epileptics for 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 25th, 1932. *Printed.*
- No. 16 Annual Report of the Commissioner of the Ontario Provincial Police for the year ending October 31st, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 15th, 1932. *Printed.*
- No. 17 Report of the Inspector of Hospitals and Sanatoria for the year ending September 30th, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 22nd, 1932. *Printed.*
- No. 18 Annual Report upon Prisons and Reformatories, the Board of Parole and Extra-Mural Employment Officer for year ending October 31st, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 22nd, 1932.
- No. 19 Annual Report of the Department of Public Welfare for the year 1930-31. Presented to the Legislature, March 22nd, 1932. *Printed.*
- No. 20 Annual Report of the Liquor Control Board of Ontario as at October 31st, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, February 17th, 1932. *Printed.*
- No. 21 Report of the Department of Agriculture, Ontario, for the year ending October 31st, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 16th, 1932. *Printed.*
- No. 22 Report of the Statistics Branch, Ontario Department of Agriculture, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 16th, 1932. *Printed.*
- No. 23 Annual Report of the Temiskaming and Northern Ontario Railway Commission for year ending October 31st, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, February 11th, 1932. *Printed.*
- No. 24 Annual Report of the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board for the year ending December 31st, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 15th, 1932. *Printed.*

- No. 25 Return from the Records of the By-Elections to the Legislative Assembly held on January 31st and February 11th, 1931; June 27th and July 8th, 1931; August 29th and November 7th and 18th, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 16th, 1932. *Printed.*
- No. 26 Report of the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario for the year ending October 31st, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 23rd, 1932. *Printed.*
- No. 27 Report of the Provincial Auditor, Ontario. Presented to the Legislature, March 15th, 1932. *Printed.*
- No. 28 Report of the Workmen's Compensation Board for the year 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 22nd, 1932. *Printed.*
- No. 29 Report of the Ontario Veterinary College for the year 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 16th, 1932. *Printed.*
- No. 30 Comparative Statement of Legislative Grants apportioned to the Rural, Public and Separate Schools in the Counties and Districts for the year 1931. Presented to the Legislature, February 12th, 1932. *Not Printed.*
- No. 31 Report of the Distribution of Revised Statutes and Sessional Papers, October 31st, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, February 12th, 1932. *Not Printed.*
- No. 32 Orders-in-Council made pursuant to The Ontario Insurance Act and The Guarantee Companies Securities Act, Department of Insurance. Presented to the Legislature, February 17th, 1932. *Not Printed.*
- No. 33 Orders-in-Council made under the authority of The Northern Development Act, 1929. Presented to the Legislature, February 17th, 1932. *Not Printed.*
- No. 34 Regulations and Orders-in-Council made under the authority of the Department of Education or of the Acts relating to Public Schools, Separate Schools or High Schools. Presented to the Legislature, February 17th, 1932. *Not Printed.*
- No. 35 Annual Report of the Civil Service Commissioner of Ontario for the year ending October 31st, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, February 26th, 1932. *Not Printed.*
- No. 36 Annual Report of the Public Service Superannuation Board, Ontario, for the year ending October 31st, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, February 26th, 1932. *Not Printed.*
- No. 37 Report of the Honourable Mr. Justice Middleton re Enquiry into certain proposed amendments to The Workmen's Compensation Act of the Province of Ontario. Presented to the Legislature, February 29th, 1932. *Printed.*

- No. 38 Report on Operations under The Northern Development Act and The Colonization Roads Act for the year ending October 31st, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 8th, 1932.
- No. 39 Report of the Department of Public Records and Archives, Ontario, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 8th, 1932. *Printed.*
- No. 40 Statement showing all sums credited to The Highway Improvement Fund and all sums chargeable thereto for the Fiscal Year ending October 31st, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 8th, 1932. *Not Printed.*
- No. 41 Report of the Royal Commission on the use of Radium and X-rays in the Treatment of the Sick, etc. Presented to the Legislature, March 14th, 1932. *Printed.*
- No. 42 Return to an Order of the House dated March 7th, 1932, That there be laid before the House a Return showing: 1. Through what insurance company does the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario place the blanket policy protecting the individual commissions in the Province against public liability and property damage losses. 2. Upon what basis are these individual policies issued. 3. Who are the directors of the insurance company. 4. What was the aggregate amount of premiums paid by the individual commissions through the Ontario Commission to the insurance company during 1930 and 1931 separately. 5. What total losses have been paid on these policies, collectively, during 1930 and 1931. 6. What percentage has been the average reduction, if any, on the premiums paid in 1931 as compared to 1930. Presented to the Legislature, March 15th, 1932. Mr. Hipel. *Not Printed.*
- No. 43 Return to an Order of the House dated March 10th, 1932: That there be laid before the House a Return showing copies of all schedules of insurance of the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario, giving (a) the names of the companies or corporations issuing the policies; (b) the names of the agencies through which policies were issued; (c) the premium commission allowed; (d) list of policies of insurance held by the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario not included in the schedules above referred to. Presented to the Legislature, March 15th, 1932. Mr. Baxter. *Not Printed.*
- No. 44 Annual Report of the Niagara Parks Commission, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 18th, 1932.
- No. 45 Annual Report of the Ontario Athletic Commission for the year ending October 31st, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 18th, 1932.
- No. 46 Report of the Department of Public Highways for the years 1930 and 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 22nd, 1932. *Printed.*

-
- | | |
|--------|---|
| No. 47 | Annual Report of Minimum Wage Board, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 22nd, 1932. <i>Printed.</i> |
| No. 48 | Report of the Secretary and Registrar of the Province of Ontario with respect to the Administration of The Companies Act, The Extra Provincial Corporations Act, The Mortmain and Charitable Uses Act, and The Companies Information Act for the year ending October 31st, 1931. Presented to the Legislature, March 23rd <i>Not Printed.</i> |
-

RETURNS ORDERED BUT NOT BROUGHT DOWN

1. Showing the contract between the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario and the Beauharnois Power Company, and all documents relating thereto, 186.

JOURNALS

OF THE

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

OF THE

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 10TH, 1932

PROCLAMATION

W. MULOCK

CANADA.

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO

GEORGE THE FIFTH, by the Grace of God, of Great Britain, Ireland and the British Dominions beyond the Seas, KING, Defender of the Faith, Emperor of India.

To Our Faithful, the Members elected to serve in the Legislative Assembly of our Province of Ontario, and to every of you—GREETING.

WILLIAM H. PRICE,

Attorney-General.

{ **W**HEREAS it is expedient for certain causes and considerations to convene the Legislative Assembly of Our said Province, WE DO WILL that you and each of you and all others in this behalf interested, on WEDNESDAY, the Tenth day of February now next, at OUR CITY OF TORONTO, personally be and appear for the DESPATCH OF BUSINESS, to treat, act, do and conclude upon those things which, in Our Legislature of the Province of Ontario, by the Common Council

of Our said Province, may by the favour of God be ordained. HEREIN FAIL NOT.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, We have caused these Our Letters to be made Patent, and the GREAT SEAL of Our Province of Ontario to be hereunto affixed.

WITNESS, The Right Honourable SIR WILLIAM MULOCK, K.C.M.G., Chief Justice of Ontario, Administrator of Our Government of Our Province of Ontario, at Our Government House in Our City of Toronto, in Our said Province, this Twenty-fourth day of December, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-one and in the twenty-second year of Our Reign.

By Command,

C. F. BULMER,
Clerk of the Crown in Chancery.

Wednesday, the Tenth day of February, 1932, being the first day of the Third Meeting of the Eighteenth Legislature of the Province of Ontario for the despatch of business pursuant to a proclamation of The Right Honourable Sir William Mulock, K.C.M.G., Administrator of the Government of the Province.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

And the House having met,

The Right Honourable the Administrator then entered the House and being seated on the Throne was pleased to open the Session by the following gracious speech:—

Mr. Speaker and Gentlemen of the Legislative Assembly:

It is my privilege to-day to welcome you to the Third Session of the Eighteenth Legislature of this Province.

Realizing our dependence upon the bounty and guidance of Providence, we approach our public duties with gratitude to Almighty God for the blessings of the past, and with confidence for the future.

Ontario participated to the fullest extent in the very cordial welcome to Canada extended to His Excellency, the Earl of Bessborough, as the representative of our Gracious Sovereign, and also to Her Excellency, the Countess of Bessborough.

At this time, when communities everywhere are confronted with unusual problems arising out of world-wide economic conditions, we have reason for congratulation in the stability of the public credit and of our financial institutions.

In common with other British citizens, our people look forward with deep interest to the approaching Imperial Economic Conference at Ottawa, and earnestly hope that the momentous gathering will permanently advance the prosperity of the Empire.

In view of the negotiations for the proposed seaway treaty, the Federal and the Provincial authorities have conferred upon the appropriate division of the cost, as between navigation and power development, of the international section of the St. Lawrence River.

Through the co-operation of the Federal and Provincial Governments with the municipal authorities, a considerable measure of relief has been extended to persons experiencing hardship on account of the prevailing unemployment. This relief has taken, in the main, the form of opportunities for work, but it has been necessary also to provide funds for direct relief. Owing to the favourable conditions, much progress was made in the construction of the Trans-Canada Highway, and other road improvements.

While agricultural production was maintained at a high level, the financial return was much below normal. New fields of usefulness are being developed by the Department of Agriculture. The Ontario Marketing Board, recently created, has organized the Fruit and Vegetable Growers Markets Council, and is giving special attention to the grading and marketing of a number of important farm products. It is gratifying to note that cold storage and central packing plants are being increased.

The increase in school attendance is a remarkable proof of popular interest in education. It is to be noted that the attendance in all the Training Schools exceeds that of recent years, thus assuring an ample supply of qualified teachers for the Province.

Distribution of electrical energy by the Hydro-Electric Power Commission was continued during the year on an expanding scale, both as regards the number of municipalities served and the customers supplied. The Provincial Commission and the local Commissions substantially increased their reserve funds. In the rural parts of the Province, the demand for power called for the construction of over twelve hundred miles of new transmission lines to supply nearly nine thousand additional consumers.

By utilizing the flow of the Ottawa River at Chats Falls, the Hydro-Electric Power Commission has secured an important addition to its generating facilities, second only in extent to the great Niagara development. Special interest attaches to this work by reason of its interprovincial character, which necessitated co-operation between the Governments of Ontario and Quebec, and probably will be the forerunner of further joint undertakings.

The mining industry continues to play an important part in the economic life of the Province. Base metals, as well as silver, have fallen to extremely low prices, with the result that their production has been lessened, or in some cases stopped altogether. This decrease has to a large extent been offset by a much higher output of gold, and the outlook for this year is for a still greater production.

Satisfactory results have attended the efforts of the Department of Health to prevent the spread of communicable disease. The improvement of conditions in industrial plants is proving a valuable contribution to the physical welfare of the workers. By means of a dental car, the Department has brought this essential service within the reach of remote pioneers of Northern Ontario. Arrangements have been made for a substantial increase in the accommodation for consumptives, which was urgently needed. A thorough investigation of the methods of prevention, diagnosis and treatment of cancer was made by the Commission appointed for that purpose, and a report is expected at an early date.

Extensions of the King's Highways during the year afforded much employment and added nearly 200 miles to the system. Payments by the Province to the municipalities for road purposes of nearly seven millions of dollars helped materially to lighten the burden of taxation. The law respecting Financial Responsibility and Accident Reporting has been proven by experience to have a wholesome effect.

Special demands were made upon the Department of Labour, both in finding opportunities for employment and in helping to relieve municipalities of the burden of caring for floating population. Consideration was given to the operation of The Apprenticeship Act to which certain improvements will be proposed. Amendments to The Factory Act and The Mechanics Lien Act are in contemplation, as well as legislation to make The Industrial Disputes Act of the Dominion operative in Ontario.

Efforts are being made by the Department of Public Welfare to give leadership to local work by the organization of county units of welfare. It is hoped that this movement will bring about co-operation between social welfare agencies in each county in the form of a Public Welfare Association.

Under the arrangement whereby the Federal Government will assume seventy-five per cent. of the cost of Old Age Pensions, the municipalities, as well as the Province, will be relieved of a large proportion of the existing pension costs.

Improvement in the administration and discipline of Reformatories and Penal Institutions has been effected, including a larger measure of segregation of first offenders from habitual criminals. Vocational training and industrial activities were increased in the Reformatories and Industrial Farms. It is expected that the reorganization of the Board of Parole will result in widening the scope of its activities in the direction of re-establishing discharged prisoners as good citizens.

Pursuant to the legislation of last Session, the Security Frauds Prevention Board was constituted with full authority over the administration of The Security Frauds Prevention Act. Another measure which came into operation is The Real Estate Brokers' Act, requiring the registration of brokers and salesmen dealing in real estate in the main centres of population.

The extension of the Temiskaming and Northern Ontario Railway to James Bay was energetically carried on during the past year. Rails were laid to tidal waters, and the work of completing the road bed was well advanced. A new era in the northward development of this Province will be commenced as a result of this public undertaking.

During the recess considerable progress was made in the investigation of the Fish and Game resources of the Province by the Committee appointed for this purpose.

Among the measures recommended to you will be bills for the relief of mortgagors, to amend The Old Age Pension Act, respecting the operation of industrial farms, and to amalgamate the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board with the Bureau of Municipal Affairs, and for other purposes.

While the depression throughout the past year had the effect of curtailing revenue in some directions, it also operated to increase the demands on the Government for assistance. Yet under these abnormal conditions, the ordinary revenues have not fallen far short of the amount necessary to meet ordinary expenditures. The high standard of Ontario's financial credit is reflected in the result of the recent loan.

The Public Accounts have been prepared and will be submitted to you shortly, together with estimates of the proposed expenditures for the current and ensuing fiscal years. It will be observed that measures of retrenchment are being applied to the fullest possible extent.

In conclusion, I commend to your earnest consideration various subjects requiring your attention, and trust that your deliberations serve to advance the public welfare.

The Right Honourable the Administrator was then pleased to retire.

Prayers.

Mr. Speaker then reported,

That, to prevent mistakes, he had obtained a copy of His Honour's Speech, which he read.

Mr. Speaker informed the House:

That he had received, during the recess of the House, as provided by the Revised Statutes of Ontario, 1927, Chapter 12, Section 32 (1), notifications of vacancies which had occurred in the Membership of the House and had issued his Warrants to the Clerk of the Crown in Chancery for new Writs for the

election of Members to serve in the present Legislature for the following Electoral Districts:—

The Electoral District of Dundas.

The Electoral District of Norfolk.

The Electoral District of Wellington South.

To the Honourable the Speaker of the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

We, the undersigned, Thomas A. Murphy, Member for the said Legislative Assembly for the Electoral Division of Beaches, and Frederick George McBrien, Member for the said Legislative Assembly for the Electoral Division of Brockton, do hereby notify you that a vacancy has occurred in the representation in the said Legislative Assembly for the Electoral Division of Norfolk by reason of the death of John Strickler Martin, Member for the said Electoral Division of Norfolk.

And we the said Thomas A. Murphy and Frederick George McBrien, Members of the Assembly aforesaid, hereby require you to issue a new Writ for the Election of a Member to fill the said vacancy.

In Witness Whereof, we have hereunto set our hands and seals on this Twenty-seventh day of May in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-one.

Signed and sealed in the presence of ALEX. C. LEWIS.	}	THOMAS A. MURPHY.	[L.S.]
		FRED. G. MCBRIEN.	[L.S.]

To the Honourable the Speaker of the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

We, the undersigned, Thomas A. Murphy, Member for the said Legislative Assembly for the Electoral Division of Beaches, and Frederick George McBrien, Member for the said Legislative Assembly for the Electoral Division of Brockton, do hereby notify you that a vacancy has occurred in the representation in the said Legislative Assembly for the Electoral Division of Dundas by reason of acceptance of an office under the Crown, To Wit: the office of Secretary and Registrar for the Province, by George Holme Challies, Member elect for the said Electoral Division of Dundas.

And we the said Thomas A. Murphy and Frederick George McBrien, Members of the Assembly aforesaid, hereby require you to issue a new Writ for the Election of a Member to fill the said vacancy.

In Witness Whereof, we have hereunto set our hands and seals on this Thirtieth day of July in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-one.

Signed and sealed in } the presence of	}	THOMAS A. MURPHY.	[L.S.]
		ALEX. C. LEWIS.	FRED. G. MCBRIEN.

To the Honourable the Speaker of the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

We, the undersigned, George Stewart Henry, Member for the said Legislative Assembly for the Electoral Division of York, East, and Leopold Macaulay, Member for the said Legislative Assembly for the Electoral Division of York, South, do hereby notify you that a vacancy has occurred in the representation in the said Legislative Assembly for the Electoral Division of South Wellington by reason of the death of Honourable Lincoln Goldie, Member for the said Electoral Division of South Wellington.

And we the said George Stewart Henry and Leopold Macaulay, Members of the Assembly aforesaid, hereby require you to issue a new Writ for the Election of a Member to fill the said vacancy.

In Witness Whereof, we have hereunto set our hands and seals on this Eighth day of October, 1931.

Signed and sealed in } the presence of	}	GEO. S. HENRY.	[L.S.]
		C. J. FOSTER.	L. MACAULAY.

To the Honourable the Speaker of the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

We, the undersigned, Thomas A. Murphy, Member for the said Legislative Assembly for the Electoral Division of Beaches, and Frederick George McBrien, Member for the said Legislative Assembly for the Electoral Division of Brockton, do hereby notify you that a vacancy has occurred in the representation in the said Legislative Assembly for the Electoral Division of York, West, by reason

of the death of Forbes E. Godfrey, Member for the said Electoral Division of York, West.

And we the said Thomas A. Murphy and Frederick George McBrien, Members of the Assembly aforesaid, hereby require you to issue a new Writ for the Election of a Member to fill the said vacancy.

In Witness Whereof, we have hereunto set our hands and seals on this Eighth day of February in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty-two.

Signed and sealed in	}	THOMAS A. MURPHY.	[L.S.]
the presence of		ALEX. C. LEWIS.	
		FRED. G. MCBRIEN.	[L.S.]

Mr. Speaker also informed the House,

That the Clerk had received, from the Clerk of the Crown in Chancery, and laid upon the Table, the following certificates of the elections held since the last Session of the House:—

Electoral District of Dundas—Mr. George Holme Challies.

Electoral District of Norfolk—Mr. Arthur C. Burt.

Electoral District of Wellington, South—Mr. Paul Munro

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that in virtue of a Writ of Election, dated the Twenty-eighth day of May, 1931, issued by the Honourable the Lieutenant-Governor, and addressed to C. Frederick W. Atkinson, Esquire, Returning Officer for the Electoral District of Norfolk, for the election of a Member to represent the said Electoral District of Norfolk in the Legislative Assembly of this Province, in the room of the Honourable John Strickler Martin, who, since his election as representative of the said Electoral District of Norfolk, has departed this life, Arthur Campbell Burt, Esquire, has been returned as duly elected, as appears by the Return of the said Writ of Election, dated the Twenty-second day of July, 1931, which is now lodged of record in my office.

C. F. BULMER,
Clerk of the Crown in Chancery.

Toronto, February 8th, 1932.

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that in virtue of a Writ of Election, dated the Thirty-first day of July, 1931, issued by the Honourable the Administrator of the Government of the Province of Ontario, and addressed to Oscar Becksted, Esquire, Returning Officer for the Electoral District of Dundas, for the election of a Member to represent the said Electoral District of Dundas in the Legislative Assembly of this Province in the room of George Holme Challies, Esquire, who, since his election as representative of the said Electoral District of Dundas, had accepted an office of emolument under the Crown, To Wit: the office of Secretary and Registrar, by reason whereof the seat of the said George Holme Challies, Esquire, has become vacant, the Honourable George Holme Challies has been returned as duly elected, as appears by the Return of the said Writ of Election, dated the Fourth day of September, 1931, which is now lodged of record in my office.

C. F. BULMER,
Clerk of the Crown in Chancery.

Toronto, February 8th, 1932.

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that in virtue of a Writ of Election, dated the Eighth day of October, 1931, issued by the Honourable the Administrator of the Government, and addressed to Harry Mahoney, Esquire, Returning Officer for the Electoral District of South Wellington, for the election of a Member to represent the said Electoral District of South Wellington in the Legislative Assembly of this Province, in the room of the Honourable Lincoln Goldie, who, since his election as representative of the said Electoral District of South Wellington, has departed this life, Paul Munro, Esquire, has been returned as duly elected, as appears by the Return of the said Writ of Election, dated the First day of December, 1931, which is now lodged of record in my office.

C. F. BULMER,
Clerk of the Crown in Chancery.

Toronto, February 8th, 1932.

Mr. Speaker also informed the House that the Clerk had laid upon the Table:—

A Return from the Records of the By-Elections to the Legislative Assembly held on the following dates in 1931: January 31st and February 11th; June 27th and July 8th; August 29th, and November 7th and 18th, showing:—

(1) The number of votes polled for each Candidate in each Electoral District in which there was a contest; (2) The majority whereby each successful

Candidate was returned; (3) The total number of votes polled; (4) The total number of votes remaining unpolled; (5) The number of names on the polling lists; (6) The number of ballot papers sent out to each polling place; (7) The used ballot papers; (8) The unused ballot papers; (9) The rejected ballot papers; (10) The cancelled ballot papers; (11) The declined ballot papers; (12) The ballot papers taken from polling places; (13) The number of printed ballots not distributed to D.R.O.'s, and (14) The number of ballot papers printed. (*Sessional Paper No. 25.*)

Arthur Campbell Burt, Esquire, Member for the Electoral District of Norfolk, and Paul Munro, Esquire, Member for the Electoral District of South Wellington, having taken the Oaths and subscribed the Roll, took their Seats.

On motion of Mr. Henry, seconded by Mr. Price,

A Bill was introduced intituled "An Act respecting the Administration of Oaths of Office to persons appointed as Justices of the Peace," and the same was read the first time.

On motion of Mr. Henry, seconded by Mr. Price,

Ordered, That the Speech of the Right Honourable the Administrator to this House be taken into consideration To-morrow.

On motion of Mr. Henry, seconded by Mr. Price,

Resolved, That Select Standing Committees of this House, for the present Session, be appointed for the following purposes—1. On Privileges and Elections; 2. On Railways; 3. On Miscellaneous Private Bills; 4. On Standing Orders; 5. On Public Accounts; 6. On Printing; 7. On Municipal Law; 8. On Legal Bills; 9. On Agriculture and Colonization; 10. On Fish and Game; 11. On Labour; which said Committees shall severally be empowered to examine and enquire into all such matters and things as shall be referred to them by the House, and to report from time to time their observations and opinions thereon, with power to send for persons, papers and records.

On motion of Mr. Henry, seconded by Mr. Price,

Ordered, That when this House adjourns this afternoon it stand adjourned until fifteen minutes after Four of the Clock on Thursday afternoon.

The House then adjourned at 3.30 p.m.

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 11TH, 1932

PRAYERS.

4.15 O'CLOCK P.M.

The following Petitions were severally brought up and laid upon the Table:—

By Mr. Cote, the Petition of the Roman Catholic Episcopal Corporation of the Diocese of Ottawa.

By Mr. Spence, the Petition of the Corporation of the Municipality of Paipoonge.

By Mr. Baird, the Petition of the Queen City Fire Insurance Company; also, the Petition of the Corporation of Wycliffe College.

By Mr. Martin (Hamilton), the Petition of the Sisters of St. Joseph in the Diocese of Hamilton.

By Mr. Munro, the Petition of the Corporation of the City of Guelph; also, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Village of Fergus.

By Mr. McBrien, the Petition of John W. Carrick and Thomas M. Whitehead, Trustees of the Trust Settlement of Charles G. Heward; also, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of North York.

By Mr. Vaughan, the Petition of the Corporation of the Township of Crowland.

By Mr. Ecclestone, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Huntsville.

By Mr. McMillen, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Sarnia.

By Mr. Acres, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the County of Carleton.

By Mr. Blakelock, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the County of Halton.

By Mr. Strickland, the Petition of the Sisters of St. Joseph in the Diocese of Peterborough.

By Mr. Heighington, the Petition of the Trinity College School.

By Mr. Case, the Petition of the Municipality of the Corporation of the Township of East York; also, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Village of Holland Landing; also, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation

of the Township of Scarborough; also, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Toronto; also, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Brampton.

By Mr. Nesbitt, the Petition of Arry Ellen Mayer Calhoun, Frances Louise Mayer Strachan, Kathleen Gertrude Mayer and Mary Melissa Mayer Fraser; also, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Toronto.

By Mr. Moore, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the City of London; also, the Petition of the Women's Christian Association of London.

By Mr. McArthur, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Cobourg.

By Mr. Seguin, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Gloucester; also, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Rockland.

By Mr. Craig, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Renfrew.

By Mr. Aubin, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Sudbury.

By Mr. Sinclair, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of East Whitby; also, the Petition of the Ontario Shore Gas Company, Limited.

By Mr. Willson (Niagara Falls), the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Fort Erie.

By Mr. Ellis, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Ottawa.

The following Bills were severally introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 75), intituled "An Act to give further Power to Courts with respect to the Recovery of Money Secured by Mortgage and Similar Matters."
Mr. Price.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 76), intituled "An Act to aid in the Prevention and Settlement of Strikes and Lockouts in Mines and Industries connected with Public Utilities."
Mr. Monteith.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 77), intituled "An Act to amend The Mimimum Wage Act."
Mr. Monteith.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

On motion by Mr. Henry, seconded by Mr. Price,

Ordered, That a Select Committee of ten Members be appointed to prepare and report, with all convenient speed, a list of Members to compose the Select Standing Committees ordered by this House, to be composed as follows:—

Messrs. Henry (York East), *Price, Kennedy* (Peel), *Ireland, Nesbitt, Hill, Honeywell, McQuibban, Robertson* and *Nixon*.

The Order of the Day for the Consideration of the Speech of The Right Honourable the Administrator of the Government of the Province of Ontario at the opening of the Session having been read,

Mr. Martin (Hamilton West) moved, seconded by Mr. Sanderson,

That an humble Address be presented to The Right Honourable the Administrator, as follows:—

To the Right Honourable Sir William Mulock, K.C.M.G., Administrator of the Government of the Province of Ontario.

We, His Majesty's most dutiful and loyal subjects, the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario, now assembled, beg leave to thank Your Honour for the gracious speech Your Honour has addressed to us.

And a Debate having ensued, it was, on the motion of Mr. Sinclair,

Ordered, That the Debate be adjourned until Tuesday next.

The Provincial Secretary presented to the House, by command of The Right Honourable the Administrator of the Government:—

Annual Report of the Temiskaming and Northern Ontario Railway Commission for year ending October 31, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 23.*)

The House then adjourned at 5.15 p.m.

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 12TH, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

The following Petitions were severally brought up and laid upon the Table:—

By Mr. Jutten, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Hamilton.

By Mr. Stedman, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Smith's Falls.

By Mr. Willson (Niagara Falls), the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Niagara Falls.

By Mr. Graves, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the City of St. Catharines.

By Mr. Reid, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Sandwich East.

By Mr. Kenning, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Teck.

The following Petitions were read and received:—

Of the Roman Catholic Episcopal Corporation of the Diocese of Ottawa, praying that an Act may pass authorizing certain borrowing powers of the Petitioners and designating certain signing officers.

Of the Corporation of the Municipality of Paipoonge, praying that an Act may pass to validate and confirm all the sales held prior to December 31st, 1930.

Of the Queen City Fire Insurance Company, praying that an Act may pass, to enlarge the powers of the Company, so as to cover all classes of insurance.

Of the Sisters of St. Joseph in the Diocese of Hamilton, praying that an Act may pass to increase the annual value of the lands, etc., which the Petitioners may acquire.

Of the Corporation of the City of Guelph, praying that an Act may pass authorizing an issue of debentures of \$226,900.00 for various purposes and to confirm tax sales.

Of John W. Carrick and Thomas M. Whitehead, Trustees of the Trust Settlement of Charles G. Heward, praying that an Act may pass to vary the terms of the Trust Settlement, in order to allow the payment of \$5,000.00 out of the Trust.

Of the Corporation of Wycliffe College, praying that an Act may pass authorizing the College to accept and administer a legacy from the late Reuben Wells Leonard.

Of the Corporation of the Township of Crowland, praying that an Act may pass to authorize the establishment of sewer and water areas, to validate certain by-laws and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Huntsville, praying that an Act may pass authorizing certain local improvement works to be paid for by the Town at large.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Sarnia, praying that an Act may pass authorizing the consolidation of a floating indebtedness of \$435,000.00 and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the County of Carleton, praying that an Act may pass authorizing the consolidation of a floating debt of \$193,193.90.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the County of Halton, praying that an Act may pass validating and confirming an agreement between the Corporation and the Town of Milton.

Of the Sisters of St. Joseph of the Diocese of Peterborough, praying that an Act may pass to increase the power of the Petitioners in the matter of holding real estate, to confirm the title of the Petitioners in certain properties and for other purposes.

Of The Trinity College School, praying that an Act may pass authorizing a change in the name of the Petitioners and to extend its powers to acquire, hold and dispose of lands, etc.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of East York, praying that an Act may pass to authorize the Township to establish a ward system, to authorize the annexation of the Township to the City of Toronto and for other purposes.

Of Arry Ellen Mayer Calhoun, Frances Louise Mayer Strachan, Kathleen Gertrude Mayer and Mary Melissa Mayer Fraser, praying that an Act may pass to vary the terms of a Trust Settlement heretofore made by the Petitioners.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Village of Holland Landing, praying that an Act may pass to annul the incorporation of the Village.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Village of Fergus, praying that an Act may pass validating an agreement under which the petitioners propose to take over and operate the Alexandra Hospital in the Village of Fergus.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of London, praying that an Act may pass, authorizing the Petitioners to pass certain regulatory by-laws and to validate tax sales.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, praying that an Act may pass authorizing the Petitioners to separate from the United Counties of Northumberland and Durham for Municipal purposes and to consolidate a floating debt of \$35,000.00.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Gloucester, praying that an Act may pass authorizing the consolidation of a floating debt of \$30,000.00.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Renfrew, praying that an Act may pass to confirm and validate all assessment and collectors' rolls of the said Town, prior to 31st of December, 1931.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Sudbury, praying that an Act may pass to validate debenture by-laws totalling \$593,848.73.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of East Whitby, praying that an Act may pass authorizing the consolidation of a floating debt of \$76,500.00.

Of the Ontario Shore Gas Company, Limited, praying that an Act may pass validating certain franchise agreements made between the Petitioners and various Municipalities.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of North York, praying that an Act may pass to vary the law regarding water areas and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Scarborough, praying that an Act may pass validating certain by-laws and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Toronto, praying that an Act may pass authorizing certain debenture by-laws and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Fort Erie, praying that an Act may pass to validate an assessment agreement between the Petitioners and the International Bridge Company.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Ottawa, praying that an Act may pass to validate debenture issues of \$305,000.00 and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Rockland, praying that an Act may pass authorizing the consolidation of a floating debt of \$25,000.00.

Of the Women's Christian Association of London, praying that an Act may pass to confirm the incorporation of the Petitioners.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Toronto, praying that

an Act may pass authorizing the Petitioners to instal a water system, to institute a fire department and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Brampton, praying that an Act may pass validating debenture by-laws to the amount of \$30,758.58.

Mr. Kennedy (Peel) presented the first report of the Select Committee appointed to strike the Standing Committees of the House which was read as follows, and adopted:—

Your Committee recommends that the Standing Committee on Standing Orders be composed as follows:—

Messrs. Aubin, Berry, Blanchard, Burt, Craig, Davis, Ecclestone, Elliott (Rainy River), Freele, Harrison, Henry (East Kent), Hipel, Jamieson, Kennedy (Temiskaming), Laughton, Martin (Brantford), Medd, McArthur, McBrien, McLean, McMillan, McNaughton, Newman, Raven, Richardson, Ross, Sinclair, Skinner, Smith (Greenwood), Staples, Strickland, St. Denis, Taylor, Tweed, Vaughan, Willson (Niagara Falls), Wilson (Lincoln), Wright—38.

The Quorum of said Committee to consist of seven Members.

The following Bill was introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 78), intituled "An Act to amend The Insurance Act, respecting Automobile Insurance." *Mr. Price.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time on Monday next.

The Provincial Secretary presented to the House, by command of The Right Honourable the Administrator of the Government:—

Report of the Board of Governors of the University of Toronto for year ending 30th June, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 12.*)

Also, Comparative Statement of Legislative Grants apportioned to the Rural, Public and Separate Schools in the Counties and Districts for year 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 30.*)

Also, Report of the Distribution of Revised Statutes and Sessional Papers, October 31st, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 31.*)

The House then adjourned at 3.30 p.m.

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 15TH, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

The following Petitions were severally brought up and laid upon the Table:—

By Mr. McMillen, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Sarnia and the Village of Point Edward.

By Mr. Case, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of York.

By Mr. Jutten, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Hamilton and the Hamilton Board of Park Management.

By Mr. Raven, the Petition of the St. Thomas Young Women's Christian Association.

The following Petitions were read and received:—

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Teck, praying that an Act may pass to validate debentures of \$400,000.00 and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Hamilton, praying that an Act may pass to authorize debenture issues of \$312,000.00 and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Smith's Falls, praying that an Act may pass to validate an industrial agreement and to validate a debenture issue of \$75,000.00.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Niagara Falls, praying that an Act may pass to authorize a variation of the method of making income and business assessments and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of St. Catharines, praying that an Act may pass to authorize a debenture issue of \$50,000.00, to validate a certain contract and certain by-laws and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Sandwich East, praying that an Act may pass to authorize the consolidation of a floating debt and for other purposes.

Mr. Kennedy (Peel) from the Select Committee appointed to strike the

Standing Committees of the House presented their Second Report which was read as follows and adopted:—

Your Committee recommends that the Standing Committees of the House as listed hereunder be composed as follows:—

COMMITTEE ON PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS

Honourable Mr. Henry, Messrs. Aubin, Berry, Blakelock, Blanchard, Bragg, Burt, Calder, Case, Challies, Clark, Cote, Craig, Dunlop, Fraleigh, Freele, Henry (East Kent), Ireland, Jutten, McCrea, Murphy (St. Patrick), Newman, Nesbitt, Oakley, Oliver, Price, Richardson, Sanderson, Sangster, Scholfield, Shaver, Shields, Sinclair, Singer, St. Denis, Strickland, Taylor, Wilson (Lincoln)—38.

The Quorum of the said Committee to consist of nine Members.

COMMITTEE ON RAILWAYS

Honourable Mr. Henry, Messrs. Blanchard, Calder, Challies, Clark, Cooke, Craig, Davis, Dunlop, Elliott (Rainy River), Ellis, Fraleigh, Freele, Graham, Graves, Hambly, Harcourt, Hipel, Hutchinson, Ireland, Jutten, Kennedy (Temiskaming), Kenning, Laughton, Lyons, Macaulay, Mackay, Mahony, Martin (Hamilton), Martin (Brantford), Medd, Monteith, Murphy (Beaches), Murray, McArthur, McBrien, McCrea, McLean, Nesbitt, Oakley, Poisson, Price, Reid, Richardson, Ross, St. Denis, Scholfield, Shields, Sinclair, Smith (Toronto), Taylor, Waters, Wilson (London), Wright (Toronto)—54.

The Quorum of said Committee to consist of nine Members.

COMMITTEE ON PRIVATE BILLS

Honourable Mr. Henry, Messrs. Acres, Blakelock, Baird, Baxter, Berry, Black, Blanchard, Bragg, Calder, Case, Challies, Clark, Cooke, Cote, Ecclestone, Elliott (Bruce), Ellis, Finlayson, Graves, Harcourt, Harrison, Heighington, Hill, Hipel, Hogarth, Honeywell, Ireland, Jamieson, Jutten, Kennedy (Peel), Kenning, Macaulay, Mahony, Martin (Hamilton), Martin (Brantford), Morrison, Moore, Munro, Murphy (Beaches), McArthur, McBrien, McCrea, McNaughton, McMillen, McQuibban, Newman, Nesbitt, Nixon, Oakley, Oliver, Price, Poisson, Raven, Reid, Robb, Robertson, Scholfield, Seguin, Shaver, Shields, Simpson, Sinclair, Singer, Skinner, Slack, Smith (Essex), Smith (Toronto), Spence, Staples, Stedman, Strickland, Taylor, Tweed, Vaughan, Waters, Willson (Niagara), Wilson (Windsor), Wright (Toronto)—79.

The Quorum of said Committee to consist of nine Members.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

Honourable Mr. Henry, Messrs. Acres, Baird, Berry, Black, Blanchard, Bragg, Burt, Calder, Case, Colliver, Cote, Craig, Dunlop, Ecclestone, Ellis, Finlayson,

Fraleigh, Freele, Harcourt, Heighington, Hill, Hipel, Honeywell, Hutchinson, Ireland, Jamieson, Jutten, Kennedy (Peel), Kenning, Lancaster, Lyons, Macaulay, Mackay, Martin (Hamilton), Martin (Brantford), Monteith, Moore, Morrison, Munro, Murphy (Beaches), Murphy (St. Patrick), Murray, McBrien, McCrea, McLean, McNaughton, McQuibban, Nesbitt, Newman, Nixon, Oakley, Oliver, Price, Reid, Robb, Robertson, St. Denis, Sangster, Seguin, Shaver, Simpson, Sinclair, Singer, Skinner, Slack, Smith (Essex), Smith (Toronto), Strickland, Tweed, Willson (Niagara Falls), Wilson (Windsor)—72.

The Quorum of the said Committee to consist of nine Members.

COMMITTEE ON PRINTING

Honourable Mr. Henry, Messrs. Aubin, Blanchard, Colliver, Elliott (Rainy River), Hambly, Lancaster, Martin (Hamilton), Medd, Monteith, McArthur, McQuibban, Robertson, Simpson, Sinclair, Singer, Spence, Stedman, Tweed, Waters, Wright (Toronto)—21.

The Quorum of the said Committee to consist of five Members.

COMMITTEE ON MUNICIPAL LAW

Honourable Mr. Henry, Messrs. Acres, Baird, Baxter, Bell, Case, Challies, Clark, Colliver, Cooke, Cote, Craig Dunlop, Ecclestone, Elliott (Bruce), Elliott (Rainy River), Ellis, Finlayson, Graham, Graves, Hambly, Heighington, Henry (Kent), Hill, Honeywell, Hutchinson, Ireland, Jamieson, Jutten, Kennedy (Peel), Kennedy (Temiskaming), Lancaster, Laughton, Lyons, Macaulay, Mackay, Mahony, Medd, Monteith, Morrison, Munro, Murphy (Beaches), Murphy (St. Patrick), Murray, McArthur, McBrien, McCrea, McLean, McNaughton, Nesbitt, Oakley, Poisson, Price, Raven, Reid, Richardson, Robb, Robertson, Ross, Sanderson, Sangster, Scholfield, Seguin, Shaver, Sinclair, Skinner, Slack, Smith (Essex), Smith (Toronto), Staples, Stedman, Vaughan, Wilson (London), Wilson (Windsor), Wright (Toronto)—75.

The Quorum of the said Committee to consist of nine Members.

COMMITTEE ON LEGAL BILLS

Honourable Mr. Henry, Messrs. Cote, Ellis, Finlayson, Heighington, Honeywell, Hutchinson, Macaulay, Martin (Hamilton), Moore, Morrison, McBrien, McCrea, Murphy (St. Patrick), Nesbitt, Nixon, Price, Seguin, Simpson, Sinclair, Singer, Smith (South Essex), Slack, Tweed, Wilson (Windsor)—25.

The Quorum of the said Committee to consist of five Members.

COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE AND COLONIZATION

Honourable, Mr. Henry, Messrs. Acres, Baxter, Bell, Black, Blanchard, Blakelock, Bragg, Burt, Calder, Challies, Cooke, Colliver, Craig, Davis, Ecclestone, Elliott (Bruce), Fraleigh, Freele, Graham, Harcourt, Harrison, Henry (Kent), Hill,

Hogarth, Hutchinson, Ireland, Jamieson, Kennedy (Peel), Kennedy (Temiskaming), Lancaster, Laughton, Lyons, Mackay, Mahony, Murray, McLean, McMillen, McNaughton, McQuibban, Newman, Oliver, Poisson, Richardson, Raven, Robb, Ross, St. Denis, Sanderson, Sangster, Scholfield, Shields, Simpson, Sinclair, Skinner, Smith (Essex), Smith (Toronto), Spence, Stedman, Taylor, Vaughan, Waters, Willson (Niagara Falls), Wilson (Lincoln), Wilson (London), Wright—66.

The Quorum of said Committee to consist of nine Members.

COMMITTEE ON FISH AND GAME

Honourable Mr. Henry, Messrs. Acres, Aubin, Baird, Bell, Black, Blakelock, Bragg, Calder, Colliver, Cooke, Davis, Dunlop, Ecclestone, Elliott (Rainy River), Finlayson, Fraleigh, Graham, Graves, Hambly, Harcourt, Harrison, Henry (East Kent), Hill, Hipel, Hogarth, Hutchinson, Ireland, Jutten, Kennedy (Temiskaming), Kenning, Lancaster, Laughton, Lyons, Mahony, McCrea, McLean, McMillen, McNaughton, McQuibban, Monteith, Munro, Murphy (Beaches), Murray, Newman, Oliver, Poisson, Raven, Reid, Robb, Robertson, Ross, Sanderson, Sangster, Seguin, Simpson, Sinclair, Skinner, Smith (Essex), Spence, Staples, Stedman, Strickland, Taylor, Vaughan, Willson (Niagara Falls), Wilson (Lincoln), Wilson (London)—68.

The Quorum of said Committee to consist of seven Members.

COMMITTEE ON LABOUR

Honourable Mr. Henry, Messrs. Baird, Baxter, Blakelock, Elliott (Bruce), Harrison, Hutchinson, Jutten, Macaulay, Medd, McBrien, McCrea, McNaughton, McQuibban, Morrison, Monteith, Murphy (Beaches), Murphy (St. Patrick), Murray, Oakley, Oliver, Robertson, Sinclair, Spence, Tweed, Vaughan—26.

The Quorum of said Committee to consist of seven Members.

On motion of Mr. Henry, seconded by Mr. Price,

Ordered, That a Select Committee be appointed to direct the expenditure of any sum set apart by the Estimates for Art purposes, to be composed as follows:—

Messrs. Challies, Martin (Brantford), Monteith, Baird, Clark, Kennedy (Peel), Newman, Baxter and Nixon.

On motion of Mr. Henry, seconded by Mr. Price,

Ordered, That a Select Committee of nine Members be appointed to act

with Mr. Speaker in the control and management of the Library, to be composed as follows:—

Messrs. Price, Harrison, Shields, Richardson, Moore, McNaughton, Bragg and Taylor.

Before the Orders of the Day were called Mr. Nixon, with the consent of the House, moved the adjournment of the House for the purpose of discussing the question of the appointment of Counsel to represent the Opposition in the House before the Royal Commission appointed to enquire into certain matters affecting the Ontario Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario.

The following Bills were severally read the second time:—

Bill (No. 75), An Act to give further Power to Courts with respect to the recovery of Money Secured by Mortgage and Similar Matters.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 77), An Act to amend The Minimum Wage Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

The House then adjourned at 4.30 p.m.

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 16TH, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

The following Petition was brought up and laid upon the Table:—

By Mr. St. Denis, the Petition of the Roman Catholic Episcopal Corporation of the Diocese of Kingston.

The following Petitions were read and received:—

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Sarnia and the Village of Point Edward, praying that an Act may pass to validate certain agreements between the Petitioners and the Sarnia Bus Company.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of York, praying that an Act may pass to validate certain by-laws, grant special authority regarding assessment of incomes and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Hamilton and the Hamilton Board of Park Management, praying that an Act may pass to fix by statute the name of Gage Park.

Of the St. Thomas Young Women's Christian Association, praying that an Act may pass to exempt the lands and buildings owned by the Petitioners from all taxes excepting local improvement and school taxes.

Mr. McBrien from the Standing Committee on Standing Orders presented their First Report, which was read as follows, and adopted:—

Your Committee has carefully examined the following Petitions and finds the notices as published in each case sufficient:—

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Toronto, praying that an Act may pass authorizing the Petitioners to instal a water system, to institute a fire department and for other purposes.

Of the Roman Catholic Episcopal Corporation of the Diocese of Ottawa, praying that an Act may pass authorizing certain borrowing powers of the Petitioners and designating certain signing officers.

Of the Corporation of the Municipality of Paipouge, praying that an Act may pass to validate and confirm all tax sales held prior to December 31st, 1930.

Of the Queen City Fire Insurance Company, praying that an Act may pass, enlarging the powers of the Company, so as to cover all classes of insurance.

Of the Sisters of St. Joseph in the Diocese of Hamilton, praying that an Act may pass to increase the annual value of the lands, etc., which the Petitioners may acquire.

Of the Corporation of the City of Guelph, praying that an Act may pass to authorize an issue of debentures of \$226,900.00 for various purposes and to confirm tax sales.

Of James William Carrick and Thomas M. Whitehead, Trustees of the Trust Settlement of Charles Granville Heward, praying that an Act may pass, varying the terms of the said Trust Settlement, in order to allow the payment of \$5,000.00 out of the Trust.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Huntsville, praying that an Act may pass authorizing certain local improvement work, to be paid for by the Town at large.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the County of Carleton, praying that an Act may pass to authorize the consolidation of a floating debt of \$193,193.30.

Of the Sisters of St. Joseph of the Diocese of Peterborough, praying that an Act may pass to increase the power of the Petitioners in the matter of acquiring and holding real property, to confirm the title of the Petitioners in certain properties and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Village of Holland Landing, praying that an Act may pass to annul the incorporation of the Village.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Toronto, praying that an Act may pass to authorize certain debenture by-laws and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Fort Erie, praying that an Act may pass to validate an assessment agreement between the Petitioners and the International Bridge Company.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Smith's Falls, praying that an Act may pass to validate an industrial agreement and to validate a debenture issue of \$75,000.00.

Of the Corporation of the Township of Sandwich East, praying that an Act may pass authorizing the consolidation of a certain debt and for other purposes.

Of the Corporation of the County of Halton, praying that an Act may pass validating a certain agreement dated July, 1930, between the Corporation of the County of Halton and the Town of Milton and validating and confirming any and all debenture by-laws issued thereunder.

Of the Corporation of Wycliffe College, praying that an Act may pass authorizing the college to accept and administer a legacy from the late Reuben Wells Leonard.

The following Bills were severally introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 79), intituled "An Act to amend The Old Age Pension Act."
Mr. Martin (Brantford).

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 80), intituled "An Act to amend The Department of Labour Act."
Mr. Monteith.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 81), intituled "An Act to amend The Apprenticeship Act."
Mr. Monteith.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 1), intituled "An Act respecting the Township of Toronto."
Mr. Case.

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 3), intituled "An Act respecting the Municipality of Paipoonge."
Mr. Spence.

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 4), intituled "An Act respecting the Queen City Fire Insurance Company." *Mr. Baird.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 5), intituled "An Act respecting the Sisters of St. Joseph in the Diocese of Hamilton." *Mr. Martin* (Hamilton).

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 6), intituled "An Act respecting the City of Guelph." *Mr. Munro.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 7), intituled "An Act respecting the Trust Settlement of Charles Granville Heward." *Mr. McBrien.*

Referred to the Commissioners of Estate Bills.

Bill (No. 11), intituled "An Act respecting the Town of Huntsville."
Mr. Ecclestone.

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 14), intituled "An Act respecting the County of Carleton."
Mr. Acres.

Referred to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board.

Bill (No. 17), intituled "An Act respecting the Sisters of St. Joseph of the Diocese of Peterborough." *Mr. Strickland.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 22), intituled "An Act respecting the Village of Holland Landing."
Mr. Case.

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 36), intituled "An Act respecting the City of Toronto." *Mr. Nesbitt.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 37), intituled "An Act respecting the Town of Fort Erie." *Mr. Willson* (Niagara Falls).

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 45), intituled "An Act respecting the Town of Smith's Falls." *Mr. Stedman*.

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 16), intituled "An Act respecting the County of Halton." *Mr. Blakelock*.

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 8), intituled "An Act respecting Wycliffe College." *Mr. Baird*.

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

The Order of the Day for resuming the Adjourned Debate on the Motion for consideration of the Speech of The Right Honourable the Administrator at the opening of the Session, having been read,

The Debate was resumed and, after some time, Mr. Sinclair moved, seconded by Mr. McQuibban,

That all the words of the Motion after the first word "That" be struck out and the following substituted therefor:—

"This House condemns the Government for its failure to accede to the demands for a judicial inquiry into the payment of \$125,000 to John Aird, Jr., by Mr. R. O. Swezey at or about the time the contract was completed between the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario and the Beauharnois Light, Heat and Power Company for the purchase of 250,000 h.p. of electricity by the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario, and into all the circumstances connected with the following contracts made by the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario for the purchase of power—

"1. The Gatineau contract, May, 1926.....	260,000 h.p.
"2. The Gatineau contract, December, 1927.....	60,000 h.p.
"3. The Wahnipitae purchase, February, 1929.....	\$2,700,000
"4. The Chat's Falls contract, August, 1929.....	96,000 h.p.
"5. The Beauharnois contract, November, 1929.....	250,000 h.p.
"6. The Abitibi contract, April, 1930.....	100,000 h.p.
"7. The Maclaren contract, February, 1931.....	125,000 h.p."

And a Debate arising, after some time it was on the motion of Mr. Henry,

Ordered, That the Debate be further adjourned until Thursday next.

The House then adjourned at 6.37 p.m.

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 17TH, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

The following Petition was brought up and laid upon the Table:—

By Mr. Wilson (Windsor), the Petition of the Walkerville-East Windsor Water Commission.

The following Petition was read and received:—

Of the Roman Catholic Episcopal Corporation of the Diocese of Kingston, praying that an Act may pass to enlarge the powers conferred on the Petitioners by their Act of Incorporation.

On Motion of Mr. Henry (York East), seconded by Mr. McCrea,

Ordered, That the name of Mr. Hambly be added to the Committee on Agriculture and Colonization, and the name of Mr. Strickland be added to the Committee on Legal Bills.

The following Bills were severally introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 82), intituled "An Act respecting Operating Engineers." *Mr. Monteith.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 83), intituled "An Act to amend The Public Service Act." *Mr. Henry.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

The Provincial Secretary presented to the House, by command of The Right Honourable the Administrator of the Government:—

Annual Report of the Liquor Control Board of Ontario as at October 31st, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 20.*)

Also, Regulations and Orders-in-Council made under the authority of the Department of Education or of the Acts relating to Public Schools, Separate Schools or High Schools. (*Sessional Papers No. 34.*)

Also, Orders-in-Council made under the authority of The Northern Development Act, 1929. (*Sessional Papers No. 33.*)

Also, Orders-in-Council made pursuant to The Ontario Insurance Act and The Guarantee Companies Securities Act, Department of Insurance. (*Sessional Papers No. 32.*)

The House then adjourned at 3.20 p.m.

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 18TH, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

The following Petitions were severally brought up and laid upon the Table:—

By Mr. Richardson, the Petition of the Association of Professional Engineers.

By Mr. Craig, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Pembroke.

By Mr. Jutten, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Brantford.

By Mr. Stedman, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Perth.

By Mr. Wilson (Windsor), the Petition of the Corporation of the City of Windsor.

The following Petition was read and received:

Of the Walkerville-East Windsor Water Commission, praying that an Act may pass to fix a rate for cost of installing watermains.

The following Bill was read the second time:—

Bill (No. 80), An Act to amend The Department of Labour Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

The Order of the Day for resuming the Adjourned Debate on the Amendment to the Motion for consideration of the Speech of The Right Honourable the Administrator at the opening of the Session, having been read,

The Debate was resumed and, after some time, Mr. Henry moved, seconded by Mr. McCrea,

That all the words in the amendment after the first word "that" be omitted and the following be substituted therefor:—

"The following be added to the Address: 'and this House assures Your Honour of its adherence to the principle of the development, acquisition and distribution of electrical power at cost for the people of Ontario by the Hydro-Electric Power Commission and its organization, whose record of integrity, efficiency and administrative capacity long has been a source of pride and material advantage to the Province'."

And a Debate arising, after some time it was on the motion of Mr. Strickland,

Ordered, That the Debate be further adjourned until Tuesday next.

The House then adjourned at 6.50 p.m.

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 19TH, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

The following Petitions were severally brought up and laid upon the Table:—

By Mr. Morrison, the Petition of McMaster University.

By Mr. Oakley, the Petition of the Master Horseshoers' and Carriage Workers' Association.

By Mr. Hutchinson, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Kenora.

The following Petitions were read and received:—

Of the Association of Professional Engineers, praying that an Act may pass to repeal the Act at present incorporating the Association and to substitute a new incorporating Act.

Of the Corporation of the Town of Pembroke, praying that an Act may pass to authorize the Petitioners to assess and levy a tax greater than $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. on the assessed value of property in the Town.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Brantford, praying that an Act may pass authorizing the consolidation of a floating debt of \$150,000.00.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Perth, praying that an Act may pass authorizing the consolidation of a floating debt of \$64,000.00.

Of the Corporation of the City of Windsor, praying that an Act may pass to authorize the consolidation of debts and other economic measures.

The following Bill was introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 84), intituled "An Act to amend The Veterinary Science Practice Act, 1931." *Mr. Waters.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time on Monday next.

The following Bills were severally read the second time:—

Bill (No. 79), An Act to amend The Old Age Pension Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 82), An Act respecting Operating Engineers.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

The House then adjourned at 4.15 p.m.

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 22ND, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

The following Petition was brought up and laid upon the Table:—

By Mr. Black, the Petition of W. F. Nickle, Esq., K.C., Trustee of the George Taylor Richardson Trust.

The following Petitions were read and received:—

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Kenora, praying that an Act may pass validating and confirming debenture by-laws to the amount of \$56,029.93.

Of the Corporation of McMaster University, praying that an Act may pass to validate an agreement made between the Petitioners and the Board of Park Management for the City of Hamilton.

Of the Master Horseshoers and Carriage Workers Association, praying that an Act may pass providing for the regulation of Horseshoeing in Ontario and to require the registration of all Horseshoers.

The following Bills were severally introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 85), intituled "An Act to amend The Assessment Act." *Mr. McBrien.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 86), intituled "An Act to amend The Local Improvement Act." *Mr. Bell.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

The following Bills were severally read the second time:—

Bill (No. 81), An Act to amend The Apprenticeship Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 83), An Act to amend The Public Service Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 77), An Act to amend The Minimum Wage Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 80), An Act to amend The Department of Labour Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 82), An Act respecting Operating Engineers, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 79), An Act to amend The Old Age Pension Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The House then adjourned at 4.53 p.m.

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 23RD, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

The following Petitions were severally brought up and laid upon the Table:—

By Mr. Graham, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Blind River.

By Mr. Reid, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Sandwich.

By Mr. Smith (Essex), the Petition of the Windsor, Essex and Lake Shore Electric Railway Association.

The following Petition was read and received:—

Of W. F. Nickle, Esq., K.C., Trustee of the George Taylor Richardson Trust, praying that an Act may pass authorizing a variation in the terms of the Trust.

Mr. McBrien, from the Standing Committee on Standing Orders, presented their Second Report, which was read as follows and adopted:—

Your Committee has carefully examined the following Petitions and finds the Notices as published in each case sufficient.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Teck, praying that an Act may pass to validate debentures of \$400,000.00 and for other purposes.

Of the Walkerville-East Windsor Water Commission, praying that an Act may pass fixing a rate for the cost of installing watermains.

Of the Trinity College School, praying that an Act may pass to change the name of the Petitioners and to extend its powers to acquire, hold and dispose of lands, etc.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Renfrew, praying that an Act may pass to confirm and validate all assessment and collectors' rolls of the said Town prior to December 31st, 1931.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Scarborough, praying that an Act may pass to validate certain by-laws and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Sarnia and the Village of Point Edward, praying that an Act may pass to validate certain agreements between the Petitioners and the Sarnia Bus Company.

Of McMaster University, praying that an Act may pass to validate an agreement made between the Petitioners and the Board of Park Management for the City of Hamilton.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of East Whitby, praying that an Act may pass authorizing the consolidation of a floating debt of \$76,500.00.

Of the Ontario Shore Gas Company Limited, praying that an Act may pass validating certain franchise agreements made between the Petitioners and various municipalities.

Of the Women's Christian Association of London, praying that an Act may pass to confirm the incorporation of the Petitioners and to provide for exemption from taxation.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Hamilton, praying that an Act may pass authorizing debenture issues of \$312,000.00 and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Hamilton and the Hamilton Board of Park Management, praying that an Act may pass to fix by statute the name of Gage Park.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Kenora, praying that an Act may pass validating and confirming debenture by-laws to the amount of \$56,029.93.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Windsor, praying that an Act may pass to authorize the consolidation of debts and other economic measures.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Sudbury, praying that an Act may pass validating debenture by-laws totalling \$593,848.73.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Brantford, praying that an Act may pass authorizing the consolidation of a floating debt of \$150,000.00.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Perth, praying that an Act may pass authorizing the consolidation of a floating debt of \$64,000.00.

Of the Master Horseshoers and Carriage Workers Association, praying that an Act may pass providing for the regulation of Horseshoeing in Ontario and to require the registration of all Horseshoers.

Of W. F. Nickle, Esq., K.C., Trustee of the George Taylor Richardson Trust, praying that an Act may pass authorizing a variation in the terms of the Trust.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of London, praying that an Act may pass authorizing the Petitioners to pass certain regulatory by-laws and to validate tax sales.

Your Committee recommends that Rule No. 60 of Your Honourable House be suspended in this that the time for presenting Petitions for Private Bills be extended until and inclusive of Tuesday, the 1st day of March next, and that the time for introducing Private Bills be extended until and inclusive of Tuesday, the 8th day of March next.

Ordered, That the time for presenting Petitions for Private Bills be extended until and inclusive of Tuesday, the 1st day of March next, and that the time for introducing Private Bills be extended until and inclusive of Tuesday, the 8th day of March next.

The following Bills were severally introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 10), intituled "An Act respecting the Township of Teck." *Mr. Kenning*.

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 15), intituled "An Act respecting the Walkerville-East Windsor Water Commission." *Mr. Wilson* (Windsor).

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 34), intituled "An Act respecting the Township of Scarborough." *Mr. Case*.

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 50), intituled "An Act respecting the Sarnia Bus Company." *Mr. McMillen*.

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 29), intituled "An Act respecting the Town of Renfrew." *Mr. Craig*.

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 58), intituled "An Act respecting McMaster University." *Mr. Morrison*.

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 31), intituled "An Act respecting the Township of East Whitby." *Mr. Sinclair*.

Referred to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board.

Bill (No. 32), intituled "An Act respecting the Ontario Shore Gas Company Limited." *Mr. Sinclair.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 42), intituled "An Act respecting the Women's Christian Association of London." *Mr. Moore.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 21), intituled "An Act respecting the Town of Kenora." *Mr. Hutchinson.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 53), intituled "An Act respecting the City of Windsor." *Mr. Wilson* (Windsor).

Referred to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board.

Bill (No. 30), intituled "An Act respecting the City of Sudbury." *Mr. Aubin.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 43), intituled "An Act respecting the City of Brantford." *Mr. Jutten.*

Referred to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board.

Bill (No. 40), intituled "An Act respecting the Town of Perth." *Mr. Stedman.*

Referred to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board.

Bill (No. 60), intituled "An Act respecting the George Taylor Richardson Trust." *Mr. Black.*

Referred to the Commissioners of Estate Bills.

Bill (No. 24), intituled "An Act respecting the City of London." *Mr. Moore.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

The Order of the Day for resuming the Adjourned Debate on the Amendment to the Amendment to the Motion for the consideration of the Speech of The Right Honourable the Administrator at the opening of the Session, having been read,

And the Debate having been continued, after some time, it was on the motion of Mr. Henry (York East),

Ordered, That the Debate be further adjourned until Thursday next.

The House then adjourned at 11.32 p.m.

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 24TH, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

Mr. Speaker informed the House that the Clerk had received from the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board their report in the following case:—

Bill (No. 14), An Act respecting the County of Carleton.

The report was then read by the Clerk at the Table as follows:—

THE ONTARIO RAILWAY AND MUNICIPAL BOARD

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

Gentlemen:—

Upon reference under Rule 72 of Your Honourable House to The Ontario Railway and Municipal Board of Bill (No. 14) (1932), entitled "An Act respecting the County of Carleton," the Board begs leave respectfully to report that in the judgment of the Board it is reasonable that such Bill, as amended, should be passed by Your Honourable House.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

C. R. McKEOWN,
Chairman.

A. B. INGRAM,
Vice-Chairman.

J. A. ELLIS,
Commissioner.

Dated at Toronto,
this 23rd day of February, A.D. 1932.

Ordered, That Bill (No. 14), An Act respecting the County of Carleton, together with the report of the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board thereon be referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

The following Petition was brought up and laid upon the Table:—

By Mr. Case, the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Mimico.

The following Petitions were read and received:—

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Blind River, praying that an Act may pass authorizing debenture by-laws amounting to \$336,502.26.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Sandwich, praying that An Act may pass authorizing the consolidation of floating debts and for other purposes.

Of the Windsor, Essex and Lake Shore Electric Railway Association, praying that an Act may pass extending the powers of the Petitioners in regard to the operation of the railway, to authorize the setting up of a reserve fund and for other purposes.

The following Bills were severally introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 87), intituled "An Act to amend The Ontario Railway and Municipal Board Act." *Mr. Ellis.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 35), intituled "An Act respecting the Practice of Horseshoeing." *Mr. Acres.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 18), intituled "An Act respecting the Trinity College School." *Mr. Heighington.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

The following Bills were severally read the second time:—

Bill (No. 85), An Act to amend The Assessment Act.

Referred to the Committee on Municipal Laws.

Bill (No. 78), An Act to amend The Insurance Act respecting Automobile Insurance.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 86), An Act to amend The Local Improvement Act.

Referred to the Committee on Municipal Laws.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 75), An Act to give further Power to Courts with respect to the recovery of Money Secured by Mortgage and Similar Matter, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report progress, and ask for leave to sit again.

Resolved, That the Committee have leave to sit again To-morrow.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 81), An Act to amend The Apprenticeship Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report progress, and ask for leave to sit again.

Resolved, That the Committee have leave to sit again To-morrow.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 83), An Act to amend The Public Service Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill with certain amendments.

Ordered, That the Amendments be taken into consideration forthwith.

The Amendments, having been read the second time, were agreed to.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The House then adjourned at 5.14 p.m.

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 25TH, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

The following Petition was read and received:—

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Mimico, praying that an Act may pass authorizing an extension of a sewage system jointly with the Town of New Toronto and the issue of the necessary debentures therefor.

Mr. Price, from the Standing Committee on Private Bills, presented their First Report which was read as follows and adopted:—

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

Your Committee beg to report the following Bill with certain amendments:—

Bill (No. 37), An Act respecting the Town of Fort Erie.

Your Committee beg to report the following Bills without amendment:—

Bill (No. 3), An Act respecting the Corporation of the Municipality of Paipoonge.

Bill (No. 4), An Act respecting the Queen City Fire Insurance Company.

Bill (No. 8), An Act respecting Wycliffe College.

Bill (No. 11), An Act respecting the Town of Huntsville.

Bill (No. 16), An Act respecting the County of Halton.

Your Committee would recommend that the fees, less the penalties and the actual cost of printing be remitted on Bill (No. 8), "An Act respecting Wycliffe College," on the ground that it relates to a charitable bequest to be administered by a religious and educational institution.

Your Committee would also recommend that the fees, less the penalties and the actual cost of printing, be remitted on Bill (No. 3 of 1931), being "An Act respecting the Town of Kenora," on the ground that though the said Bill was petitioned for by the municipality the same actually related only to a charitable institution.

Ordered, That the fees, less the penalties and the actual cost of printing, be remitted on Bill (No. 8), "An Act respecting Wycliffe College," on the ground that it relates to a charitable bequest to be administered by a religious and educational institution.

Ordered, That the fees, less the penalties and the actual cost of printing, be remitted on Bill (No. 3 of 1931), being "An Act respecting the Town of Kenora," on the ground that though the said Bill was petitioned for by the municipality the same actually related only to a charitable institution.

The following Bills were severally introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 88), intituled "An Act to amend The Municipal Act." *Mr. Elliott* (Rainy River).

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 2), intituled "An Act respecting the Roman Catholic Episcopal Corporation of the Diocese of Ottawa." *Mr. Cote*.

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

The Order of the Day for resuming the Adjourned Debate on the Amendment to the Amendment to the Motion for the consideration of the Speech of The Right Honourable the Administrator at the opening of the Session, having been read,

And the Debate having been continued, after some time, it was on the motion of Mr. McCrea,

Ordered, That the Debate be further adjourned until Tuesday next.

The House then adjourned at 6.00 p.m.

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 26TH, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

The following Bills were severally introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 89), intituled "An Act to amend The Steam Boiler Act." *Mr. Monteith*.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time on Monday next.

Bill (No. 90), intituled "An Act to amend The Municipal Act." *Mr. Singer.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time on Monday next.

The following Bills were severally read the second time:—

Bill (No. 3), An Act respecting the Corporation of the Municipality of Paipoonge.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 4), An Act respecting the Queen City Fire Insurance Company.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 8), An Act respecting Wycliffe College.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 11), An Act respecting the Town of Huntsville.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 16), An Act respecting the County of Halton.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 37), An Act respecting the Town of Fort Erie.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to again consider Bill (No. 75), An Act to give further Power to Courts with respect to the recovery of Money Secured by Mortgage and Similar Matters, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill with certain amendments.

Ordered, That the Amendments be taken into consideration forthwith.

The Amendments, having been read the second time, were agreed to.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time on Monday next.

The Provincial Secretary presented to the House, by command of The Right Honourable the Administrator of the Government:—

Annual Report of the Public Service Superannuation Board, Ontario, for year ending October 31st, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 36.*)

Also, Annual Report of the Civil Service Commissioner of Ontario for year ending October 31st, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 35.*)

The House then adjourned at 3.40 p.m.

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 29TH, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

The following Petition was brought up and laid upon the Table:—

By Mr. Wilson (Windsor), the Petition of the Corporation of the City of East Windsor.

The following Bills were severally introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 91), intituled "An Act to repeal The Bulk Sales Act." *Mr. Smith* (Essex).

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 92), intituled "An Act to amend The Embalmers and Funeral Directors Act." *Mr. Robb*.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 93), intituled "An Act to amend The Public Health Act." *Mr. Robb*.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 44), intituled "An Act respecting the City of Hamilton." *Mr. Jutten*.

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 52), intituled "An Act respecting the City of Hamilton and its Board of Park Management." *Mr. Jullen.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

The following Bills were severally read the second time:—

Bill (No. 88), An Act to amend The Municipal Act.

Referred to the Committee on Municipal Laws.

Bill (No. 90), An Act to amend The Municipal Act.

Referred to the Committee on Municipal Laws.

Bill (No. 89), An Act to amend The Steam Boiler Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

The House resolved itself into a Committee, severally to consider the following Bills:—

Bill (No. 3), An Act respecting the Corporation of the Municipality of Paipoonge.

Bill (No. 4), An Act respecting the Queen City Fire Insurance Company.

Bill (No. 8), An Act respecting Wycliffe College.

Bill (No. 11), An Act respecting the Town of Huntsville.

Bill (No. 16), An Act respecting the County of Halton.

Bill (No. 37), An Act respecting the Town of Fort Erie.

Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the several Bills without amendments.

Ordered, That the Bills reported be severally read the third time To-morrow.

The Provincial Secretary presented to the House, by command of The Right Honourable the Administrator of the Government:—

Report of the Honourable Mr. Justice Middleton, Re Enquiry into certain proposed amendments to The Workmen's Compensation Act of the Province of Ontario. (*Sessional Papers No. 37.*)

The House then adjourned at 3.37 p.m.

TUESDAY, MARCH 1st, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

The following Petitions were severally brought up and laid upon the Table:—

By Mr. Smith (Essex), the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Riverside.

By Mr. Smith (Essex), the Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Tecumseh.

The following Petition was read and received:—

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of East Windsor praying that an Act may pass authorizing certain regulatory by-laws, to consolidate a floating debt and for other purposes.

Mr. Price, from the Standing Committee on Private Bills, presented their Second Report which was read as follows and adopted:—

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario:—

Your Committee beg to report the following Bills with certain amendments:—

Bill (No. 14), An Act respecting the County of Carleton.

Bill (No. 24), An Act respecting the City of London.

Bill (No. 42), An Act respecting the Women's Christian Association of London.

Bill (No. 58), An Act respecting McMaster University.

Your Committee beg to report the following Bills without amendment:—

Bill (No. 22), An Act respecting the Village of Holland Landing.

Bill (No. 29), An Act respecting the Town of Renfrew.

Bill (No. 32), An Act respecting Ontario Shore Gas Company.

Your Committee would recommend that the fees, less the penalties and the actual cost of printing, be remitted on Bill (No. 42), "An Act respecting the Women's Christian Association of London," on the ground that it relates to a religious institution.

Ordered, That the fees, less penalties and the actual cost of printing, be remitted in connection with Bill (No. 42), "An Act respecting the Women's Christian Association of London."

Mr. Wright, from the Standing Committee on Printing, presented their First Report which was read as follows and adopted:—

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario:—

Your Committee recommends that the annual allowance for stationery supplied Members of the House be continued at \$25.

Your Committee recommends that Departmental Reports and Sessional Records be printed in the quantities below:—

Public Accounts.....	2,800
Supplementary Estimates.....	1,700
Further Supplementary Estimates.....	1,700
Main Estimates.....	1,700
Lands and Forests.....	2,200
Mines.....	3,500
Inspector of Legal Offices.....	1,700
Insurance and Friendly Societies.....	1,800
Loan Corporations.....	1,150
Public Works.....	800
Game and Fisheries.....	2,700
Labour.....	1,700
Education.....	1,900
University of Toronto.....	700
Births, Marriages, Deaths.....	2,200
Board of Health.....	3,200

Hospitals for Insane, Feeble-minded, Epileptics.....	1,200
Provincial Police.....	850
Public Hospitals, Hospitals for Incurables, Sanatoria for Consumptives.....	1,700
Prisons and Reformatories.....	1,100
Public Welfare.....	2,700
Liquor Control Board.....	5,700
Department of Agriculture.....	2,200
Department of Agricultural Statistics.....	6,700
Temiskaming and Northern Ontario Railway.....	900
Ontario Railway and Municipal Board.....	1,000
Elections.....	1,200
Hydro-Electric Power Commission.....	4,200
Provincial Auditor.....	750
Workmen's Compensation Board.....	1,900
Ontario Veterinary College.....	2,200

The Order of the Day for resuming the Adjourned Debate on the Amendment to the Amendment to the Motion for the consideration of the Speech of The Right Honourable the Administrator at the opening of the Session, having been read,

The Debate was resumed, and the House having continued to sit until Twelve of the Clock midnight,

Wednesday, March 2nd, 1932,

The Debate continued, and after some time, it was on the motion of Mr. Sinclair,

Ordered, That the Debate be further adjourned until Thursday next.

The House then adjourned at 12.15 a.m., Wednesday, March 2nd.

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 2ND, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

The following Petitions were brought up and laid upon the Table:—

By Mr. Reid, The Petition of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Sandwich West, also the Petition of the Essex Border Utilities Commission.

The following Petitions were read and received:—

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Riverside, praying that an Act may pass authorizing certain regulatory by-laws, to consolidate a floating debt and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Tecumseh, praying that an Act may pass authorizing the consolidation of certain debts and for other purposes.

Mr. Slack asked the following Question (No. 1):—

1. On what dates were contracts made for Gatineau River Power by the Hydro-Electric Commission of Ontario. 2. What was the amount of power, price and duration of contract in each instance. 3. (a) Does each contract provide for payment in U.S.A. funds. (b) If so, what has been the total extra cost to date because of adverse exchange rates. 4. Were these contracts approved by Order-in-Council or validated by the Legislature. 5. How much power is being delivered on Gatineau contracts. 6. (a) Has all power delivered been sold to Ontario consumers. (b) If not, what disposition has been made of it and at what price. 7. What commissions were paid or promised in connection with this contract by the Government, Hydro Commission or Gatineau Company.

The Honourable the Prime Minister replied as follows:—

1. Contract No. 1, for 25-cycle power, on the 19th May, 1926. Contract No. 2, for 60-cycle power, on 28th December, 1927. 2. Contract No. 1, 260,000 h.p. at \$15.00 per h.p. Term of contract, 30 years. Contract No. 2, This contract provides for a minimum of 6,000 h.p. in 1928-9, and a minimum increase of 6,000 h.p. per annum for the first ten years, making a minimum total at the end of 1938 of 60,000 h.p. The maximum contract is set at 100,000 h.p. and the additional 40,000 h.p. is to be ordered, upon notice to the Company, prior to October 1st, 1938. The prices: \$14.55 per horsepower per annum to 80,000 h.p.; \$14.50 per horsepower per annum from 80,000 to 100,000 h.p.; \$14.45 per horsepower per annum for 100,000 h.p. and over; 25 per cent. at least of the total power to be taken at Ottawa, for which an additional 30c per h.p. per annum is charged; \$30,000 to be paid to the Commission per annum by the Company, as charges on the single-circuit line to Smith's Falls; \$60,000 per annum to be paid when the line is double circuited, or at the end of five years, at the option of the Commission. 3. (a) Contract No. 1: Payment clause in contract provides, owing to the development undertaken being so large, that the bonds issued by the Company to finance the same could not be marketed exclusively in Canada, and of necessity, required to be payable, as to principal and interest, at the option of the holders thereof, in gold coin of the United States of America, as has been the bonds of the Province of Ontario and of the Dominion of Canada sold in the United States since the war. Therefore, it was agreed that payment should be made in lawful money of Canada, in gold, at Toronto. If, however, there should be an embargo on gold leaving Canada the Commission should pay, if demanded, in New York funds, reserving to itself, at all times, the right to pay in New York funds. On Contract No. 2: No. (b) \$161,825.05. 4. All approved

by Order-in-Council, as provided by the Act of the Legislature. 5. On Contract No. 1, 260,000 h.p.; on Contract No. 2, 24,000 h.p. 6. (a) All power that has been delivered to the Commission and paid for. (b) Answered by 6 (a). 7. None by the Hydro-Electric Power Commission or by the Government. No knowledge as to others.

Mr. Medd asked the following Question (No. 2):—

1. Who are the members of the Ontario Hydro-Electric Commission. 2. What was the date of appointment of each to his present position on the Commission. 3. What remuneration does each receive for his services. 4. When was this rate of remuneration set. 5. What rate of remuneration obtained previously. 6. Is the rate of remuneration set by Order-in-Council or by regulation of the Commission. 7. (a) Do the Commission duties require full-time or part-time attention of the Commissioners. (b) If part-time, what other public offices do the Commissioners hold.

The Prime Minister replied as follows:—

1. Hon. J. R. Cooke, Chairman; Mr. C. A. Maguire, Commissioner; The Right Hon. Arthur Meighen, P.C., K.C. 2. Hon. J. R. Cooke, June 9th, 1931; Mr. C. A. Maguire, September 12th, 1925; The Right Hon. Arthur Meighen, June 9th, 1931. 3. Hon. J. R. Cooke, \$18,000 per annum; Mr. C. A. Maguire, \$10,000 per annum; Right Hon. Arthur Meighen, \$10,000 per annum; but now reduced according to the schedule operative throughout the Civil Service. 4. Chairman, August 1st, 1917; Commissioners, November 1st, 1929. 5. Chairman, \$12,000 per annum; Commissioners, \$8,000 per annum. 6. By Order-in-Council, according to the provisions of the Statute. 7. (a) Subject to call at any time. (b) The Hon. Mr. Cooke, Minister of Government; The Right Hon. Arthur Meighen, Member of the Dominion Senate and Member of Dominion Government without portfolio.

Mr. Taylor asked the following Question (No. 5):—

1. Who is President of the Ontario Agricultural College. 2. What was the date of his appointment. 3. (a) What was his salary on appointment. (b) What is his present salary. 4. Does the President receive any further emoluments from the Province or from any funds under the control of the Department or has he received any since appointment. 5. If so, what amounts and what are the details.

The Minister of Agriculture replied as follows:—

1. George Irving Christie. 2. August 1st, 1928. 3. (a) \$8,000 (less perquisites); (b) \$8,000, reduced according to the schedule now operative throughout the Civil Service and also less perquisites. 4. No. 5. Answered by reply to No. 4.

Mr. Elliott asked the following Question (No. 6):—

1. What are the names of the various municipalities (including towns and cities) in the Province of Ontario which applied for grants under The Unemployment Relief Act. 2. What grant was received by each municipality, city or town. 3. What was the Federal contribution in each case. 4. What was the Provincial contribution in each case. 5. What was the municipal contribution in each case. 6. What amounts have been expended and paid by the Federal Government to each municipality in each case. 7. Were any amounts granted to these various municipalities out of the special fund and for charitable purposes by virtue of The Unemployment Relief Act.

The Honourable the Minister of Public Works and Labour replied as follows:—

1.—

Municipality	Grants for Direct Relief	Grants for Relief Works	Municipality	Grants for Direct Relief	Grants for Relief Works
<i>Cities</i>			<i>Towns—Continued</i>		
Belleville.....	\$1,886 06	\$44,309 88	Chapleau.....	\$779 95
Brantford.....	49,678 16	290,000 00	Chelmsford.....	1,036 82
Chatham.....	52,400 00	Cobalt.....	\$4,891 52
East Windsor.....	25,485 61	387,000 00	Cobourg.....	1,184 75	4,066 52
Fort William.....	36,775 75	179,800 00	Cochrane.....	4,503 94	13,000 00
Galt.....	16,288 72	50,500 00	Collingwood.....	3,187 93	50,000 00
Guelph.....	7,225 57	102,500 00	Copper Cliff.....	11,433 96
Hamilton.....	207,505 96	1,944,300 00	Cornwall.....	1,340 73	78,875 00
Kingston.....	38,715 30	69,478 60	Deseronto.....	21 22	3,000 00
Kitchener.....	19,718 33	150,000 00	Dresden.....	4,000 00
London.....	12,944 85	472,999 98	Dryden.....	65 69	3,508 76
Niagara Falls.....	40,379 52	110,000 00	Dunnville.....	5,000 00
North Bay.....	1,347 78	69,418 62	Durham.....	25,000 00
Oshawa.....	26,554 53	185,006 40	Elmira.....	1,653 39	3,391 60
Ottawa.....	68,346 00	413,000 00	Englehart.....	3,615 70
Owen Sound.....	6,896 92	40,000 00	Essex.....	503 05	6,849 34
Peterborough.....	33,342 37	74,328 36	Fort Frances.....	113,300 00
Port Arthur.....	45,985 98	200,000 00	Gananoque.....	20,584 36
St. Catharines.....	37,649 00	230,000 00	Georgetown.....	2,465 52
St. Thomas.....	3,535 97	45,000 00	Goderich.....	397 14	10,000 00
Sarnia.....	3,445 74	100,000 00	Gore Bay.....	2,761 14
Sault Ste. Marie.....	4,995 19	125,000 00	Grimsbv.....	1,568 19	10,000 00
Stratford.....	3,655 87	74,783 78	Haileybury.....	14,200 00
Sudbury.....	31,554 04	170,000 00	Hanover.....	15,000 00
Toronto.....	332,218 37	1,995,657 26	Hawkesbury.....	2,391 60	40,000 00
Welland.....	180,000 00	Hespeler.....	14,859 80
Windsor.....	55,600 28	252,717 90	Huntsville.....	4,084 78	14,000 00
Woodstock.....	333 55	75,500 00	Ingersoll.....	2,573 96	10,000 00
<i>Towns</i>			Kapuskasung.....	574 50	6,732 08
Alexandria.....	127 64	10,000 00	Kearney.....	234 16
Almonte.....	2,652 22	80,000 00	Keewatin.....	7,829 72
Amherstburg.....	236 08	15,375 84	Kenora.....	1,938 01	65,000 00
Arnprior.....	10,000 00	Kincardine.....	7,163 88
Aurora.....	5,000 00	Kingsville.....	4,144 10
Aylmer.....	284 22	3,323 12	Latchford.....	1,000 00
Bala.....	5,000 00	Leamington.....	2,133 77	10,000 00
Barrie.....	599 84	15,000 00	Little Current.....	4,000 00
Blenheim.....	148 11	Lindsay.....	4,801 95	37,892 72
Blind River.....	911 35	Matheson.....	677 20
Bonfield.....	400 00	Meaford.....	1,394 67	2,783 30
Bowmanville.....	293 85	15,319 42	Merritton.....	18,391 00
Bracebridge.....	721 48	11,827 08	Midland.....	33,903 16	70,000 00
Brampton.....	488 55	30,000 00	Milton.....	10,000 00
Brockville.....	3,676 13	26,782 76	Mimico.....	6,291 91	30,000 00
Bridgeburg.....	1,642 37	14,163 18	Napanee.....	633 11	3,406 06
Burlington.....	363 59	10,000 00	New Liskeard.....	5,000 00
Cache Bay.....	795 10	Newmarket.....	16,000 00
Campbellford.....	14,000 00	New Toronto.....	9,132 22	40,000 00
Carleton Place.....	187 60	25,000 00	Niagara-on-the-Lake.....	6,000 00
			Oakville.....	1,751 35	10,000 00

Municipality	Grants for Direct Relief	Grants for Relief Works	Municipality	Grants for Direct Relief	Grants for Relief Works
<i>Towns—Continued</i>			<i>Villages—C3ntinued</i>		
Orillia.....	\$55,372 65	\$45,000 00	Norwood.....		\$1,971 28
Palmerston.....	778 20		Point Edward.....	\$321 51	1,075 56
Parkhill.....	51 99		Port Credit.....		1,192 62
Parry Sound.....	1,800 01		Port Dalhousie.....	1,529 21	6,000 00
Pembroke.....		64,993 48	Port Elgin.....		5,999 92
Penetanguishene...	8,278 62	27,400 00	Richmond Hill.....		3,000 00
Perth.....	320 11	4,351 82	Stoney Creek.....		17,000 00
Petrolia.....	345 72	2,971 36	Swansea.....	41 83	15,000 00
Pictou.....	164 27	5,000 00	Tweed.....		10,000 00
Port Colborne.....	3,477 19	22,810 00	Victoria Harbour...	415 14	3,900 96
Port Dover.....		4,767 40	Waterdown.....		1,000 00
Port Hope.....		10,000 00	Winchester.....	36 95	4,871 60
Preston.....	350 02	35,000 00			
Rainy River.....		12,000 00	<i>Townships</i>		
Renfrew.....	427 41	3,000 00	Ancaster.....		5,000 00
Riverside.....	8,416 03	145,000 00	Ashfield.....	15 91	
Rockland.....		30,000 00	Bexley.....	112 01	1,200 00
St. Marys.....	369 06	7,366 46	Brantford.....	843 18	
Sandwich.....	200 40	110,233 00	Colchester North...	45 60	
Simcoe.....		5,844 46	Crowland.....	807 54	20,000 00
Sioux Lookout.....	3,310 17	11,500 00	Delaware.....	59 74	
Smith's Falls.....	293 87	31,100 00	East Whitby.....	582 18	20,435 28
Southampton.....		8,000 00	East York.....	10,520 41	89,179 06
Strathroy.....	1,028 19		Etobicoke.....	2,446 44	28,422 90
Sturgeon Falls.....	11,672 68	85,613 06	Foley.....	127 48	
Tecumseh.....	6,254 17	24,989 08	Gloucester.....	987 71	
Thessalon.....	208 44	15,000 00	Grantham.....		10,000 00
Thorold.....	355 04	60,921 46	Guelph.....	272 14	
Tilbury.....	10 89	3,268 50	Harwich and Village of Erieau.....		100,000 00
Timmins.....	3,706 39	11,000 00	Howick.....	250 00	
Trenton.....	2,534 73	23,548 56	Jaffray and Melick.	70 93	
Uxbridge.....		2,000 00	London.....		4,000 00
Vankleek Hill.....	112 14	982 36	Manvers.....	108 47	
Walkerton.....	83 49	3,961 66	McDougall.....	545 10	
Walkerville.....	16,187 16	84,230 00	McIrvine.....	115 02	
Wallaceburg.....		4,998 98	McKillop.....	66 86	
Waterloo.....		30,000 00	Minden.....	12 98	
Weston.....	939 75	2,000 00	Nepean.....		40,000 00
Whitby.....	43 30	25,000 00	Niagara.....	339 70	
Warton.....	91 62	6,500 00	North Fredericks- burg.....	424 13	
Wingham.....	216 79		North Monaghan..		866 24
			North York.....	9,197 26	30,000 00
<i>Villages</i>			Orillia.....		2,000 00
Acton.....		2,000 00	Rama.....	538 20	
Ailsa Craig.....		1,000 00	Sandwich East.....	7,827 18	16,154 40
Belle River.....		6,000 00	Sandwich West....	3,165 32	65,346 62
Bradford.....	174 98	3,500 00	Saltfleet.....	2,226 55	
Caledonia.....		4,701 72	Sarnia.....	766 00	
Cardinal.....	41 03		Scarborough.....	56,997 11	29,866 24
Casselman.....	361 36	2,140 36	Sherwood, Jones and Burns.....		1,500 00
Chesterville.....	32 29	2,000 00	Springer.....	457 86	
Colborne.....		2,000 00	Somerville.....	230 18	1,894 56
Espanola.....	68,618 83		Stamford.....	2,899 48	29,787 06
Fenelon Falls.....		6,889 92	Teck.....	1,027 43	16,701 66
Fergus.....	273 56	10,000 00	Thorold.....	1,628 89	10,000 00
Fort Erie.....	489 84	18,000 00	Tisdale.....	65 30	8,000 00
Frankford.....	164 14	2,000 00	Toronto.....		6,200 00
Gogama.....	1,508 62		Turnberry.....	152 22	
Havelock.....		3,000 00	Waterloo.....	422 27	
Humberstone.....	68 03		Wellesley.....		1,500 00
Kemptville.....	402 07	269 64	Westminster.....	581 12	1,285 26
Killaloe.....		1,000 00	Woolwich.....		3,504 62
Lakefield.....		3,778 68	York.....	36,441 83	400,000 00
Long Branch.....	60 45	3,274 20	Provisional County of Haliburton....		30,000 00
Lucknow.....		5,000 00			
Magnetawan.....		1,000 00			
Maxville.....		2,000 00			
Newcastle.....		2,000 00			

2. Fifty per cent. of the grants for relief works set out in 1, except in the case of the County of Haliburton where it was 75 per cent. 3. Twenty-five per cent. of the amounts for relief works set out in 1, except in the case of the County of Haliburton where it was $37\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. 4. Twenty-five per cent. of the amounts for relief works set out in 1, except in the case of the County of Haliburton where it was $37\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. 5. Fifty per cent. of the amounts for relief works set out in the answer to 1, except in the case of the County of Haliburton where it was 25 per cent. 6. Twenty-five per cent. of the amounts for relief works set out in 1, except in the case of the County of Haliburton where it was $37\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. 7. The amounts for direct relief set out in 1.

Mr. Hipel asked the following Question (No. 8):—

1. What amounts were paid to Peter White, Esq., K.C., by the Government in 1931 for professional services. 2. How much was paid for Mr. White's travelling and other expenses.

The Honourable the Prime Minister replied as follows:—

1. None. 2. None.

Mr. Blakelock asked the following Question (No. 9):—

1. How many firms or companies assessed under The Apprenticeship Act have not paid their assessment or levy for the years 1931 and 1932. 2. How does the Government intend to collect the arrears, if any.

The Honourable the Minister of Public Works and Labour replied as follows:—

1. 1931, 744 firms; 1932, not due yet. 2. Collection will be made as required by Statute.

Mr. Mackay asked the following Question (No. 10):—

What was the total amount of school grants for Manitoulin Island in each of the years 1930 and 1931.

The Honourable the Minister of Education replied as follows:—

1930, \$44,927.55; 1931, \$56,795.14.

Mr. Sangster asked the following Question (No. 11):—

Who were the individuals who signed on behalf of the Hydro-Electric Power Commission the contract to purchase 250,000 h.p. from the Beauharnois Power Company.

The Honourable the Prime Minister replied as follows:—

Mr. C. A. Magrath, Chairman; Mr. W. W. Pope, Secretary.

The following Bills were severally read the second time:—

Bill (No. 14), An Act respecting the County of Carleton.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 22), An Act respecting the Village of Holland Landing.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 24), An Act respecting the City of London.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 29), An Act respecting the Town of Renfrew.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 32), An Act respecting Ontario Shore Gas Company.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 42), An Act respecting the Women's Christian Association of London.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 58), An Act respecting McMaster University.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 76), An Act to aid in the Prevention and Settlement of Industrial Disputes.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 92), An Act to amend The Embalmers and Funeral Directors Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 93), An Act to amend The Public Health Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 78), An Act to amend The Insurance Act respecting Automobile Insurance, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report progress, and ask for leave to sit again.

Resolved, That the Committee have leave to sit again To-morrow.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 89), An Act to amend The Steam Boiler Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The House then adjourned at 4.12 p.m.

THURSDAY, MARCH 3RD, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

The following Petitions were read and received:—

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Sandwich West, praying that an Act may pass to validate all tax sales prior to December 31st, 1930, and for other purposes.

Of the Essex Border Utilities Commission, praying that an Act may pass declaring the Commission to be a Municipal Corporation for the purpose of exercising its powers under The Consolidated Essex Border Utilities Act, and for other purposes.

Mr. Price, from the Standing Committee on Private Bills, presented their Third Report which was read as follows and adopted:—

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario:—

Your Committee beg to report the following Bills with certain amendments:—

Bill (No. 18), An Act respecting the Trinity College School.

Bill (No. 52), An Act respecting the City of Hamilton and its Board of Park Management.

Your Committee beg to report the following Bills without amendment:—

Bill (No. 1), An Act respecting the Township of Toronto.

Bill (No. 21), An Act respecting the Town of Kenora.

Bill (No. 50), An Act to validate agreements made between Sarnia Bus Company, Limited, and the Corporation of the City of Sarnia and the Corporation of the Village of Point Edward.

The following Bills were severally introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 73), intituled "An Act to amend The Telephone Act." *Mr. Price.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 72), intituled "An Act to amend The Cemetery Act." *Mr. Robb.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

The Order of the Day for resuming the Adjourned Debate on the Amendment to the Amendment to the Motion for the consideration of the Speech of The Right Honourable the Administrator of the Government of the Province of Ontario, at the opening of the Session, having been read,

The Debate was resumed,

And after some time,

The Amendment to the Amendment having been put was carried on the following Division:—

YEAS

Acres	Henry (Kent, East)	Nesbitt
Aubin	Hill	Oakley
Baird	Hogarth	Poisson
Bell	Ireland	Price
Berry	Jamieson	Raven
Black	Jutten	Reid
Blanchard	Kennedy (Temiskaming)	Richardson
Calder	Kenning	Robb
Challies	Laughton	St. Denis
Clark	Lyons	Sanderson
Colliver	Macaulay	Scholfield
Cote	Martin (Hamilton)	Shaver
Craig	Martin (Brantford)	Shields
Davis	Monteith	Singer
Dunlop	Morrison	Skinner
Ecclestone	Murphy (St. Patrick)	Smith (Essex, South)
Elliott (Rainy River)	Murphy (Beaches)	Smith (Greenwood)
Finlayson	McArthur	Spence
Fraleigh	McBrien	Staples
Freele	McCrea	Stedman
Graham	McLean	Strickland
Graves	McMillen	Vaughan
Hambly	McNaughton	Waters
Harcourt		Willson (Niagara Falls)
Harrison		Wilson (Windsor, East)
Heighington		Wright—76.
Henry (York, East)		

NAYS

Baxter	Medd	Ross
Blakelock	Munro	Sangster
Bragg	Murray	Simpson
Elliott (Bruce, North)	McQuibban	Sinclair
Hipel	Newman	Slack
Mackay	Nixon	Taylor—19.
	Oliver	

PAIRS

Lancaster	Hutchinson
Honeywell	Robertson
Ellis	Tweed

The main Motion, as amended having been submitted, was then carried on the same Division.

And it was,

Resolved: That an humble Address be presented to The Right Honourable the Administrator of the Government of the Province of Ontario, as follows:—

*To the Right Honourable Sir William Mulock, K.C.M.G.,
Administrator of the Government of the Province of Ontario:—*

We, His Majesty's most dutiful and loyal subjects, the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario, now assembled, beg leave to thank Your Honour for the gracious speech Your Honour has addressed to us, and this House assures Your Honour of its adherence to the principle of the development, acquisition and distribution of electrical power at cost for the people of Ontario by the Hydro-Electric Power Commission and its organization, whose record of integrity, efficiency and administrative capacity long has been a source of pride and material advantage to the Province.

The Address, having been read the second time, was agreed to.

Ordered, That the Address be engrossed and presented to The Right Honourable the Administrator of the Government of the Province of Ontario by those Members of this House who are Members of the Executive Council.

The House then adjourned at 6.55 p.m.

FRIDAY, MARCH 4TH, 1932

PRAYERS

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

Mr. Finlayson, from the Select Committee, appointed at the last Session of the House to consider amendments to The Municipal Act, presented their First Report which was read as follows and adopted:—

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario:—

Gentlemen:—

Your Select Committee appointed by this House on the 1st April, 1931, to study The Municipal Act with a view to its revision begs leave to submit its First Report.

1. The Committee has held a number of meetings during the recess of the House, including a preliminary conference with the Executive Committee of the Ontario Municipal Association.

2. For the purposes of its work, through the medium of press advertisements and a circular letter to every municipality in the Province submission of suggested improvements and changes in our municipal system were invited. In response a large number of suggestions have been received, including many which relate to the municipal Statutes other than The Municipal Act.

3. In addition your Committee has been compiling statistical information relating to municipal affairs, so as to have it available in connection with its duties. It is a matter of regret that in many instances municipalities have neglected to make the requested returns.

4. During the progress of its work, the Committee has given attention in its discussions and considerations to many of the major aspects of the subject and has made some initial progress towards formulation of policies.

5. As the work proceeded it became apparent that the subject matter of its duties was of such a vast nature, that so many varying conditions throughout the Province required special consideration, and that any revision in the municipal system or of the Act itself required study and decision be made carefully and over an extended period and with due regard to all Statutes relating to municipal affairs, the Committee came to the conclusion that any attempt to come to definite conclusions or to submit any hurriedly or immaturely prepared proposals for revision would not be in the interests of the House or of the municipalities.

6. Furthermore by reason of the attention of so many of our municipalities being so actively directed during the past few months in meeting problems consequent upon unemployment and other prevailing conditions, it was deemed inexpedient for the time being to require that their attention be turned from those matters to an active consideration of municipal affairs generally.

7. Your Committee found it necessary also that the Secretary's time during the past five months be made available as freely as possible in assisting and advising some of our municipalities which by force of circumstance have found themselves confronted with serious problems, and this has militated against advancement of the work of the Committee.

8. During the past few weeks, several meetings have been held to give consideration to such aspects of municipal affairs which prevailing conditions indicate a need for urgent treatment by the passage of such remedial amendments to The Municipal Act as will enable municipalities to meet the situation, and your Committee has come to some conclusions therein which will be embodied in a Bill to be submitted for consideration of the House during this Session.

9. In conclusion your Committee in presenting this interim report has the following submissions and recommendations to make:

(a) Consideration of any revision of the Municipal Act must be made over an extended time before any general conclusions thereon be reached.

(b) To some extent revision of the Act must be co-ordinated with revision of some other of our municipal Statutes, such as The Assessment Act.

(c) Immediately it is preferable that the House deal only with such amendments to the municipal Statutes as prevailing conditions make urgent, and otherwise all proposed amendments be deferred for consideration with the general revision of such Statutes.

(d) Subject to its appointment being renewed, your Committee be given leave to continue its work during the next recess.

Toronto, 29th February, 1932.

On motion of Mr. Price, seconded by Mr. Henry,

Ordered, That the proposed amendments to The Mechanics' Lien Act received by the Government be referred to a Select Committee of this House, consisting of Messrs. McBrien, Ellis, Shaver, Skinner, Hutchinson, Slack and Munro, the first named to be Chairman.

Such Committee shall have power to hear any representations made by any interested parties and shall report their recommendations accompanied by a Bill embodying the same to this House before the end of the present Session so that such Bill (if any) can be considered by the House at this Session.

The following Bills were severally introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 71), intituled "An Act to amend The Children's Protection Act." *Mr. Martin* (Brantford).

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time on Monday next.

Bill (No. 70), intituled "An Act to amend The Industrial Farms Act." *Mr. Challies*.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time on Monday next.

Bill (No. 69), intituled "An Act to amend The Municipal Act." *Mr. Finlayson*.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time on Monday next.

Bill (No. 65), intituled "An Act to amend The Municipal Act." *Mr. Baird*.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time on Monday next.

Bill (No. 94), intituled "An Act to appropriate \$5,000,000.00 for Northern Development Purposes." *Mr. Finlayson*.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time on Monday next.

Bill (No. 95), intituled "An Act to amend The Local Improvement Act."
Mr. Finlayson.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time on Monday next.

Bill (No. 96), intituled "The Grand River Conservation Commission Act."
Mr. Finlayson.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time on Monday next.

The following Bill was read the third time and was passed:—

Bill (No. 75), An Act to give further Power to Courts with respect to the recovery of Money Secured by Mortgage and Similar Matters.

The following Bills were severally read the second time:—

Bill (No. 1), An Act respecting the Township of Toronto.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 18), An Act respecting Trinity College School.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 21), An Act respecting the Town of Kenora.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 50), An Act to validate Agreements between Sarnia Bus Company, Limited, and the Corporation of the City of Sarnia and the Corporation of the Village of Point Edward.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 52), An Act respecting the City of Hamilton and its Board of Park Management.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

The Right Honourable the Administrator entered the Chamber of the Legislative Assembly and took his seat upon the Throne.

Mr. Speaker then addressed His Honour as follows:—

May it please Your Honour:—

The Legislative Assembly of the Province has at its present Sittings passed a certain Bill to which, on behalf and in the name of the said Assembly, I respectfully request Your Honour's Assent.

The Clerk Assistant then read the Title of the Act that had passed, as follows:—

An Act to give further Power to Courts with respect to the recovery of Money Secured by Mortgage and Similar Matters.

To this Act the Royal Assent was announced by the Clerk of the Legislative Assembly in the following words:—

In His Majesty's name, The Right Honourable the Administrator doth assent to this Act.

His Honour was then pleased to retire.

The House resolved itself into a Committee, severally to consider the following Bills:—

Bill (No. 14), An Act respecting the County of Carleton.

Bill (No. 22), An Act respecting the Village of Holland Landing.

Bill (No. 24), An Act respecting the City of London.

Bill (No. 29), An Act respecting the Town of Renfrew.

Bill (No. 32), An Act respecting Ontario Shore Gas Company.

Bill (No. 42), An Act respecting the Women's Christian Association of London.

Bill (No. 58), An Act respecting McMaster University.

Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the several Bills without amendments.

Ordered, That the Bills reported, be severally read the third time on Monday next.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 92), An Act to amend The Embalmers and Funeral Directors Act, and, after some

time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time on Monday next.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 93), An Act to amend The Public Health Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time on Monday next.

On motion of Mr. Finlayson, seconded by Mr. Price,

Ordered, That this House do forthwith resolve itself into a Committee to consider a certain proposed Resolution respecting the setting apart out of the Consolidated Revenue Fund the sum of Five Million Dollars (\$5,000,000.00) and that the same shall be applied for the purposes set out in The Northern Development Act and The Returned Soldiers' and Sailors' Land Settlement Act, or any of them.

Mr. Henry acquainted the House that The Right Honourable The Administrator of the Government, having been informed of the subject matter of the proposed Resolution, recommends it to the consideration of the House.

The House then resolved itself into the Committee.

(In the Committee)

Resolved, "That in addition to the amounts provided by The Northern Ontario Appropriation Acts, heretofore enacted, there shall be set apart out of the Consolidated Revenue Fund the sum of Five Million Dollars (\$5,000,000.00) and the same shall be applied for the purposes set out in The Northern Development Act and The Returned Soldiers' and Sailors' Land Settlement Act, or any of them."

Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had come to a certain Resolution.

Ordered, That the Report be now received.

Resolved, "That in addition to the amounts provided by The Northern Ontario Appropriation Acts, heretofore enacted, there shall be set apart out of

the Consolidated Revenue Fund the sum of Five Million Dollars (\$5,000,000.00) and the same shall be applied for the purposes set out in The Northern Development Act and The Returned Soldiers' and Sailors' Land Settlement Act, or any of them."

The Resolution having been read the second time was agreed to and referred to Bill (No. 94).

The Provincial Secretary presented to the House, by command of The Right Honourable the Administrator of the Government:—

Report of the Minister of Public Works, Ontario, for year ending October 31st, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 8*).

The House then adjourned at 4.43 p.m.

MONDAY, MARCH 7TH, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

The House resolved itself into a Committee, severally to consider the following Bills:—

Bill (No. 1), An Act respecting the Township of Toronto.

Bill (No. 18), An Act respecting Trinity College School.

Bill (No. 21), An Act respecting the Town of Kenora.

Bill (No. 50), An Act to validate Agreements between Sarnia Bus Company, Limited, and the Corporation of the City of Sarnia and the Corporation of the Village of Point Edward.

Bill (No. 52), An Act respecting the City of Hamilton and its Board of Park Management.

Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the several Bills without amendments.

Ordered, That the Bills reported be severally read the third time To-morrow.

Mr. Bragg asked the following Question (No. 12):—

1. How many retail gasoline vendors are at present in arrear in paying the Gasoline Tax to the Government. 2. What is the total of these arrears. 3. How long have these arrears been standing. 4. What steps have been taken to collect these arrears.

The Honourable the Minister of Highways replied as follows:—

1. Twenty-four. 2. \$32,262.84. 3. One to seven months. 4. Six are in the hands of the Comptroller of Revenue, all others are making periodical payments off arrears.

Mr. Blakelock asked the following Question (No. 17):—

1. What was the date upon which the Order-in-Council was signed, approving of the agreement between the Hydro-Electric Power Commission and the Beauharnois Corporation for the purchase of power. 2. What was the date upon which said agreement was signed by the Hydro-Electric Power Commission.

The Honourable the Prime Minister replied as follows:—

1. November 29th, 1929. 2. November 29th, 1929.

On motion of Mr. Hipel, seconded by Mr. Sinclair,

Ordered, That there be laid before this House a Return showing: 1. Through what insurance company does the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario place the blanket policy protecting the individual commissions in the Province against public liability and property damage losses. 2. Upon what basis are these individual policies issued. 3. Who are the directors of the insurance company. 4. What was the aggregate amount of premiums paid by the individual commissions through the Ontario Commission to the insurance company during 1930 and 1931 separately. 5. What total losses have been paid on these policies, collectively, during 1930 and 1931. 6. What percentage has been the average reduction, if any, on the premiums paid in 1931 as compared to 1930.

The following Bills were severally read the second time:—

Bill (No. 73), An Act to amend The Telephone Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 72), An Act to amend The Cemetery Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 71), An Act to amend The Children's Protection Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

The House then adjourned at 3.53 p.m.

TUESDAY, MARCH 8TH, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

Mr. McBrien, from the Standing Committee on Standing Orders, presented their Third and Final Report, which was read as follows and adopted:—

Your Committee has carefully examined the following Petitions and finds the notices as published in each case sufficient:—

Of the Corporation of the Township of Crowland, praying that an Act may pass to establish sewer and water areas, to validate certain by-laws and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Brampton, praying that an Act may pass to validate debenture by-laws to the amount of \$30,758.58.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Sarnia, praying that an Act may pass to consolidate a floating indebtedness of \$435,000.00 and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of East York, praying that an Act may pass to authorize the Township to establish a Ward System, to authorize the annexation of the Township to the City of Toronto and for other purposes.

Of Arry Ellen Mayer Calhoun, et al, praying that an Act may pass to vary the terms of a Trust Settlement heretofore made by the Petitioners.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Village of Fergus, praying that an Act may pass validating an agreement under which the Petitioners propose to take over and operate the Alexandra Hospital in the Village of Fergus.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Cobourg, praying that an Act may pass authorizing the Petitioners to separate from the United Counties of Northumberland and Durham for Municipal purposes and to consolidate a floating debt.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Riverside, praying that an Act may pass authorizing certain regulatory by-laws, to consolidate a floating debt and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of East Windsor, praying that an Act may pass authorizing certain regulatory by-laws, to consolidate a floating debt and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Gloucester, praying that an Act may pass authorizing the consolidation of a floating debt of \$30,000.00.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of North York, praying that an Act may pass to vary the law regarding water areas and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Ottawa, praying that an Act may pass to validate debenture issues of \$305,000.00 and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Rockland, praying that an Act may pass authorizing the consolidation of a floating debt of \$25,000.00.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Tecumseh, praying that an Act may pass authorizing the consolidation of certain debts and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of Niagara Falls, praying that an Act may pass authorizing a variation in the method of making business and income assessments and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the City of St. Catharines, praying that an Act may pass to authorize a debenture issue of \$50,000.00, to validate a certain contract and certain by-laws and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Sandwich West, praying that an Act may pass to validate all tax sales prior to December 31st, 1930, and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of York, praying that an Act may pass to validate certain by-laws, grant special authority regarding assessment of incomes and for other purposes.

Of the Essex Border Utilities Commission, praying that an Act may pass declaring the commission to be a Municipal Corporation for the purpose of exercising its powers under The Consolidated Essex Border Utilities Act and for other purposes.

Of the Young Women's Christian Association of St. Thomas, praying that an Act may pass exempting the lands and buildings owned by the Petitioners from all taxes excepting Local Improvement and School Taxes.

Of the Association of Professional Engineers, praying that an Act may pass to repeal the Act at present incorporating the Association and to substitute a new incorporating Act.

Of the Roman Catholic Episcopal Corporation of the Diocese of Kingston, praying that an Act may pass to enlarge the powers conferred on the Petitioners by their Act of Incorporation.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Blind River, praying that an Act may pass authorizing debenture by-laws amounting to \$336,502.26.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Sandwich, praying that an Act may pass authorizing the consolidation of floating debts and for other purposes.

Of the Windsor, Essex and Lake Shore Electric Railway Association, praying that an Act may pass extending the powers of the Petitioners in regard to the operation of the Railway, to authorize the setting up of a reserve fund and for other purposes.

Of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Mimico, praying that an Act may pass to authorize an extension of a sewage system jointly with the Town of New Toronto and the issue of the necessary debentures therefore.



The following Bills were severally introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 9), intituled "An Act respecting the Township of Crowland." *Mr. Vaughan.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 12), intituled "An Act respecting the Town of Brampton." *Mr. Case.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 13), intituled "An Act respecting the City of Sarnia." *Mr. McMillen.*

Referred to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board.

Bill (No. 19), intituled "An Act respecting the Township of East York." *Mr. Case.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 20), intituled "An Act respecting a Trust Settlement of Arry Ellen Mayer Calhoun, et al." *Mr. Nesbitt.*

Referred to the Commissioners of Estate Bills.

Bill (No. 23), intituled "An Act respecting the Village of Fergus." *Mr. Munro.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 25), intituled "An Act respecting the Town of Cobourg." *Mr. McArthur.*

Referred to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board.

Bill (No. 26), intituled "An Act respecting the Town of Riverside." *Mr. Smith (Essex).*

Referred to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board.

Bill (No. 27), intituled "An Act respecting the City of East Windsor." *Mr. Wilson (Windsor).*

Referred to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board.

Bill (No. 28), intituled "An Act respecting the Township of Gloucester." *Mr. Seguin.*

Referred to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board.

Bill (No. 33), intituled "An Act respecting the Township of North York." *Mr. McBrien.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 38), intituled "An Act respecting the City of Ottawa." *Mr. Ellis.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 39), intituled "An Act respecting the Town of Rockland." *Mr. Seguin.*

Referred to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board.

Bill (No. 41), intituled "An Act respecting the Town of Tecumseh." *Mr. Smith (Essex).*

Referred to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board.

Bill (No. 46), intituled "An Act respecting the City of Niagara Falls." *Mr. Willson (Niagara Falls).*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 47), intituled "An Act respecting the City of St. Catharines." *Mr. Graves.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 49), intituled "An Act respecting the Township of Sandwich West." *Mr. Reid.*

Referred to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board.

Bill (No. 51), intituled "An Act respecting the Township of York." *Mr. Case.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 54), intituled "An Act respecting the Essex Border Utilities Commission." *Mr. Reid.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 55), intituled "An Act respecting the Young Women's Christian Association of St. Thomas." *Mr. Raven.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 56), intituled "An Act respecting Professional Engineers." *Mr. Richardson.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 57), intituled "An Act respecting the Roman Catholic Episcopal Corporation of the Diocese of Kingston." *Mr. St. Denis.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 61), intituled "An Act respecting the Town of Blind River." *Mr. Graham.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 62), intituled "An Act respecting the Town of Sandwich." *Mr. Reid.*

Referred to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board.

Bill (No. 63), intituled "An Act respecting the Windsor, Essex and Lake Shore Electric Railway Association." *Mr. Smith (Essex).*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 64), intituled "An Act respecting the Town of Mimico and the Town of New Toronto." *Mr. Case.*

Referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Bill (No. 48), intituled "An Act respecting the Township of Sandwich East." *Mr. Reid.*

Referred to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board.

Bill (No. 66), intituled "An Act to amend The Municipal Act." *Mr. Smith* (Greenwood).

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 97), intituled "The Highway Traffic Act, 1932." *Mr. Macaulay.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 98), intituled "An Act to regulate Barber Shops in the Province of Ontario." *Mr. McBrien.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

The following Bills were severally read the second time:—

Bill (No. 94), An Act to appropriate \$5,000,000.00 for Northern Development Purposes.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 69), An Act to amend The Municipal Act.

Referred to the Committee on Municipal Laws.

Bill (No. 95), An Act to amend The Local Improvement Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

The Provincial Secretary presented to the House, by command of The Right Honourable the Administrator of the Government:—

Statement Showing All Sums Credited to The Highway Improvement Fund and All Sums Chargeable thereto for Fiscal Year ending October 31st, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 40.*)

Also, Report of the Department of Public Records and Archives, Ontario, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 39.*)

Also, Report on Operations under The Northern Development Act and the Colonization Roads Act for year ending October 31st, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 38.*)

The House then adjourned at 5.50 p.m.

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 9TH, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

Mr. Speaker informed the House that the Clerk had received from the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board their report in the following case:—

Bill (No. 31), An Act respecting the Township of East Whitby.

The report was then read by the Clerk at the Table as follows:—

THE ONTARIO RAILWAY AND MUNICIPAL BOARD

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

Gentlemen:—

Upon reference under Rule 72 of Your Honourable House to The Ontario Railway and Municipal Board of Bill No. 31 (1932), entitled "An Act respecting the Township of East Whitby," the Board begs leave respectfully to report that in the judgment of the Board it is reasonable that such Bill, as amended, should be passed by Your Honourable House.

All of which is respectfully submitted,

C. R. McKEOWN,
Chairman.

A. B. INGRAM,
Vice-Chairman.

J. A. ELLIS,
Commissioner.

Dated at Toronto,
this 9th day of March, A.D. 1932.

Ordered, That Bill (No. 31), An Act respecting the Township of East Whitby, together with the report of the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board thereon be referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

The following Bill was introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 99), intituled "An Act to amend The Liquor Control Act." *Mr. Price.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Mr. Simpson asked the following Question (No. 7):—

1. What are the names and addresses of the persons who were removed from the list of old age pensioners in the County of York up to December 31st.

1931, and what was the reason in each case. 2. Who investigated these cases, 3. On whose recommendations were they originally granted old age pensions. 4. Was any person or persons removed from the list of old age pensioners asked to refund any moneys received, and, if so, have they done so.

The Honourable the Minister of Public Welfare replied as follows:—

1. It would not be in the public interest to publish the names. They are on file with the Commission. The reasons for removal are as follows:—Death, 247 cases, Parents' Maintenance Act, 29 cases; Assets, 55 cases; Duplications, 9 cases; Voluntary Withdrawal, 4 cases; Residence, 5 cases; Total, 349 cases. 2. Some were investigated by the local authority and some by Departmental Inspectors. 3. The local authority. 4. Yes.

Mr. Newman asked the following Question (No. 13):—

1. Did the Attorney-General ever receive any warning or complaints regarding the financial condition of G. A. Stimson & Company, Limited, prior to the action in bankruptcy of this and subsidiary companies. 2. What was the earliest date. 3. By whom. 4. Was action taken on that information.

The Honourable the Attorney-General replied as follows:—

1. Yes. 2. Confidential letter in latter part of July, 1930, after failure to pay dividends. 3. See answer to No. 2. 4. Yes, action was taken as follows:—(a) Mr. A. W. Rogers instructed to investigate; (b) Stimson & Company asked to file financial statements of various subsidiary companies and undertakings; (c) Clarkson & Company requested to advise the Department on financial situation; (d) representatives of various companies involved and their solicitors conferred with Messrs. Clarkson, Rogers and Denison; (e) Clarkson advised that certain assets be sold providing ready cash to meet obligations; (f) Substantial new money put in by original promoters; (g) Steady decline of business and failure to sell certain assets or fully rent buildings in Vancouver and Toronto precipitated bankruptcy which might have been avoided if business had recovered as was anticipated.

Mr. Robertson asked the following Question (No. 14):—

1. What was the cost of extending to deep water the La Cloche Island terminus of the ferry on the Little Current-Espanola Highway during 1931. 2. Is the ferry service to be continued or are other plans in view. 3. On whose recommendation was the Little Current terminus located. 4. How many cars have passed over the ferry since its completion. 5. Is revenue collectible from boats wintering at the Little Current terminus.

The Honourable the Minister of Lands and Forests replied as follows:—

1. \$2,652.00. 2. Negotiations are being carried on with a view to determine what course will be followed. 3. On the recommendation of Departmental officials. 4. The Department has no record. 5. This has not yet been determined.

Mr. Murray asked the following Question (No. 18):—

What was the total cost of resurfacing No. 2 King's Highway between Napanee and Odessa in 1931.

The Honourable the Minister of Highways replied as follows:—

\$97,159.23. Covering 8 miles of roadway.

Mr. Hutchinson asked the following Question (No. 22):—

1. To whom was item shown in report, Department of Lands and Forests, page G 11, report 1931, compensation re Pelican Falls, \$50,000, paid by the Department of Lands and Forests.

The Honourable the Minister of Lands and Forests replied as follows:—

Paid to the credit of a special account to be used for the purposes mentioned in the Lac Seul Agreement.

Mr. Hutchinson asked the following Question (No. 23):—

1. Has a lease ever been given to develop power at Pelican Falls, near Sioux Lookout. 2. If so, when and to whom.

The Honourable the Minister of Lands and Forests replied as follows:—

1. No. 2. See No. 1.

The Order of the Day for the second reading of Bill (No. 87), An Act to amend The Ontario Railway and Municipal Board Act, having been read,

Ordered, That the Order be discharged, and that the Bill be withdrawn.

The following Bills were severally read the second time:—

Bill (No. 70), An Act to amend The Industrial Farms Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 96), The Grand River Conservation Commission Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

On motion of Mr. Dunlop, seconded by Mr. Monteith,

Resolved, That this House will on Friday next resolve itself into the Committee of Supply.

Resolved, That this House will on Friday next resolve itself into the Committee of Ways and Means.

The House then adjourned at 4.20 p.m.

THURSDAY, MARCH 10TH, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

Mr. Speaker informed the House that the Clerk had received from the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board their reports in the following cases:—

Bill (No. 40), An Act respecting the Town of Perth.

Bill (No. 43), An Act respecting the City of Brantford.

The reports were then read by the Clerk at the Table as follows:—

THE ONTARIO RAILWAY AND MUNICIPAL BOARD

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

Gentlemen:—

Upon reference under Rule 72 of Your Honourable House to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board of Bill No. 40 (1932), entitled "An Act respecting the Town of Perth," the Board begs leave respectfully to report that in the judgment of the Board it is reasonable that such Bill should be passed by Your Honourable House.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

C. R. MCKEOWN,
Chairman.

A. B. INGRAM,
Vice-Chairman.

J. A. ELLIS,
Commissioner.

Dated at Toronto,
the 9th day of March, A.D. 1932.

THE ONTARIO RAILWAY AND MUNICIPAL BOARD

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

Gentlemen:—

Upon reference under Rule 72 of Your Honourable House to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board of Bill No. 43 (1932), entitled "An Act respecting the City of Brantford," the Board begs leave respectfully to report that in the judgment of the Board it is reasonable that such Bill, as amended, should be passed by Your Honourable House.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

C. R. McKEOWN,
Chairman.

A. B. INGRAM,
Vice-Chairman.

J. A. ELLIS,
Commissioner.

Dated at Toronto,
this 9th day of March, A.D. 1932.

Ordered, That Bill (No. 40), An Act respecting the Town of Perth, and Bill (No. 43), An Act respecting the City of Brantford, together with the reports of the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board thereon be referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

Mr. Price, from the Standing Committee on Private Bills, presented their Fourth Report which was read as follows and adopted:—

Your Committee beg to report the following Bills with certain amendments:—

Bill (No. 9), An Act respecting the Township of Crowland.

Bill (No. 19), An Act respecting the Township of East York.

Bill (No. 23), An Act respecting the Village of Fergus.

Bill (No. 33), An Act respecting the Township of North York.

Bill (No. 38), An Act respecting the City of Ottawa.

Bill (No. 45), An Act respecting the Town of Smith's Falls.

Bill (No. 46), An Act respecting the City of Niagara Falls.

Your Committee beg to report the following Bill without amendment:—

Bill (No. 12), An Act respecting the Town of Brampton.

Your Committee recommends that clause (a) of Rule No. 75 of the House be suspended with respect to such of the foregoing Bills as were considered by the Committee contrary to the requirement of the said Rule as to notice.

Ordered, That clause a of Rule No. 75 of the House be suspended with respect to such of the foregoing Bills as were considered by the Committee contrary to the requirement of the said Rule as to notice.

The following Bills were severally introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 100), intituled "An Act to amend The Conditional Sales Act."
Mr. McCrea.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 101), intituled "An Act to amend The Mining Act." *Mr. McCrea.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 102), intituled "An Act to amend The Telephone Act." *Mr. Finlayson.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 103), intituled "An Act respecting Interprovincial Drainage."
Mr. Monteith.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 104), intituled "An Act to amend The Succession Duty Act."
Mr. Dunlop.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 105), intituled "An Act to amend The Medical Act." *Mr. Robb.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 106), intituled "An Act to amend The Hamilton Street Railway Act." *Mr. Cooke.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 67), intituled "An Act to amend The Municipal Act." *Mr. Ellis.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 107), intituled "An Act to amend The Public Utilities Act." *Mr. Cooke.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 108), intituled "An Act to Make Uniform the Law respecting Registration of Corporation Securities." *Mr. Price.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 109), intituled "An Act to amend The Assignment of Book Debts Act." *Mr. Price.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Mr. Sangster asked the following Question (No. 16):—

1. What was the total cost of construction of the cut-off on No. 2 King's Highway, at the Town of Lancaster. 2. By what municipality or by whom was this cut-off requested to be made.

The Honourable the Minister of Highways replied as follows:—

1. \$21,228.40. 2. This was not requested by any municipality nor by anyone. It is the policy of the Department to improve alignments and eliminate dangerous turns and corners where traffic conditions and increased safety warrant the expenditure.

Mr. Blakelock asked the following Question (No. 28):—

1. Does the Department of Agriculture keep a suite of rooms for the use of Ministers at the Agricultural College at Guelph in the new \$1,000,000 building. 2. If so, what was the cost of furnishing.

The Honourable the Prime Minister replied as follows:—

1. No. 2. Answered by reply to No. 1.

Mr. Blakelock asked the following Question (No. 30):—

1. What is the estimated cost of the New East Wing of the Parliament Buildings now under construction. 2. Is the work being done by contract or

otherwise. 3. If plus cost, what commissions are paid to the contractors. 4. Has the general contractor power to purchase all materials, or are materials contracted for by general tender.

The Honourable the Minister of Public Works replied as follows:—

1. \$1,375,000.00. 2. Partly by contract and partly by day labour. 3. There is no cost plus contract. A contractor's organization for supervising fee and rent of plant is paid \$22,000.00. 4. Government purchases all materials by competitive tender, except materials on trades under contract.

Mr. Baxter asked the following Question (No. 33):—

How much money was paid from all sources to the Separate School at Kirkland Lake in the years 1928, 1929 and 1930.

The Honourable the Minister of Education replied as follows:—

Regular grants: 1928, \$1,817.00; 1929, \$2,955.00; 1930, \$3,765.50. Special building grants: 1928, \$4,000.00; 1930, \$8,000.00.

On motion of Mr. Baxter, seconded by Mr. Sinclair,

Ordered, That there be laid before the House a Return showing copies of all schedules of insurance of the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario, giving (a) the names of the companies or corporations issuing the policies; (b) the names of the agencies through which policies were issued; (c) the premium commission allowed; (d) list of policies of insurance held by the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario not included in the schedules above referred to.

The following Bills were severally read the second time:—

Bill (No. 97), The Highway Traffic Act, 1932.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 99), An Act to amend The Liquor Control Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 73), An Act to amend The Telephone Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr.

Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 72), An Act to amend The Cemetery Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 71), An Act to amend The Children's Protection Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 70), An Act to amend The Industrial Farms Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 94), An Act to appropriate \$5,000,000.00 for Northern Development Purposes, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 96), The Grand River Conservation Commission Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The Order of the Day for the House to resolve itself into Committee of the Whole to consider Bill (No. 95), An Act to amend The Local Improvement Act, having been read, it was on motion by Mr. Finlayson, seconded by Mr. Henry (York, East),

Ordered, That the Bill be referred to the Committee on Municipal Law for consideration.

The House then adjourned at 4.10 p.m.

FRIDAY, MARCH 11TH, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

Mr. Challies presented to the House, by command of The Right Honourable the Administrator:—

Public Accounts of the Province of Ontario for the twelve months ending October 31st, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 1.*)

Ordered, That the Public Accounts of the Province be referred to the Standing Committee on Public Accounts.

The Order of the Day for the House to resolve itself into the Committee of Supply having been read,

Mr. Dunlop moved,

That Mr. Speaker do now leave the Chair and that the House do resolve itself into the Committee of Supply.

And a Debate having ensued, it was, on the motion of Mr. Elliott (Bruce, North),

Ordered, That the Debate be adjourned until Tuesday next.

The following Bills were severally read the second time:—

Bill (No. 91), An Act to repeal The Bulk Sales Act.

Referred to the Committee on Legal Laws.

Bill (No. 65), An Act to amend The Municipal Act.

Referred to the Committee on Municipal Laws.

Bill (No. 9), An Act respecting the Township of Crowland.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 12), An Act respecting the Town of Brampton.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 19), An Act respecting the Township of East York.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 23), An Act respecting the Village of Fergus.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 33), An Act respecting the Township of North York.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 38), An Act respecting the City of Ottawa.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 46), An Act respecting the City of Niagara Falls.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 45), An Act respecting the Town of Smith's Falls.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

The Provincial Secretary presented to the House, by command of The Right Honourable the Administrator of the Government:—

Annual Report of the Department of Labour, Province of Ontario, 1931.
(*Sessional Papers No. 10.*)

The House then adjourned at 4.52 p.m.

MONDAY, MARCH 14TH, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

Mr. Speaker informed the House that the Clerk had received from the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board their reports in the following cases:—

Bill (No. 28), An Act respecting the Township of Gloucester.

Bill (No. 39), An Act respecting the Town of Rockland.

The reports were then read by the Clerk at the Table as follows:—

THE ONTARIO RAILWAY AND MUNICIPAL BOARD

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

Gentlemen:—

Upon reference under Rule 72 of Your Honourable House to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board of Bill No. 28 (1932), entitled "An Act respecting the Township of Gloucester," the Board begs leave respectfully to report that in the judgment of the Board it is reasonable that such Bill, as amended, should be passed by Your Honourable House.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

C. R. McKEOWN,
Chairman.

A. B. INGRAM,
Vice-Chairman.

J. A. ELLIS,
Commissioner.

Dated at Toronto,
the 12th day of March, A.D. 1932.

THE ONTARIO RAILWAY AND MUNICIPAL BOARD

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

Gentlemen:—

Upon reference under Rule 72 of Your Honourable House to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board of Bill No. 39 (1932), entitled "An Act respecting the Town of Rockland," the Board begs leave respectfully to report that in the

judgment of the Board it is reasonable that such Bill should be passed by Your Honourable House.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

C. R. McKEOWN,
Chairman.

A. B. INGRAM,
Vice-Chairman.

J. A. ELLIS,
Commissioner.

Dated at Toronto,
this 12th day of March, A.D. 1932.

Ordered, That Bill (No. 28), An Act respecting the Township of Gloucester, and Bill (No. 39), An Act respecting the Town of Rockland, together with the reports of the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board thereon be referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

The following Bills were severally introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 110), intituled "An Act to amend The Workmen's Compensation Act." *Mr. Price.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 111), intituled "An Act respecting Live Stock and Live Stock Products." *Mr. Kennedy* (Peel).

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

The following Bills were read the third time and were passed:—

Bill (No. 3), An Act respecting the Corporation of the Municipality of Paipoonge.

Bill (No. 4), An Act respecting the Queen City Fire Insurance Company.

Bill (No. 8), An Act respecting Wycliffe College.

Bill (No. 11), An Act respecting the Town of Huntsville.

Bill (No. 16), An Act respecting the County of Halton.

Bill (No. 37), An Act respecting the Town of Fort Erie.

Bill (No. 14), An Act respecting the County of Carleton.

Bill (No. 22), An Act respecting the Village of Holland Landing.

Bill (No. 24), An Act respecting the City of London.

Bill (No. 29), An Act respecting the Town of Renfrew.

Bill (No. 32), An Act respecting Ontario Shore Gas Company.

Bill (No. 42), An Act respecting the Women's Christian Association of London.

Bill (No. 58), An Act respecting McMaster University.

Bill (No. 1), An Act respecting the Township of Toronto.

Bill (No. 18), An Act respecting Trinity College School.

Bill (No. 21), An Act respecting the Town of Kenora.

Bill (No. 50), An Act to validate Agreements between Sarnia Bus Company, Limited, and the Corporation of the City of Sarnia and the Corporation of the Village of Point Edward.

Bill (No. 52), An Act respecting the City of Hamilton and its Board of Park Management.

The House resolved itself into a Committee, severally to consider the following Bills:—

Bill (No. 9), An Act respecting the Township of Crowland.

Bill (No. 12), An Act respecting the Town of Brampton.

Bill (No. 19), An Act respecting the Township of East York.

Bill (No. 23), An Act respecting the Village of Fergus.

Bill (No. 33), An Act respecting the Township of North York.

Bill (No. 38), An Act respecting the City of Ottawa.

Bill (No. 46), An Act respecting the City of Niagara Falls.

Bill (No. 45), An Act respecting the Town of Smith's Falls.

Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the several Bills without amendments.

Ordered, That the Bills reported, be severally read the third time To-morrow.

Mr. Slack asked the following Question (No. 21):—

1. What moneys have been paid from funds of the Ontario Hydro-Electric Power Commission to T. J. Hannigan or the Ontario Municipal Electrical Association or to any of its officers, either directly or indirectly for each of the fiscal years 1928, 1929, 1930 and 1931. 2. What amounts have been paid from funds of the Ontario Hydro-Electric Power Commission to T. J. Hannigan or the Ontario Municipal Electrical Association or to any of its officers out of insurance rebates or in any other manner not covered by No. 1 for the same years.

The Honourable Mr. Cooke replied as follows:—

1. No amounts except \$10.00 to the Ontario Municipal Electrical Association as annual fees for 1929 in respect to the Oshawa Electric Utility. 2. No amounts.

Mr. Medd asked the following Question (No. 37):—

1. Who were the members of the Cancer Commission. 2. Has this Commission concluded their investigation and reported. 3. What has been the total cost to the Province to date in connection with this Commission. 4. What is the total amount paid to each member of the Commission in salary and expenses. 5. What individuals accompanied this Commission and what was the total amount paid to each individual in salary and expenses.

The Honourable the Minister of Health replied as follows:—

1. H. J. Cody, D.D.; W. T. Connell, M.D.; J. C. McLennan, D.Sc.; Arthur R. Ford, Esq. 2. Yes. 3. \$8,404.52. 4. (a) No salary paid. (b) Included in total expenses as per No. 3. 5. Hon. J. M. Robb and Dr. J. W. S. McCullough. No salary paid. Expenses included in general total, No. 3.

Mr. Oliver asked the following Question (No. 39):—

1. How much power did the Ontario Hydro-Electric Power Commission have available in 1930. 2. What additional power became available in 1931. 3. How much additional power will become available in 1932. 4. How much additional power will become available in 1933.

The Honourable Mr. Cooke replied as follows:—

1. Power available for fiscal year ending October 31st, 1930, for all systems, including Niagara, Eastern Ontario, Georgian Bay, Northern Ontario, Thunder Bay and Nipissing Systems:—

* (a) H.E.P.C. Plants.....	1,047,284 h.p.
** (b) Purchased Power:	
To October 1st, 1930.....	212,497 h.p.
After October 1st, 1930.....	318,497 h.p.
Total Power Available:	
To October 1st, 1930.....	1,259,781 h.p.
To October 31st, 1930.....	1,365,781 h.p.

*Note.—This figure does not include the capacities of the plants acquired by the Commission in the Bruce and Bala districts amounting to 1,528 h.p. due to lack of records and the plants of the Dominion Power and Transmission Company amounting to 74,000 h.p. due to the fact that this system was not operated by the Commission until the latter part of the year.

**This figure does not include 10,000 h.p. under contract to the Dominion Power and Transmission Company from the Canadian Niagara Power Company for the reason stated in the preceding note.

2. Additional power available for all of the above-mentioned systems for the fiscal year 1931:

H.E.P.C. Plants:

After December 17th, 1930.....	21,000 h.p.
After February 27th, 1931.....	21,000 h.p.
After October 25th, 1931.....	24,000 h.p.
Total to end of year.....	<u>66,000 h.p.</u>

Purchased Power:

After October 1st, 1931.....	41,000 h.p.
After October 25th, 1931.....	24,000 h.p.
Total at end of year.....	<u>65,000 h.p.</u>

Grand total at end of year..... 131,000 h.p.

3. Additional power available for all of the above-mentioned systems for the fiscal year 1932:

H.E.P.C. Plants:

After November 13th, 1931.....	24,000 h.p.
After October 1st, 1932.....	48,000 h.p.
Total at end of year.....	<u>72,000 h.p.</u>

Purchased Power:

After November 13th, 1931.....	24,000 h.p.
After October 1st, 1932.....	152,000 h.p.
Total at end of year.....	<u>176,000 h.p.</u>

Grand total at end of year..... 248,000 h.p.

4. Additional power available for the fiscal year 1933:

H.E.P.C. Plants—none.

Purchased Power:

After July 1st, 1933.....	20,000 h.p.	
After October 1st, 1933.....	49,000 h.p.	
Total at end of year.....	—————	69,000 h.p.

The following Bills were severally read the second time:—

Bill (No. 66), An Act to amend The Municipal Act.

Referred to the Committee on Municipal Laws.

Bill (No. 103), An Act respecting Interprovincial Drainage.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 104), An Act to amend The Succession Duty Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 106), An Act to amend The Hamilton Street Railway Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 107), An Act to amend The Public Utilities Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 108), An Act to make Uniform the Law respecting Registration of Corporation Securities.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 109), An Act to amend The Assignment of Book Debts Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

The Order of the Day for the second reading of Bill (No. 67), An Act to amend The Municipal Act, having been read.

Ordered, That the Order be discharged, and that the Bill be withdrawn.

On motion of Mr. Dunlop, seconded by Mr. Price,

Ordered, That this House do forthwith resolve itself into a Committee to consider a certain proposed Resolution respecting the repeal of the clause lettered (c) in subsection 2 of section 8 of The Succession Duty Act as enacted by 21 George V, chapter 7, section 6 (1), and the substitution of the following therefor:—

“(c) Any property, real or personal, including money in banks or other institutions, held in the joint names of deceased and one or more persons, except the portion thereof which is shown to the satisfaction of the Treasurer to have been contributed by some person other than the deceased.”

Mr. Henry acquainted the House that The Right Honourable The Administrator of the Government, having been informed of the subject matter of the proposed Resolution, recommends it to the consideration of the House.

The House then resolved itself into the Committee.

(In the Committee)

Resolved—That the clause lettered (c) in subsection 2 of section 8 of The Succession Duty Act as enacted by 21 George V, chapter 7, section 6 (1), be repealed and the following substituted therefor:—

“(c) Any property, real or personal, including money in banks or other institutions, held in the joint names of deceased and one or more persons, except the portion thereof which is shown to the satisfaction of the Treasurer to have been contributed by some person other than the deceased.”

Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had come to a certain Resolution.

Ordered, That the Report be now received.

Resolved—That the clause lettered (c) in subsection 2 of section 8 of The Succession Duty Act as enacted by 21 George V, chapter 7, section 6 (1), be repealed and the following substituted therefor:—

“(c) Any property, real or personal, including money in banks or other institutions, held in the joint names of deceased and one or more persons, except the portion thereof which is shown to the satisfaction of the Treasurer to have been contributed by some person other than the deceased.”

The Resolution having been read the second time was agreed to and referred to Bill (No. 104).

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 99), An Act to amend The Liquor Control Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 97), The Highway Traffic Act, 1932, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The Provincial Secretary presented to the House, by command of The Right Honourable the Administrator of the Government:—

Report of the Royal Commission on the use of Radium and X-Rays in the Treatment of the Sick, etc. (*Sessional Papers No. 41.*)

The House then adjourned at 5.20 p.m.

TUESDAY, MARCH 15TH, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

Mr. Speaker informed the House that the Clerk had received from the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board their report in the following case:—

Bill (No. 13), An Act respecting the City of Sarnia.

The report was then read by the Clerk at the Table as follows:—

THE ONTARIO RAILWAY AND MUNICIPAL BOARD

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

Gentlemen:—

Upon reference under Rule 72 of Your Honourable House to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board of Bill No. 13 (1932), entitled "An Act respecting

the City of Sarnia," in so far as such Bill relates to the consolidation of a floating debt, the Board begs leave respectfully to report that in the judgment of the Board it is reasonable that such Bill, as amended, should be passed by Your Honourable House.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

C. R. MCKEOWN,
Chairman.

A. B. INGRAM,
Vice-Chairman.

J. A. ELLIS,
Commissioner.

Dated at Toronto,
this 14th day of March, A.D. 1932.

Ordered, That Bill (No. 13), An Act respecting the City of Sarnia, together with the report of the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board thereon be referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

Mr. Price, from the Standing Committee on Private Bills, presented their Fifth Report which was read as follows and adopted:—

Your Committee beg to report the following Bills with certain amendments:—

Bill (No. 31), An Act respecting the Township of East Whitby.

Bill (No. 43), An Act respecting the City of Brantford.

Bill (No. 47), An Act respecting the City of St. Catharines.

Bill (No. 55), An Act respecting the Women's Christian Association of St. Thomas.

Your Committee would recommend that the fees less the penalties and the actual cost of printing be remitted on Bill (No. 55), "An Act respecting the Women's Christian Association of St. Thomas," on the ground that it relates to a religious institution.

Ordered, That the fees, less the penalties and the actual cost of printing, be remitted on Bill (No. 55), "An Act respecting the Women's Christian Association of St. Thomas," on the ground that it relates to a religious institution.

The following Bills were severally introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 112), intituled "An Act to amend The Insurance Act." *Mr. Price.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 113), intituled "An Act to assist Co-operative Associations in Marketing Agricultural Products." *Mr. Kennedy* (Peel).

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 114), intituled "An Act to amend The University Act." *Mr. Oakley*.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 115), intituled "An Act to amend The Insurance Act." *Mr. Singer*.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

The Order of the Day for resuming the Adjourned Debate on the Motion that Mr. Speaker do now leave the Chair, and that the House resolve itself into the Committee of Supply, having been read,

The Debate was resumed,

And after some time, Mr. Elliott (North Bruce) moved, seconded by Mr. Hipel,

That all the words of the Motion after the first word "That" be struck out and the following substituted therefor:—

"This House condemns the Government for its alarming increase of the Provincial debt and its failure to place the financial condition of Ontario fairly before the people."

And a debate arising, after some time it was on the motion of Mr. Nesbitt,

Ordered, That the Debate be adjourned until Wednesday next.

The Provincial Secretary presented to the House, by command of The Right Honourable the Administrator of the Government:—

Return to an Order of the House dated March 7th, 1932, That there be laid before the House a Return showing: 1. Through what insurance company does the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario place the blanket policy protecting the individual commissions in the Province against public liability and property damage losses. 2. Upon what basis are these individual policies issued. 3. Who are the directors of the insurance company. 4. What was the aggregate amount of premiums paid by the individual commissions through the Ontario Commission to the insurance company during 1930 and 1931 separately.

5. What total losses have been paid on these policies, collectively, during 1930 and 1931. 6. What percentage has been the average reduction, if any, on the premiums paid in 1931 as compared to 1930. (*Sessional Papers No. 42*).

Also, Return to an Order of the House dated March 10th, 1932: That there be laid before the House a Return showing copies of all schedules of insurance of the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario, giving (a) the names of the companies or corporations issuing the policies; (b) the names of the agencies through which policies were issued; (c) the premium commission allowed; (d) list of policies of insurance held by the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario not included in the schedules above referred to. (*Sessional Papers No. 43*.)

Also, Report of the Provincial Auditor, Ontario. (*Sessional Papers No. 27*.)

Also, Annual Report of the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board for the year ending December 31st, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 24*.)

Also, Annual Report of the Commissioner of the Ontario Provincial Police for year ending October 31st, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 16*.)

Also, Annual Report of the Inspector of Legal Offices for year ending December 31st, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 5*.)

The House then adjourned at 11.40 p.m.

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 16TH, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK, P.M.

Mr. Wright from the Standing Committee on Printing presented their Second and Final Report which was read as follows and adopted:—

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

Your Committee recommends that 112 copies each of The Canadian Annual Review and The Canadian Parliamentary Guide, 1932, be purchased.

Your Committee recommends the purchase of 20,000 unframed pictures of "Fathers of Confederation," "Makers of Canada," "Sir John A. McDonald" and "Sir Wilfred Laurier," with two framed copies of the last-named pictures for Members of the Legislature.

Your Committee recommends that additional Sessional Papers be printed in the quantities below:—

Minimum Wage Board.....	1,300
Royal Commission on Radium and X-rays, etc., in the Treatment of the Sick.....	2,000
Public Records and Archives.....	3,200
Ontario Research Foundation.....	1,700

The following Bills were severally introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 117), intituled "An Act to amend The Assessment Act." *Mr. McBrien.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 118), intituled "An Act for the Better Protection of Owners and Operators of Storage Battery Service Stations." *Mr. Oakley.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 68), intituled "An Act to amend The Municipal Act." *Mr. Lancaster.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 119), intituled "An Act to amend The Municipal Act." *Mr. Calder.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 120), intituled "An Act to amend The Municipal Act." *Mr. Clark.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 121), intituled "An Act to amend The Local Improvement Act." *Mr. McBrien.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 122), intituled "An Act for Granting Aid to the Medical Faculty of the University of Western Ontario." *Mr. Henry (York, East).*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 123), intituled "An Act to amend The School Laws." *Mr. Henry* (York, East).

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 116), intituled "An Act respecting the Ontario Municipal Board." *Mr. Price*.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

The Order of the Day for the third reading of Bill (No. 33), An Act respecting the Township of North York, having been read,

Ordered, That the Order be discharged, and that the Bill be forthwith again referred to the Committee on Private Bills for further consideration.

Mr. Hutchinson asked the following Question (No. 19):—

1. Has a lease been given on Townships of Booths, Perdom and Ledger near Nipigon. 2. How many tenders were received by the Department on this area when advertised in 1929. 3. What are the names of those tendering. 4. Why was highest tender not accepted. 5. How many tenders were withdrawn, and by whom.

The Honourable the Minister of Lands and Forests replied as follows:—

1. No. 2. Five. 3. The Great Lake Paper Company; Nipigon Corporation, Limited; Nipigon Lumber Company; D. A. Clark; Fort William Forest Products Company. 4. The Crown after due consideration deemed it inadvisable in the public interests to proceed with the project. 5. One—The Fort William Forests Products Company.

Mr. Hutchinson asked the following Question (No. 20):—

1. How many ties were taken out by Charles Greer at Gull River limit in 1930. 2. In 1931. 3. Was the limit extended since 1927. 4. How much trespass dues has been collected from Greer in 1930. 5. In 1931.

The Honourable the Minister of Lands and Forests replied as follows:—

1. Masahaba Development Company, per Charles Greer, cut, season 1929-30, 41,495 ties. 2. Season 1930-31, 45,517 ties. 3. Ties covered by answers 1 and 2 cut on two limits, one sold in 1925 and other in 1929. Time on former extended. 4. From Masahaba Development Company, 1929-30, \$4,113.61. 5. 1930-31, None.

Mr. Hutchinson asked the following Question (No. 24):—

1. What area on Black Bay Peninsula is under lease to Newago Company.
2. How many cords were cut in years 1927-28 and 1929 on this limit.
3. How many cords are being cut on this limit this year.
4. Who is the contractor cutting this year.
5. Where are mills located to which this wood was shipped.

The Honourable the Minister of Lands and Forests replied as follows:—

1. All that portion of Black Bay Peninsula lying southeast of Black Bay on Lake Superior, in the District of Thunder Bay, having an area of 52 square miles, more or less.
 2. Season 1927-28, 15,630; season 1928-29, 12,518.
 3. Season 1931-32, estimated at 15,000.
 4. Mr. D. A. Clark.
 5. Port Arthur for wood referred to in answer 2; wood being cut in 1931-32 not shipped.
-

Mr. Hutchinson asked the following Question (No. 25):—

1. How many cords of pulpwood has been cut on Nipigon limit of International Paper Company in seasons 1930-31.
2. How many cords cut from same limit (over 10,000 cords) in 1931-32.
3. Was this wood exported.
4. If so, to what mills.
5. If not, what Canadian mill bought it.

The Honourable the Minister of Lands and Forests replied as follows:—

1. 9,735 cords.
 2. No returns for 1931-32 (present season).
 - 3, 4, 5. See answer to 2.
-

Mr. Blakelock asked the following Question (No. 26):—

1. Who is Police Magistrate for the Town of Milton.
2. When was the appointment made.
3. By whom was he recommended.
4. What were his qualifications for the position.

The Honourable the Attorney-General replied as follows:—

1. John Robertson Elliott.
 2. July 28th, 1931.
 3. A number of prominent residents.
 4. A retired farmer who was at one time Warden of County.
-

Mr. Blakelock asked the following Question (No. 27):—

1. Who is the Registrar for the County of Halton.
2. When was the appointment made, and by whom recommended.
3. What were his qualifications for the position.

The Honourable the Attorney-General replied as follows:—

1. George Hilmer. 2. November 25th, 1930. 3. A number of prominent residents. 4. A member of the Council of Oakville for 28 years, Reeve for eight years and Mayor for three years and a member of the County Council for eight years, and a Member of this Legislature, 1923-1926. Recommended by a largely signed petition of residents of the County of Halton.

Mr. Blakelock asked the following Question (No. 29):—

1. Are efficiency experts working in the various Departments of the Government. 2. If so, has their report been received. 2. What suggestions for greater efficiency were made. 4. What was the cost to the Government. 5. Who were the experts.

The Honourable the Prime Minister replied as follows:—

1. In the Department of Agriculture. 2. No. 3 and 4 answered by the reply to No. 2. 5. Clarkson, Gordon, Dilworth, Gilfoyle and Nash, Toronto.

Mr. Baxter asked the following Question (No. 32):—

1. To what companies were the contracts let for changing Provincial Highways signs to King's Highways signs. 2. What was paid to such companies for such changes.

The Honourable the Minister of Highways replied as follows:—

1. No contracts were let for changing Provincial Highways signs to King's Highways signs. This work was performed as part of the ordinary duty of the patrolmen. As the old type of signs became weathered and illegible, they were replaced by the new type, for the supply of which a contract was let to General Steel Wares Limited. 2. \$11,844 to the end of 1931.

Mr. McQuibban asked the following Question (No. 36):—

1. How many cubic yards of rock were purchased from the Dominion Mines and Quarries for road work on Manitoulin Island during the years 1930 and 1931. 2. What amount was paid for the same. 3. What was paid for transporting the rock from the quarries to the roads. 4. What was the cost per yard of the rock laid on the roads.

The Honourable the Minister of Lands and Forests replied as follows:—

1. 5,140 tons (this material was weighed, not measured).
2. 25 cents per ton.
3. \$1.00 per ton.
4. In 1930, \$1.30 per ton; in 1931, \$1.41 per ton.

Mr. Robertson asked the following Question (No. 41):—

1. How many cars crossed the LaCloche-Little Current ferry during the years 1930 and 1931.
2. What bonus was paid the operator of the ferry during the months of November and December, 1931, and January, 1932.

The Honourable the Minister of Lands and Forests replied as follows:—

1. The Department has no record.
2. No bonus paid.

Mr. Robertson asked the following Question (No. 48):—

1. How many public school inspectors are there in the Province of Ontario.
2. What is the total amount of their salary.
3. What is the number of High School Inspectors.
4. What is the total amount of their salaries.
5. What is the amount paid for travelling expenses, office and clerical assistance in the case of each class of inspectors. What is the amount paid them by way of special fees in the case of each class of inspector.
6. What is the total amount paid them for all purposes.

The Honourable the Minister of Education replied as follows:—

1. Total number of Public School Inspectors in the Province, 118; Public School Inspectors appointed by the Government, 90.
2. Salaries paid by the Government, \$285,063.47.
3. Five.
4. \$22,166.63.
5. Public School Inspectors, \$60,263.69; High School Inspectors, \$5,844.24. Special fees, Public School Inspectors, \$27,195.98; High School Inspectors, \$3,386.98.
6. \$403,920.04.

Mr. Hutchinson asked the following Question (No. 49):—

1. Upon what basis is the Railway Tax collected.
2. Is a portion of this tax paid to all municipalities.
3. If so, upon what basis is payment made.

The Honourable the Provincial Treasurer replied as follows:—

1. Mileage.
2. Yes, less deductions for indigent patients in Provincial Hospitals for Insane, as provided by Subsection 3 of Section 24 of The Corporations Tax Act, R.S.O. 1927, Cap. 29.
3. Population as provided by Section 24 of the above Act.

Mr. Oliver asked the following Question (No. 54):—

1. What was the total amount received from Gasoline Tax during fiscal years 1930 and 1931. 2. What amount was rebated to farmers and others in each year, 1930 and 1931. 3. What amount was paid in commissions for collecting the tax in each year. 4. On what basis or percentage are these commissions calculated. 5. How many agents shared in these commissions.

The Honourable the Minister of Highways replied as follows:—

1. 1930, \$11,757,307.19; 1931, \$12,131,959.35. 2. 1930, \$1,000,471.36; 1931, \$1,181,313.96. 3. 1930, \$240,167.85. 1931, \$247,284.46. 4. 2 per cent. 5. 1930, 165; 1931, 187.

Mr. Hutchinson asked the following Question (No. 60):—

1. Who is the Chief Boiler Inspector of Ontario. 2. How many Boiler Inspectors are under his direction. 3. How many Boiler Inspectors have been dismissed since 1929. 4. How many Boiler Inspectors have been suspended since 1929. 5. Has one of the Inspectors been confined in a mental institution. 6. If so, was his salary paid during detention. 7. How long was the Inspector confined to the institution. 8. Has he been returned to the staff.

The Honourable the Minister of Public Works and Labour replied as follows:—

1. Duncan M. Medcalf. 2. Four. 3. Two. 4. Two. 5. Yes. 6. Yes. 7. 5½ months. 8. Yes.

The following Bills were severally read the second time:—

Bill (No. 31), An Act respecting the Township of East Whitby.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 43), An Act respecting the City of Brantford.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 47), An Act respecting the City of St. Catharines.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 55), An Act respecting the Women's Christian Association of St. Thomas.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

The Order of the Day for the second reading of Bill (No. 84), An Act to amend The Veterinary Science Practice Act, 1931, having been read,

Ordered, That the Order be discharged, and that the Bill be withdrawn.

Mr. Henry delivered to Mr. Speaker a message from The Right Honourable the Administrator of the Government of the Province of Ontario, signed by himself; and the said message was read by Mr. Speaker, and is as follows:—

WILLIAM MULOCK

The Administrator of the Government of the Province of Ontario transmits Supplementary Estimates of certain sums required for the service of the Province for the year ending October 31st, 1932, and recommends them to the Legislative Assembly.

PARLIAMENT BUILDINGS,
Toronto, March 16th 1932.

(*Sessional Papers No. 2.*)

Ordered, That the message of the Administrator, together with the Estimates accompanying the same, be referred to the Committee of Supply.

The Order of the Day for resuming the Adjourned Debate on Amendment to the Motion that Mr. Speaker do now leave the Chair, and that the House resolve itself into the Committee of Supply, having been read,

The Debate was resumed.

And the House having continued to sit until Twelve of the Clock midnight

Thursday, March 17th, 1932,

And after some time, it was on the motion of Mr. Sinclair,

Ordered, That the Debate be adjourned until this afternoon.

The Provincial Secretary presented to the House, by command of The Right Honourable the Administrator of the Government:—

Report of the Department of Agriculture, Ontario, for the year ending October 31st, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 21.*)

Also, Report of the Statistics Branch, Ontario Department of Agriculture, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 22.*)

Also, Report of Registrar of Loan Corporations for the Province of Ontario for year ending December 31st, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 7.*)

Also, Report of the Ontario Veterinary College for year 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 29.*)

Also, Report of the Superintendent of Insurance for year ending December 31st, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 6.*)

Also, Annual Report of the Department of Mines, Ontario. (*Sessional Papers No. 4.*)

The House then adjourned at 2.48 a.m.

THURSDAY, MARCH 17TH, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

Mr. Speaker informed the House that the Clerk had received from the Commissioners of Estate Bills their reports in the following cases:—

Bill (No. 60), "An Act respecting the George Taylor Richardson Trust."

Bill (No. 7), "An Act respecting the Trust Settlement of Charles Granville Heward."

The reports were then read by the Clerk at the Table as follows:—

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

I, the undersigned *ex officio* Commissioner of Estates Bills, having been deputed by The Right Honourable the Chief Justice of Ontario to report with The Honourable Mr. Justice Masten upon Bill (No. 60), intituled An Act respecting the George Taylor Richardson Trust, respectfully report as follows—

According to the recital in the Preamble of the Bill, the Trust Fund directed by the Testator's will to be set aside was to be used by the Trustee for the education or advancement of the lawful children of a restricted class of men, comprising E Company, therein mentioned, restricted in several ways, namely, (1) to those enlisted at Kingston, and (2) then resident of Kingston, Frontenac or Hastings, and (3) to those who were married men at the embarkation of the Company, and (4) to those who should die, be killed, or be permanently injured while the Company would be on active service.

It may be a serious question of law whether those who are children of that restricted class were or are not entitled to have the whole fund applied for their education or advancement in life even though they have reached maturer years and have not been, and may not at present be, in actual distress or need.

The direction in the will to the Executor to make twenty annual payments may perhaps indicate the expectation of the Testator that the yearly distribution would approximate those annual sums so that there would never be any considerable amount unexpended among or for the children of the restricted class.

The Petition for the Bill and the Preamble state that the restrictions incidental to the trust have made it impracticable wisely to use the funds of the trust in their entirety; though it is not shown how it is impracticable.

We are informed by the Petitioner that though there are numerous children of the restricted class living there are not enough deserving cases of actual distress or need among them to require the whole fund, and that there is now undistributed a sum greater than half of the whole \$30,000.00, and this is likely to be increased by the annual instalments yet to come.

It is not desirable that the Legislature should seek to interpret the legal effect of the will in the absence of possible claimants, nor to exercise its authority to change the objects of the trust from those directed by the Testator, though quite proper to authorize the Trustee to dispose of or distribute funds to which no one else may be or become lawfully entitled.

The authority to distribute to, for or among persons other than those directed by the Testator should, therefore, in my opinion, be expressly limited to "such, if any, portion of the Trust Fund and the interest accrued or accruing therefrom as no person or persons may be lawfully entitled to under the trusts of the will." Such limitation would enable any of the existing restricted class to claim and assert whatever rights the will may give. It may be that some children or their friends are not aware of any trust in their favour. Such a limitation would also leave it open to the Trustee to apply to a Court for its decision as to the legal construction of the will.

Then there is the further question as to the class to or for or among whom the distribution may be made of such surplus, if any, as may now or hereafter exist, and I think it right to call attention to the subject.

The testator wished to benefit the children of men who should die, be killed or permanently injured, but he limited the class to those who embarked with him. There may be, and the Petitioner informs us there are, a number of children of other equally unfortunate men who joined the Company afterwards. It will be for the Legislature to consider whether the proposed distribution of any surplus should be limited to or for the benefit, education or advancement, primarily or exclusively, of those children, or whether, as proposed in the Bill, it should be extended not only to the children of any one who was a member of the Company while the Testator was alive and in command thereof, whether wounded, injured in health or not, but also among those members themselves. In any case it is a subject for consideration whether the Testator would limit

the distribution to residents or children of residents of Kingston, Frontenac or Hastings. These are matters for the consideration of the Legislature.

The recital in the Preamble as to the restrictions incidental to the trust, rendering it impracticable wisely to use the funds in their entirety, should not, in my opinion, be left in the Bill as it would form an undesirable precedent. Whether wise or unwise, the Testator's directions should be carried out. For that recital might be substituted one that "in the opinion of the Trustee, there will be a surplus of the Trust Fund to which no person will be entitled under the terms of the will."

I would suggest that the discretion given to the Trustee should be given to the Trustee and his successors in the trust.

With the alterations suggested, I consider the Bill to be a reasonable one to be passed into law, and the provisions thereof proper for carrying its purposes into effect, subject to the consideration by Your House of the class of beneficiaries.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

JAMES MAGEE,
Commissioner.

Dated at Toronto,
this 16th day of March, 1932.

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

Gentlemen:

I, The Honourable Cornelius A. Masten, one of the Commissioners of Estate Bills, have the honour to present to you my report upon Bill (No. 60), An Act respecting the George Taylor Richardson Trust.

The late George Taylor Richardson of the City of Kingston, on his death, left an annuity of \$1,500.00, to be paid annually for twenty years, in trust with Mr. W. F. Nickle, K.C., to be administered by the Trustee "for the education or advancement of the lawful children of the married men at the time of embarkation at Quebec, comprising E Company of the Second Battalion, First Brigade of the First Canadian Expeditionary Force, who may die, be killed or be permanently injured while the Company is on active service, in the event of said married men having enlisted from and being at the time of enlistment citizens of the City of Kingston or of the Counties of Frontenac or Hastings."

The Trustee represents that he has now in hand a substantial surplus of money heretofore received (and will receive further sums), which it is impracticable to apply in strict accordance with the terms of the trust owing to lack of persons to whose education and advancement the fund can properly be applied. He, therefore, asks that a Bill be passed extending the scope of the trusts and the discretion of the Trustee in the manner set forth in the Bill.

If the facts alleged by the Petitioner are established to the satisfaction of the Legislative Assembly, I find no objection from a legal standpoint to the Bill, which appears to me to be in general accord with the principles which are

applied by the Court under similar circumstances, and in my opinion it is reasonable that this Bill (with certain amendments which are herewith suggested) do pass into law. The Bill, together with the amendments suggested by your Commissioner, is returned herewith.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

16th March, 1932.

(Signed) C. A. MASTEN,
Commissioner.

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

The undersigned, The Honourable Francis Robert Latchford and The Honourable Robert Grant Fisher, two of the Commissioners of Estate Bills, have the honour to present to you our report upon Bill (No. 7), 1932, being an Act respecting the Trust Settlement of Charles Granville Heward.

The Petition of James William Carrick and Thomas Mansell Weatherhead, both of the City of Toronto, Barristers-at-Law, praying for the passage of the Bill, represents that:—

1. The Petitioners are Trustees under a certain Indenture of Settlement dated September 24th, 1921, made between Charles Granville Heward of the City of Toronto, Esquire, of the first part, his wife Ethel Amy Hamilton Heward, of the second part, and the Petitioners, as Trustees, of the third part.

2. By a previous settlement, dated June 30th, 1917, and made between one Eliza Christina Heward of the first part, the said Charles Granville Heward of the second part, and Ethel Amy Hamilton Heward of the third part, Eliza Christina Heward agreed, among other matters, to assign to Ethel Amy Hamilton Heward the sum of \$50 per month (out of the income of certain Trust Funds to which she was entitled) for the support and maintenance of Ethel Amy Hamilton Heward and her infant child Christina Evelyn Heward.

3. Under the will of Eliza Christina Heward, who died on January 20th, 1921, Charles Granville Heward became entitled to legacies amounting in all to \$34,000.

4. Instead of taking payment of the whole of the said legacies in cash, Charles Granville Heward agreed to accept certain securities of the approximate value at the time of \$27,080 and further agreed to settle all of the sum, upon the understanding that so long as the covenants, provisoes and agreements to be set forth in a settlement should be duly performed, Ethel Amy Hamilton Heward would not seek to enforce the provisions in her favour contained in the Indenture of June 30th, 1917.

5. Accordingly the Indenture of Settlement, dated September 24th, 1921, which Bill (No. 7), 1932, is intended to affect was executed.

6. The only persons beneficially interested at present in the trust thereby created are the settlor, his wife and their only child, Evelyn Christina Heward, now nearly eighteen years of age and maintained by her father.

7. The scheme of the settlement is to pay in the first place to Eliza Christina Heward monthly during her life out of the income from the trust premises the sum of \$10 for her own use and benefit. The Trustees are then empowered to pay to Charles Granville Heward during his natural life and "without power of anticipation" the whole or such part of the remainder of the income as they in their absolute discretion may think fit, such payments to be for the support and maintenance of the said Heward and of his wife, and of their child or children, and for the education of such child or children. It further provided that if Heward should assign or charge the said income or any part thereof the Trustees shall apply the remainder of the said income for the use and benefit of Mrs. Heward and the child or children for the time being in existence of Charles Granville Heward.

8. After the death of Charles Granville Heward his wife if then surviving, and if she remains unmarried, the whole of the income of the trust premises is to be paid for her own maintenance and the maintenance, education and advancement in life of the child or children of Charles Granville Heward, if any, him surviving, until the youngest of such children shall have attained the age of twenty-one; then, if the wife of the deceased has not married again, the income is to be divided into two equal portions, one-half to be paid to the widow and the other half to the said children in equal shares or if only one child the whole of such one-half share of income to that child. There are other contingencies which would give rights in remainder to children of the Testator other than the present daughter of the settlor, should any such there be, and powers of appointment reserved in the event of the death of both his wife and daughter; but to these it is unnecessary to refer further than to state the purpose of the settlement is to continue the trust capital unimpaired except to the limited extent next hereinafter mentioned.

9. Under the Indenture of Settlement the Petitioners were empowered upon the "request in writing of the settlor" to convert part of the trust capital not exceeding \$5,000 and to pay the proceeds to the settlor or apply the same from time to time for his benefit. The conversion was duly made by the Trustees and advances to the extent of \$5,000 were made to or for him, the last advance having been made in 1928.

10. Since 1928 the settlor is said to have been unable to obtain work, and to have undergone serious surgical operations and incurred debts for which his creditors are pressing him. The amount of his liabilities is stated to be \$2,008.

11. The petition also sets forth that the settlor has no present means of maintaining himself, his wife and child apart from the income he receives under the settlement, the net amount of which is about \$1,750 per annum, and that the settlor, his wife and daughter have requested the Petitioners to make application to the Legislature for leave to raise and pay to him or apply for his benefit out of the trust capital a further sum not exceeding \$5,000.00. The written consent of the settlor, his wife and daughter to such an application has been filed with the petition.

12. It is represented further that since the creation of the trust, the value of the securities forming the trust capital has increased under the administration

of the Trustees from \$27,080 to \$31,693, notwithstanding the payment made of \$5,000 to or on account of the settlor. This statement appears to be put forward as in some measure justifying the request made in the petition.

The prayer of the petition is that Your Honourable House may be pleased to pass an Act amending the provisions of the said Trust Settlement and enabling your Petitioners to raise and pay to or apply for the benefit of the said settlor Charles Granville Heward out of the said trust capital a further sum not exceeding \$5,000.

Manifestly the effect of the proposed Act will be to anticipate the Trust Fund and diminish by \$5,000 or such less sum as the Trustees should actually pay or expend for the settlor's benefit, the amount to which the wife and daughter of the settlor would become entitled in the event of surviving him, or to which others would be entitled in the event of their death prior to his. In proportion to the amount so paid or applied the capital of the trust would be anticipated, and the present income of the settlor himself diminished. Remoter contingent interests may arise which would also be prejudicially affected.

The undersigned consider that an Act varying the Trust Settlement in the manner proposed would violate materially the terms of the Indenture to the disadvantage of the settlor's wife and daughter. While the wife may be competent to waive her rights, the daughter, during her minority, is not; and, as the daughter is entitled to the whole of the income of the fund in the event of surviving her mother, the proposed Act would be serious interference with her present rights.

The undersigned therefore do not think it is reasonable that Bill (No. 7), 1932, should pass into law.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

(Signed) F. R. LATCHFORD,

R. G. FISHER,

Commissioners of Estate Bills.

Osgoode Hall, March 15th, 1932.

Ordered, That Bill (No. 60), "An Act respecting the George Taylor Richardson Trust," together with the reports of the Commissioners of Estate Bills thereon, be referred to the Committee on Private Bills and that Bill (No. 7), "An Act respecting the Trust Settlement of Charles Granville Heward," be not further proceeded with.

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

Mr. Price from the Standing Committee on Private Bills presented their Sixth Report which was read as follows and adopted:—

Your Committee beg to report the following Bills with certain amendments:—

Bill (No. 5), An Act respecting the Sisters of St. Joseph of the Diocese of Hamilton.

Bill (No. 6), An Act respecting the City of Guelph.

Bill (No. 10), An Act respecting the Township of Teck.

Bill (No. 17), An Act respecting the Sisters of St. Joseph of the Diocese of Peterborough.

Bill (No. 28), An Act respecting the Township of Gloucester.

Bill (No. 30), An Act respecting the City of Sudbury.

Bill (No. 61), An Act respecting the Town of Blind River.

Bill (No. 64), An Act respecting the Town of Mimico and the Town of New Toronto.

Bill (No. 40), An Act respecting the Town of Perth.

Bill (No. 44), An Act respecting the City of Hamilton.

Your Committee beg to report the following Bill without amendment:—

Bill (No. 25), An Act respecting the Town of Cobourg.

Your Committee would recommend that the fees, less the penalties and the actual cost of printing, be remitted on Bill (No. 5), "An Act respecting the Sisters of St. Joseph of the Diocese of Hamilton," and on Bill (No. 17), "An Act respecting the Sisters of St. Joseph of the Diocese of Peterborough," on the ground that they both relate to religious institutions.

Ordered, That the fees, less the penalties and the actual cost of printing, be remitted on Bill (No. 5), "An Act respecting the Sisters of St. Joseph of the Diocese of Hamilton," and on Bill (No. 17), "An Act respecting the Sisters of St. Joseph of the Diocese of Peterborough," on the ground that they both relate to religious institutions.

On motion by Mr. Price, seconded by Mr. Henry,

Ordered, That the Select Committee to which has been referred to proposed amendments to The Mechanics' Lien Act and the Subcommittee of the Committee on Private Bills to which have been referred certain Private Bills be granted permission to sit concurrently with the House.

The following Bill was introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 124), intituled "An Act for the Protection of Persons Employed in Factories, Shops and Office Buildings." *Mr. Monteith.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

The Order of the Day for resuming the Adjourned Debate on the Amendment to the Motion that Mr. Speaker do now leave the Chair, and that the House resolve itself into the Committee of Supply, having been read,

The Debate was resumed,

And after some time,

The Amendment, that:—

"This House condemns the Government for its alarming increase of the Provincial debt and its failure to place the financial condition of Ontario fairly before the people."

Having been put was lost on the following Division:—

YEAS

Baxter	Medd	Ross
Blakelock	Murray	Sangster
Bragg	McQuibban	Simpson
Elliott	Newman	Sinclair
(Bruce, North)	Nixon	Slack
Hipel	Oliver	Taylor—19.
Mackay	Robertson	

NAYS

Acres	Dunlop	Hill
Aubin	Ecclestone	Hogarth
Baird	Elliott	Honeywell
Bell	(Rainy River)	Ireland
Berry	Ellis	Jamieson
Black	Finlayson	Jutten
Blanchard	Fraleigh	Kennedy
Calder	Freele	(Temiskaming)
Case	Graham	Kennedy
Challies	Graves	(Peel)
Clark	Harcourt	Kenning
Colliver	Harrison	Lancaster
Cooke	Heighington	Laughton
Cote	Henry	Lyons
Davis	(York, East)	Macaulay

NAYS—*Continued*

Martin (Hamilton)	McNaughton	Smith (Essex, South)
Martin (Brantford)	Nesbitt	Smith (Greenwood)
Monteith	Oakley	Spence
Morrison	Poisson	Staples
Murphy (St. Patrick)	Price	Strickland
Murphy (Beaches)	Raven	Vaughan
McArthur	Reid	Willson (Niagara Falls)
McBrien	Richardson	Wilson (Windsor, East)
McCrea	St. Denis	Wilson (Lincoln)
McLean	Sanderson	Wright—78.
McMillen	Scholfield	
	Seguin	
	Shaver	
	Shields	
	Singer	
	Skinner	

PAIRS

Moore	Tweed
Wilson (London)	Munro
Stedman	Hutchinson

The main Motion having then been put was carried on the same Division reversed and,

The House, according to Order, resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(In the Committee.)

Resolved, That there be granted to His Majesty, for the services of 1932, the following sums:—

120. To defray the expenses of the Attorney-General's Department	\$34,640 00
121. To defray the expenses of the Education Department.....	1,582,900 00
122. To defray the expenses of the Lands and Forests Department	8,125 00
123. To defray the expenses of the Mines Department.....	1,329 63
124. To defray the expenses of the Game and Fisheries Department	69,900 00
126. To defray the expenses of the Highways Department.....	33,825 00
129. To defray the expenses of the Public Welfare Department...	2,072,000 00
130. To defray the expenses of the Provincial Treasurer's Department.....	46,075 00
131. To defray the expenses of the Provincial Secretary's Department.....	5,825 00

132. To defray the expenses of the Agriculture Department.....	\$30,524 00
133. To defray the expenses of the Miscellaneous.....	66,345 00

Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had come to several Resolutions; also, That the Committee had directed him to ask for leave to sit again.

Ordered, That the Report be received To-morrow.

Resolved, That the Committee have leave to sit again To-morrow.

The House then adjourned at 12.00 p.m.

FRIDAY, MARCH 18TH, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

Mr. Speaker informed the House that the Clerk had received from the Commissioners of Estate Bills their report in the following case:—

Bill (No. 20), An Act respecting the Trust Settlement of Arry Ellen Mayer Calhoun, et al.

The report was then read by the Clerk at the Table as follows:—

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

Gentlemen:

We, The Honourable John Fosbery Orde, and The Honourable David Inglis Grant, two of the Commissioners of Estate Bills, have the honour to present to you our report upon Bill (No. 20), An Act respecting a Trust Settlement of Arry Ellen Mayer Calhoun, et al.

Arry Ellen Mayer Calhoun, Frances Louise Mayer Strachan, Kathleen Gertrude Mayer and Mary Melissa Mayer (now Mary Melissa Mayer Fraser), all of the City of Toronto, daughters of George W. Mayer, by instrument dated October 14th, 1924, voluntarily transferred to Montreal Trust Company, as Trustee, certain shares of stock, securities and money, as therein set forth, which had been given to them by their father, and were their absolute property, upon certain trusts. By the provisions of the settlement, life interests were reserved to themselves, and upon their decease, the benefits of the trust were to pass to their respective children. Only in the event of their not having children, were

there to be any benefits passing to any others, and that was to be determined by the general law governing the devolution of estates in cases of intestacy. In such event (if the deaths of the four sisters were now to occur) the benefit of the trust would pass to their father and mother, their respective husbands, and two brothers and the infant children of a deceased brother.

All of these who are adults are consenting to the amendment of the trust instrument, now to be effected. As by law the Official Guardian represents the infants, although he may not actively oppose the Bill, he should be notified of the time when the Bill is to come before the Legislature. The brother, whose infant children are referred to, and who are now living with their mother in California, was amply provided for by his father, George W. Mayer, above mentioned, at or about the time when these moneys and securities were given by their father to the four daughters. The chief object of the original settlement, which was in accordance with the wish of their father, was to make provision for the children of the four daughters. As none of the daughters have any child or children, and are not now likely to have any, the chief purpose of the settlement has failed. One of the four, the first named, in 1929 legally adopted a child, and others of them also contemplate doing so. It is their desire, and their father concurs therein, to alter the trust so that adopted children may come within its provisions and receive benefits thereunder.

As the Legislature has signified its approval (*vide*, R.S.O. 1927, Chapter 189, Section 5, Subsection 2) of the view that adopted children should share as "children" or "issue" in the property left by the deceased "adopting" parent, it appears to us to be in accord with that view that provision should be made in the present case, so that adopted children may benefit under the trust instrument.

Having in mind that the original settlement was purely voluntary, and that its chief purpose and object have failed, and that the proposed alterations are to be made by the expressed wish of their father, George W. Mayer, who gave them the money and securities, and bearing in mind the other circumstances above enumerated, we respectfully express the opinion that the request made for the passing of this Bill is reasonable and just, and we see no reason in law why it should not be acceded to by Your Honourable Body. The written consent of the adults, duly executed and verified by affidavit, together with an affidavit or affidavits by one or more of the petitioners setting forth the fact that they have no children and are not now likely to have any, and also verifying the other facts relied upon as stated, should be filed in support of the petition.

The Bill, together with the petition and copy of the trust instrument, are returned herewith.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

(Signed) JOHN F. ORDE,
Commissioner.

D. INGLIS GRANT,
Commissioner.

Osgoode Hall, Toronto,
March 17th, 1932.

Ordered, That Bill (No. 20), An Act respecting the Trust Settlement of Arry Ellen Mayer Calhoun, et al, together with the report of the Commissioners of Estate Bills thereon, be referred to the Committee on Private Bills.

The following Bills were severally introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 125), intituled "An Act to amend The Registry Act." *Mr. Price.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time on Monday next.

Bill (No. 126), intituled "An Act respecting Collection Agencies." *Mr. Price.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time on Monday next.

Bill (No. 127), intituled "An Act to amend The Transportation of Fowl Act, 1929." *Mr. Acres.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time on Monday next.

Bill (No. 128), intituled "An Act to amend The Corporation Tax Act." *Mr. Dunlop.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time on Monday next.

Bill (No. 129), intituled "The Power Commission Act, 1932." *Mr. Cooke.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time on Monday next.

The following Bills were read the third time and were passed:—

Bill (No. 77), An Act to amend The Minimum Wage Act.

Bill (No. 80), An Act to amend The Department of Labour Act.

Bill (No. 79), An Act to amend The Old Age Pension Act.

Bill (No. 83), An Act to amend The Public Service Act.

Bill (No. 89), An Act to amend The Steam Boiler Act.

Bill (No. 92), An Act to amend The Embalmers and Funeral Directors Act.

Bill (No. 93), An Act to amend The Public Health Act.

Bill (No. 72), An Act to amend The Cemetery Act.

Bill (No. 71), An Act to amend The Children's Protection Act.

Bill (No. 70), An Act to amend The Industrial Farms Act.

Bill (No. 9), An Act respecting the Township of Crowland.

Bill (No. 12), An Act respecting the Town of Brampton.

Bill (No. 23), An Act respecting the Village of Fergus.

Bill (No. 38), An Act respecting the City of Ottawa.

Bill (No. 46), An Act respecting the City of Niagara Falls.

Bill (No. 45), An Act respecting the Town of Smith's Falls.

Bill (No. 97), The Highway Traffic Act, 1932.

Bill (No. 94), An Act to appropriate \$5,000,000.00 for Northern Development Purposes.

Mr. Baxter asked the following Question (No. 31):—

1. Who are Crowley and McCracken Company. 2. Are they an entirely Canadian company. 3. Under what authority did the Government contract with this company that men employed on road work should pay 80 cents per day for food and bed to this company.

The Honourable the Minister of Lands and Forests replied as follows:—

1. This evidently refers to the Crawley and McCracken Company, Limited, an incorporated company carrying on business for many years as boarding contractors and commissaries. 2. Yes. 3. Until the Trans-Canada Highway work started in 1931, the Government, in accordance with the common practice in Northern Ontario, supplied men with board and accommodation at a rate of \$1.00 per day. When the Trans-Canada roadwork started, the Department were able to secure a contract at 80 cents per day, and this was approved of both by the Dominion and the Provincial Governments.

Mr. Bragg asked the following Question (No. 34):—

1. What was the cost of operating the Trenton Motion Picture Studio during the fiscal year of 1931. 2. What number of persons were employed during 1931. 3. What amount of wages was paid in 1931. 4. What amount was spent for (a) fuel; (b) power; (c) repair. 5. What work was done, and what was the total footage made in 1931. 6. Where are the films being used. 7. Could the films be made at a much lower cost by a private commercial firm.

The Honourable the Provincial Treasurer replied as follows:—

1. \$9,082.09. 2. Four continuously; 9 part time. 3. \$304.25 wages and \$5,881.25 in salaries. 4. (a) Fuel, \$748.30; (b) Power, \$481; (c) Repairs, \$784.05. 5. Motion picture photography, developing, printing and film laboratory work; machine shop work on sound reproduction units; 26,500 feet of negative; 73,570

feet of positive. 6. Films are placed in Film Library which circulated in 1931 a total of 19,212 reels as follows: 4,500 reels distributed through 164 churches, 674 reels distributed through 16 Y.M.C.A.s, 2,963 reels distributed through 127 schools, 636 reels distributed through 38 theatres, 89 reels distributed through five Women's Institutes, 2,556 reels distributed through 49 Agricultural Representatives, 7,648 reels distributed through 300 General hospitals, library boards, boys' clubs, community clubs, etc.; 100 reels were distributed in the British Isles through the Agent-General's Office, 46 reels were distributed in the U.S.A. through various university centres. 7. No.

Mr. Slack asked the following Question (No. 40):—

1. Was any power sold by the Hydro-Electric Power Commission to the Gatineau Power Company or any of its subsidiaries in the Province of Quebec during the years 1930, 1931, or up to date this year. 2. If so, what amount and at what price. 3. Was payment made for this power in American funds.

The Honourable Mr. Cooke replied as follows:—

It is not in the interests of the municipalities for whom the Commission is acting as trustee that the substance of its private contracts should be divulged to the detriment of its business where the same refers to details of its operations not involved in general policy.

The Commission agreed with one of its customers that it would endeavour to dispose of certain surplus, or interruptible, power that was made available by such customer, who found itself unable to use the power for which it had contracted and was obliged to pay, the Commission to make such credit to the customer as the Commission felt justified for any power that it was able to dispose of to sources not already available to the Commission. In this connection certain quantities of such power have been sold through the Gatineau Power Company to the Interntional Paper Company, and has resulted in certain relief to the customer and additional revenue to the Commission.

Mr. Hutchinson asked the following Question (No. 42):—

1. What contracts have been let on the Trans-Canada Highway. 2. On what terms were such contracts let. 3. To whom were such contracts let.

The Honourable the Minister of Lands and Forests replied as follows:—

1. No contracts for construction have been let on the Trans-Canada Highway. 2. Answered by 1. 3. Answered by 1.

Mr. Hutchinson asked the following Question (No. 50):—

1. Upon what date was the Order-in-Council signed for extending the boundaries of Quetico Park. 2. How many rangers are employed in this Park.

3. How many trappers' cabins were burned in the new area included in the Park. 4. What compensation, if any, has been paid for these cabins. 5. What notification, if any, was given the residents of the area, subsequent to, or prior to, the passing of the Order-in-Council for the extension of the Park boundary.

The Honourable the Minister of Lands and Forests replied as follows:—

1. April 28th, 1931. 2. Nineteen. 3. Two. 4. None. 5. By general posters and direct interviews.

Mr. Hutchinson asked the following Question (No. 51):—

1. What is the amount of compensation claimed for damages on the Winnipeg River in the Kenora District, through flooding caused by high water. 2. Have any claims been paid. 3. If not, when may settlement be expected.

The Honourable the Minister of Lands and Forests replied as follows:—

1. Thirty-nine claims were submitted amounting to \$21,565.48. 2. No claims have been paid. The Department of Justice, Ottawa, and the Attorney-General's Department, Toronto, have both given an opinion that the Government is not responsible for these damages. 3. No undertaking can be given as to settlement under the circumstances.

Mr. Slack asked the following Question (No. 53):—

1. How many permits (a) Resident; (b) Transient, were issued by the Liquor Control Board in each fiscal year, 1930 and 1931. 2. How many permits were for (a) Beer; (b) General, in each year, 1930 and 1931. 3. What was the total revenue to the Province for the sale of permits in each year, 1930 and 1931.

The Honourable the Attorney-General replied as follows:—

1. (a)	Resident Permits issued in 1930 (Individual Liquor)	409,329
	Resident Permits issued in 1931 (Individual Liquor)	329,784
	Resident Permits issued in 1931 (Special Wine and Beer)	119,326
		449,110
(b)	Temporary Permits issued in 1930 (Individual Liquor)	111,715
	Temporary Permits issued in 1931 (Individual Liquor)	80,737
	Temporary Permits issued in 1931 (Special Wine and Beer)	29,379
		110,116

2. (a) The Special Wine and Beer Permit was authorized for issue at the commencement of last fiscal year only, the number issued during the year ending October 31st, 1931, being as follows:—

Resident Wine and Beer Permits in 1931.....	119,326
Temporary Wine and Beer Permits in 1931.....	29,379
	148,705
Less those converted into Liquor Permits.....	20,776
	127,929

(b) See answer to 1 with respect to "General" Permits which, according to The Liquor Control Act, are known as "Individual Liquor Permits." 3. The Provincial Treasurer received from Permit Sales during 1930, \$965,000.00; during 1931, \$860,000.00.

Mr. Medd asked the following Question (No. 55):—

1. What was the total sale during the last fiscal year by the Liquor Commission of (a) Spirituous Liquor; (b) Wine; (c) Beer. 2. What was the total sale of wine by wineries during the last fiscal year. 3. What was the total sale of beer through brewery warehouses and breweries during the last fiscal year. 4. How much 4.4 Beer was sold in Ontario last year.

The Honourable the Attorney-General replied as follows:—

1 and 3. During the fiscal year ending October 31st, 1931, there was sold from Liquor Control Board Stores:—Spirituous Liquor, \$24,272,171.09; Wine, \$3,530,743.30; Beer, \$1,737,793.98; and sales of beer direct to customers from breweries and brewery warehouses totalled \$16,294,999.40; total, \$45,835,707.77. 2. Direct Wine sales totalled \$1,125,886.79. 4. Sales from Breweries of Light Beer (4.4) totalled \$1,207,503.05.

Mr. Taylor asked the following Question (No. 56):—

1. Has the Sheriff in and for the County of Ontario vacated his office, if so, on what date was he relieved from duties. 2. What arrangements are made for conducting said office pending an appointment. 3. Does the Government give preference to returned soldiers fully qualified for the work in filling these offices. 4. Has the Government any correspondence relating to the filling of this office, if so, from whom.

The Honourable the Attorney-General replied as follows:—

1. Yes, October 1st, 1931. 2. The Deputy is carrying on under Section 32 of The Sheriffs' Act, R.S.O. 1927, Chapter 18. 3. Yes, the proportion of returned soldiers appointed to Government positions in Ontario County has been very high. 4. Yes, many applications have been received and acknowledged.

Mr. Sangster asked the following Question (No. 61):—

1. Who is timekeeper at Camp 101 at Calvin. 2. What is his age. 3. Is his father a hotelkeeper at North Bay. 4. How long has the timekeeper been steadily employed. 5. Is there no married returned soldier available for this work.

The Honourable the Minister of Lands and Forests replied as follows:—

1. W. Brezeau is timekeeper at Camp 1.D.1. There is no Camp 1.0.1 in Calvin Township. 2. Eighteen years. 3. No. 4. Employment only temporary. 5. Timekeepers are paid \$40.50 net per month and this work is usually reserved for younger men.

Mr. Blakelock asked the following Question (No. 62):—

How many licenses are issued by the Highway Department to cars of the Government or employees of the Government for which no money is paid to the Department.

The Honourable the Minister of Highways replied as follows:—

Four hundred and thirteen motor vehicle permits have been issued without charge to various Departments of the Ontario Government, none to employees.

Mr. Ross asked the following Question (No. 65):—

1. What firms or individuals applied to the Department of Lands and Forests for the sale of timber on an area lying north and east of the Grand Trunk Pacific, Block 4, and consisting of four parcels, known as Garden Lake area. 2. When was the area first advertised. 3. When did tenders close. 4. How many tenders were received. 5. To what firm or individual was the area awarded. 6. Was the high tender accepted. 7. How many tenders were withdrawn and by what firms or individuals. 8. How many men are employed at present in cutting operations on this area. 9. What bond has been furnished by the successful tenderer. 10. Is the successful tenderer a subsidiary company or operator for, or controlled by, E. W. Backus or Backus-Brooks Company of Minneapolis.

The Honourable the Minister of Lands and Forests replied as follows:—

1. K. C. Shaw. 2. February 6th, 1932. 3. February 25th, 1932. 4. One. 5. K. C. Shaw Company, Limited. 6. Yes. 7. None. 8. Record, returnable monthly, not yet due. 9. Bond for \$100,000.00 being executed. 10. Not to knowledge of Government.

The Order of the Day for the second reading of Bill (No. 118), An Act for the Better Protection of Owners and Operators of Storage Battery Service Stations, having been read, and a vote having been taken, the motion for the second reading was declared lost on division.

The following Bills were severally read the second time:—

Bill (No. 68), An Act to amend The Municipal Act.

Referred to the Committee on Municipal Laws.

Bill (No. 119), An Act to amend The Municipal Act.

Referred to the Committee on Municipal Laws.

Bill (No. 117), An Act to amend The Assessment Act.

Referred to the Committee on Municipal Laws.

Bill (No. 121), An Act to amend The Local Improvement Act.

Referred to the Committee on Municipal Laws.

Bill (No. 5), An Act respecting the Sisters of St. Joseph of the Diocese of Hamilton.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 6), An Act respecting the City of Guelph.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 10), An Act respecting the Township of Teck.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 25), An Act respecting the Town of Cobourg.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 28), An Act respecting the Township of Gloucester.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 30), An Act respecting the City of Sudbury.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 40), An Act respecting the Town of Perth.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 44), An Act respecting the City of Hamilton.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 61), An Act respecting the Town of Blind River.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 64), An Act respecting the Town of Mimico and the Town of New Toronto.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

Bill (No. 17), An Act respecting the Sisters of St. Joseph of the Diocese of Peterborough.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House on Monday next.

The House resolved itself into a Committee, severally to consider the following Bills:—

Bill (No. 31), An Act respecting the Township of East Whitby.

Bill (No. 43), An Act respecting the City of Brantford.

Bill (No. 47), An Act respecting the City of St. Catharines.

Bill (No. 55), An Act respecting the Women's Christian Association of St. Thomas.

Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the several Bills without amendments.

Ordered, That the Bills reported, be severally read the third time on Monday next.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to again consider Bill (No. 78), An Act to amend The Insurance Act respecting Automobile Insurance, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time on Monday next.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 108), An Act to Make Uniform the Law respecting Registration of Corporation Securities, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill with certain amendments.

Ordered, That the amendments be taken into consideration forthwith.

The amendments, having been read the second time, were agreed to.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time on Monday next.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 109), An Act to amend The Assignment of Book Debts Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time on Monday next.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 106), An Act to amend the Hamilton Street Railway Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time on Monday next.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 103), An Act respecting Interprovincial Drainage, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time on Monday next.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 76), An Act to aid in the Prevention and Settlement of Industrial Disputes, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill with certain amendments.

Ordered, That the amendments be taken into consideration forthwith.

The amendments, having been read the second time, were agreed to.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time on Monday next.

The Order of the Day for the House to resolve itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 107), "An Act to amend The Public Utilities Act," having been read, it was

Ordered, That the Order be discharged, and that the Bill be withdrawn.

The Provincial Secretary presented to the House, by command of The Right Honourable the Administrator of the Government:—

Report of Department of Lands and Forests, Ontario, for year ending October 31st, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 3.*)

Also, Annual Report of the Niagara Parks Commission, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 44.*)

Also, Annual Report of the Ontario Athletic Commission for year ending October 31st, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 45.*)

The House then adjourned at 5.10 p.m.

MONDAY, MARCH 21st, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

The following Bills were severally introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 130), intituled "The Statute Law Amendment Act, 1932." *Mr. Price.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 131), intituled "The Insurance (Temporary Provisions) Act, 1932." *Mr. Price.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 132), intituled "An Act to amend The Amusements Tax Act." *Mr. Dunlop.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 133), intituled "The Gasoline Tax Act, 1932." *Mr. Macaulay.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 134), intituled "An Act to amend The Municipal Act." *Mr. McArthur.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 135), intituled "An Act to amend The Power Commission Act." *Mr. Morrison.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 136), intituled "An Act to amend The Mining Tax Act." *Mr. McCrea.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 137), intituled "An Act to amend The Game and Fisheries Act." *Mr. McCrea.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

The following Bills were read the third time and were passed:—

Bill (No. 31), An Act respecting the Township of East Whitby.

Bill (No. 43), An Act respecting the City of Brantford.

Bill (No. 47), An Act respecting the City of St. Catharines.

Bill (No. 55), An Act respecting the Women's Christian Association of St. Thomas.

Bill (No. 78), An Act to amend The Insurance Act respecting Automobile Insurance.

Bill (No. 108), An Act to make Uniform the Law respecting Registration of Corporation Securities.

Bill (No. 106), An Act to amend The Hamilton Street Railway Act.

Bill (No. 103), An Act respecting Interprovincial Drainage.

Mr. Ross asked the following Question (No. 38):—

1. What solicitor or legal firm represented the Hydro Commission in negotiating the contract with the Gatineau Power Company. 2. What was the total amount, retainer and fees paid in this connection.

The Honourable Mr. Cooke replied as follows:—

1. Messrs. Kilmer, Irving & Davis. 2. From April 16th, 1926, to January 24th, 1928, \$8,250.00.

Mr. Hutchinson asked the following Question (No. 43):—

1. What materials for buildings, and what equipment, picks, shovels, hardware, powder, explosives, drill steel, drill machines for use on the Trans-Canada Highway in the District of Kenora have been purchased. 2. What prices were paid for them. 3. From whom were they purchased. 4. Are all such material, equipment, etc., being used at the present time.

The Honourable the Minister of Lands and Forests replied as follows:—

1. Material for buildings was purchased along the line of construction of the Trans-Canada Highway and competitive prices were obtained from local producers. Picks, shovels, hammers and hardware equipment were obtained from jobbers along the line of the highway at wholesale list prices less 15 per cent., after consultation with manufacturers and producers. Twenty compressors were purchased after tender and public competition. 2. Answered by 1. 3. Answered by 1. 4. All such material and equipment was used continuously during the winter, but, on account of men going out and camps being shut down, part of material, equipment, etc., is not being used at present.

Mr. Hutchinson asked the following Question (No. 44):—

1. What materials for buildings, and what equipment, picks, shovels, hardware, powder, explosives, drill steel, drill machines have been purchased for use on the Trans-Canada Highway in the District of Thunder Bay. 2. What prices were paid for them. 3. From whom were they purchased. 4. Are all such material, equipment, etc., being used at the present time.

The Honourable the Minister of Lands and Forests replied as follows:—

1. Material for buildings was purchased along the line of construction of the Trans-Canada Highway and competitive prices were obtained from local producers. Picks, shovels, hammers and hardware equipment were obtained from jobbers along the line of the highway at wholesale list prices less 15 per cent., after consultation with manufacturers and producers. Twenty compressors were purchased after tender and public competition. 2. Answered by 1. 3. Answered by 1. 4. All such material and equipment was used continuously during the winter, but, on account of men going out and camps being shut down, part of material, equipment, etc., is not being used at present.

Mr. Robertson asked the following Question (No. 46):—

1. When was the power plant at Sydenham purchased by the Hydro-Electric Power Commission. 2. What price was paid. 3. From whom was it purchased. 4. Was this person a holder of an option.

The Honourable Mr. Cooke replied as follows:—

1. The Commission purchased no power plant at Sydenham, but it purchased a distribution system in the Village of Sydenham in Eastern Ontario. 2. \$4,500.00. 3. Mr. Anglin, the owner. 4. Answered by 3.

Mr. Medd asked the following Question (No. 52):—

What was the amount and cost of (a) Spirituous or distilled liquors; (b) Wines; (c) Ales and Beers, imported by the Ontario Liquor Board during each fiscal year 1930, 1931.

The Honourable the Attorney-General replied as follows:—

(a) 1930, 425,485 cases, cost, \$3,747,370.21; 1931, 298,510 cases, cost, \$2,411,629.16; (b) 1930, 64,524 cases, cost, \$489,941.32; 1931, 46,565 cases, cost, \$315,347.58; (c) 1930, 29,876 dozen, cost, \$57,558.22; 1931, 40,579 dozen, cost, \$72,508.75. The above costs do not include Dominion of Canada imposts or freight and insurance.

Mr. Murray asked the following Question (No. 57):—

1. What materials for buildings, and what equipment, picks, shovels, hardware, powder, explosives, drill steel, and drill machines have been purchased for use on the Trans-Canada Highway in the District of North Renfrew. 2. What prices were paid for them. 3. From whom were they purchased. 4. Are all such materials, equipment, etc., being used at the present time.

The Honourable the Minister of Lands and Forests replied as follows:—

1. Material for buildings was purchased along the line of construction of the Trans-Canada Highway and competitive prices were obtained from local producers. Picks, shovels, hammers and hardware equipment were obtained from jobbers along the line of the highway at whole list prices less 15 per cent., after consultation with manufacturers and producers. Twenty compressors were purchased after tender and public competition. 2. Answered by 1. 3. Answered by 1. 4. All such material and equipment was used continuously during the winter, but, on account of men going out and camps being shut down, part of material, equipment, etc., is not being used at present.

Mr. Murray asked the following Question (No. 58):—

1. What materials for buildings, and what equipment, picks, shovels, hardware, powder, explosives, drill steel, drill machines have been purchased for use on the Trans-Canada Highway in the District of Nipissing. 2. What prices were paid for them. 3. From whom were they purchased. 4. Are all such material, equipment, etc., being used at the present time.

The Honourable the Minister of Lands and Forests replied as follows:—

1. Material for buildings was purchased along the line of construction of the Trans-Canada Highway and competitive prices were obtained from local producers. Picks, shovels, hammers and hardware equipment were obtained from jobbers along the line of the highway at whole list prices less 15 per cent., after consultation with manufacturers and producers. Twenty compressors were purchased after tender and public competition. 2. Answered by 1. 3. Answered by 1. 4. All such material and equipment was used continuously during the winter, but, on account of men going out and camps being shut down, part of material, equipment, etc., is not being used at present.

Mr. Elliott asked the following Question (No. 66):—

1. What was the net amount received by the Government from the recent short-term loan. 2. What was the net amount received from the recent long-term loan.

The Honourable the Provincial Treasurer replied as follows:—

1. For 6 per cent. Loan Series "A.U." dated 1st February, 1932, due 1st February, 1935, the Province received \$4,943,750. 2. For 5½ per cent. Loan Series "A.T." dated 1st February, 1932, due 1st February, 1947, the Province received \$18,825,000.

Mr. Bragg asked the following Question (No. 67):—

What was the total amount of timber dues owing and outstanding at the close of the last fiscal year.

The Honourable the Minister of Lands and Forests replied as follows:—

1. \$2,928,880.32.

The Order of the Day for the second reading of Bill (No. 114), An Act to amend The University Act, having been read,

Ordered, That the Order be discharged, and that the Bill be withdrawn.

The following Bills were severally read the second time:—

Bill (No. 120), An Act to amend The Municipal Act.

Referred to the Committee on Municipal Laws.

Bill (No. 127), An Act to amend The Transportation of Fowl Act, 1929.
Referred to the Committee on Municipal Laws.

Bill (No. 102), An Act to amend The Telephone Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 105), An Act to amend The Medical Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 110), An Act to amend The Workmen's Compensation Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 111), An Act respecting Live Stock and Live Stock Products.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 113), An Act to Assist Co-operative Associations in Marketing Agricultural Products.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 122), An Act for Granting Aid to the Medical Faculty of the University of Western Ontario.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 123), An Act to amend the School Laws.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 124), An Act for the Protection of Persons Employed in Factories, Shops and Office Buildings.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 112), An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 125), An Act to amend The Registry Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 126), An Act respecting Collection Agencies.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 100), An Act to amend The Conditional Sales Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 101), An Act to amend The Mining Act.

Referred to a Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

The Order of the Day for the second reading of Bill (No. 98), An Act to regulate Barber Shops in the Province of Ontario, having been read, and a vote having been taken, the motion for second reading was declared lost on division.

On motion of Mr. Henry, seconded by Mr. Price,

Ordered, That this House do forthwith resolve itself into a Committee to consider a certain proposed Resolution respecting a grant out of the Consolidated Revenue Fund to the University of Western Ontario.

Mr. Henry acquainted the House that The Right Honourable The Administrator of the Government, having been informed of the subject matter of the proposed Resolution, recommends it to the consideration of the House.

The House then resolved itself into the Committee.

(In the Committee)

Resolved, "That there be granted out of the Consolidated Revenue Fund to the University of Western Ontario an annual sum not exceeding \$20,000 for a period of ten years commencing with the year 1933, the same to be expended in providing accommodation for the medical faculty of the said University in such manner and subject to such conditions as may be agreed upon between the Crown and the said University."

Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had come to a certain Resolution.

Ordered, That the Report be now received.

Resolved, "That there be granted out of the Consolidated Revenue Fund to the University of Western Ontario an annual sum not exceeding \$20,000 for a period of ten years commencing with the year 1933, the same to be expended in providing accommodation for the medical faculty of the said University in such manner and subject to such conditions as may be agreed upon between the Crown and the said University."

The Resolution having been read the second time was agreed to and referred to Bill (No. 122).

The Order of the Day for the third reading of Bill (No. 82), An Act respecting Operating Engineers, having been read,

Ordered, That the Order be discharged, and that the Bill be forthwith again referred to a Committee of the Whole, with instructions to amend the same.

The House accordingly resolved itself into the Committee; and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had amended the Bill as directed.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The Order of the Day for the third reading of Bill (No. 99), An Act to amend The Liquor Control Act, having been read,

Ordered, That the Order be discharged, and that the Bill be forthwith again referred to a Committee of the Whole, with instructions to amend the same.

The House accordingly resolved itself into the Committee; and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had amended the Bill as directed.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The Order of the Day for the third reading of Bill (No. 109), The Assignment of Book Debts Act, having been read,

Ordered, That the Order be discharged, and that the Bill be forthwith again referred to a Committee of the Whole, with instructions to amend the same.

The House accordingly resolved itself into the Committee; and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had amended the Bill as directed.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The Order of the Day for the third reading of Bill (No. 96), The Grand River Conservation Act, having been read,

Ordered, That the Order be discharged, and that the Bill be forthwith again referred to a Committee of the Whole, with instructions to amend the same.

The House accordingly resolved itself into the Committee; and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had amended the Bill as directed.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The House resolved itself into a Committee, severally to consider the following Bills:—

Bill (No. 5), An Act respecting the Sisters of St. Joseph of the Diocese of Hamilton.

Bill (No. 6), An Act respecting the City of Guelph.

Bill (No. 10), An Act respecting the Township of Teck.

Bill (No. 25), An Act respecting the Town of Cobourg.

Bill (No. 28), An Act respecting the Township of Gloucester.

Bill (No. 30), An Act respecting the City of Sudbury.

Bill (No. 40), An Act respecting the Town of Perth.

Bill (No. 44), An Act respecting the City of Hamilton.

Bill (No. 61), An Act respecting the Town of Blind River.

Bill (No. 64), An Act respecting the Town of Mimico and the Town of New Toronto.

Bill (No. 17), An Act respecting the Sisters of St. Joseph of the Diocese of Peterborough.

Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the several Bills without amendments.

Ordered, That the Bills reported, be severally read the third time To-morrow.

The House, according to Order, again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(In the Committee)

Resolved, That there be granted to His Majesty, for the services of 1932, the following sums:—

125. To defray the expenses of the Public Works Department.	\$1,231,017 87
127. To defray the expenses of the Health Department.	219,900 00
128. To defray the expenses of the Labour Department.	33,041 50

Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had come to several Resolutions; also, That the Committee had directed him to ask for leave to sit again.

Ordered, That the Report be received To-morrow.

Resolved, That the Committee have leave to sit again To-morrow.

Mr. McCrea, from the Standing Committee on Fish and Game, submitted their Report which was read as follows and adopted:—

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

Gentlemen:

The Standing Committee on Fish and Game begs leave to submit herewith the proceedings of the Committee for the current Session and recommend that they be printed as an Appendix to the Journals.

Ordered, That the report of the Standing Committee on Fish and Game be received and be printed as an Appendix to the Journal of Proceedings of the present Session of the House.

The House then adjourned at 6.20 p.m.

TUESDAY, MARCH 22ND, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

Mr. Price, from the Standing Committee on Private Bills, presented their Seventh Report which was read as follows and adopted:—

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

Gentlemen:

Your Committee beg to report the following Bills with certain amendments:—

Bill (No. 13), An Act respecting the City of Sarnia.

Bill (No. 15), An Act respecting the Walkerville-East Windsor Water Commission.

Bill (No. 36), An Act respecting the City of Toronto.

Bill (No. 39), An Act respecting the Town of Rockland.

Bill (No. 60), An Act respecting the George Taylor Richardson Trust Act, 1932.

Your Committee beg to report the following Bills without amendment:—

Bill (No. 2), An Act respecting the Roman Catholic Episcopal Corporation of Ottawa.

Bill (No. 20), An Act respecting a Trust Settlement of Arry Ellen Mayer Calhoun, et al.

Bill (No. 33), An Act respecting the Township of North York.

Bill (No. 57), An Act respecting the Roman Catholic Episcopal Corporation of the Diocese of Kingston in Canada.

Your Committee would recommend that the fees, less the penalties and the actual cost of printing, be remitted on Bill (No. 2), "An Act respecting the Roman Catholic Episcopal Corporation of Ottawa," and on Bill (No. 57), "An Act respecting the Roman Catholic Episcopal Corporation of the Diocese of Kingston in Canada," on the ground that they both relate to religious institutions.

Bill (No. 33), "An Act respecting the Township of North York."

Your Committee recommends that for the present no further action be taken on this Bill and that the same is recommended to be passed as previously reported by the Committee.

✓ Bill (No. 56), An Act respecting Professional Engineers.

Your Committee have considered this Bill and recommend that it be not further proceeded with.

Your Committee also reports that its Subcommittee appointed to consider certain Bills presented the report of its deliberations with certain recommendations and your Committee unanimously adopted such report, and having given final consideration to those Bills your Committee recommends that the following Bills as approved by the Subcommittee be reported without further amendment:—

Bill (No. 26), An Act respecting the Town of Riverside.

Bill (No. 27), An Act respecting the City of East Windsor.

Bill (No. 34), An Act respecting the Township of Scarborough.

Bill (No. 51), An Act respecting the Township of York.

Bill (No. 53), An Act respecting the City of Windsor.

Bill (No. 54), An Act respecting the Essex Border Utilities Commission.

Bill (No. 63), An Act respecting the Windsor, Essex and Lake Shore Electric Railway Association.

Your Committee also reports that Bills (No. 41), "An Act respecting the Town of Tecumseh," (48), "An Act respecting the Township of Sandwich East," (49), "An Act respecting the Township of Sandwich West," and (No. 62), "An Act respecting the Town of Sandwich," have been withdrawn.

Ordered, That the fees, less the penalties and the actual cost of printing, be remitted on Bill (No. 2), "An Act respecting the Roman Catholic Episcopal Corporation of the Diocese of Ottawa," and on Bill (No. 57), "An Act respecting the Roman Catholic Episcopal Corporation of the Diocese of Kingston in Canada," on the ground that they both relate to religious institutions.

Mr. McBrien, from the Select Committee of the House, appointed to consider and report on proposed amendments to The Mechanics' Lien Act, presented their Report which was read as follows and adopted:—

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

Gentlemen:

Your Committee has held eight (8) meetings and has heard representations from labour, mortgage corporations, material men, supply men, contractors and builders, and solicitors representing them.

Your Committee has arrived at certain conclusions which have been embodied in a Bill for presentation to this House.

Your Committee respectfully suggests that The Mechanics' Lien Act, together with the amendments as set out in this Bill, if passed, be printed for distribution.

Mr. Macaulay, from the Standing Committee on Legal Bills presented their Report which was read as follows and adopted:

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

Gentlemen:

Your Committee has carefully considered the provisions of Bill (No. 91), An Act to repeal The Bulk Sales Act. Your Committee considers that The Bulk Sales Act is in need of revision and recommend that the Legal Advisers to the Crown make a study of the matter during the recess, with a view towards the introduction of amending legislation at the next sitting of the House, and recommends that in the meantime Bill (No. 91) be not further proceeded with.

Mr. Black presented the Report of the Standing Committee on Public Accounts which was read as follows and adopted:—

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

Gentlemen:

Your Committee has had documents and particulars placed before it and has heard evidence in connection with the following items:—

Beauharnois investigation, Hogg & Hogg, legal services, \$712.15. E. Bayly, travelling expenses, \$49.65. Page D 10, Public Accounts, 1931.

The construction of new Administrative Building, Students' Residence, Horticultural Building, Greenhouses, Dairy Barn, Railway Siding, Girls' Residence, Distributing System, Central Heating System, and furnishing of President's Office, Guest Rooms and Public Parlor or Assembly Room at O.A.C., Guelph. Page K 47, Public Accounts, 1931.

Your Committee held in all three meetings and examined the following witnesses:—

E. Bayly, Deputy Attorney-General.

George Hogarth, Deputy Minister of Public Works.

Frederick D. Hogg, Ottawa.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

The following Bills were severally introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 138), intituled "The Ontario Loan Act, 1932." *Mr. Dunlop.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 139), intituled "An Act to amend The Mechanics' Lien Act." *Mr. McBrien.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 140), intituled "An Act respecting the Sandwich, Windsor and Amherstburg Railway." *Mr. Cooke.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 141), intituled "An Act respecting the Estate of Charles Millar, deceased." *Mr. Price.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 142), intituled "An Act respecting Unemployment Relief." *Mr. Henry.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-morrow.

Bill (No. 143), intituled "An Act to Supplement the Revenue of the Crown in the Province of Ontario." *Mr. Dunlop.*

Ordered, That the Bill be read a second time To-morrow.

The following Bills were read the third time and were passed:—

Bill (No. 82), An Act respecting Operating Engineers.

Bill (No. 96), The Grand River Conservation Commission Act.

Bill (No. 19), An Act respecting the Township of East York.

Bill (No. 99), An Act to amend The Liquor Control Act.

Bill (No. 109), An Act to amend The Assignment of Book Debts Act.

Bill (No. 5), An Act respecting the Sisters of St. Joseph of the Diocese of Hamilton.

Bill (No. 6), An Act respecting the City of Guelph.

Bill (No. 10), An Act respecting the Township of Teck.

Bill (No. 25), An Act respecting the Town of Cobourg.

Bill (No. 28), An Act respecting the Township of Gloucester.

Bill (No. 30), An Act respecting the City of Sudbury.

Bill (No. 40), An Act respecting the Town of Perth.

Bill (No. 44), An Act respecting the City of Hamilton.

Bill (No. 61), An Act respecting the Town of Blind River.

Bill (No. 64), An Act respecting the Town of Mimico and the Town of New Toronto.

Bill (No. 17), An Act respecting the Sisters of St. Joseph of the Diocese of Peterborough.

On motion of Mr. Dunlop, seconded by Mr. Price.

Ordered, That this House do forthwith resolve itself into a Committee to consider certain proposed Resolutions respecting additional taxes on Banks,

Life Insurance Companies, Telegraph Companies, Telephone Companies, Express Companies and every Incorporated Company carrying on business in Ontario and an additional tax on transfer of Bonds and Debentures.

Mr. Henry acquainted the House that The Right Honourable The Administrator of the Government, having been informed of the subject matter of the proposed Resolution, recommends it to the consideration of the House.

The House then resolved itself into the Committee.

Resolved—1. That companies which transact business in Ontario, in addition to any other taxes imposed, shall annually pay to His Majesty for the uses of Ontario the following taxes:—

Every bank shall pay \$3,000 for the principal office in Ontario and \$200 for every additional branch office in Ontario;

Every Life Insurance Company shall pay a tax of one and three-quarters per centum, every Fire Insurance Company one and two-thirds per centum and every other Insurance Company two per centum calculated on the gross premiums received by the company in respect of business transacted in Ontario;

Every Loan Company shall pay a tax of one-twentieth of one per centum on the paid-up capital thereof and one-twentieth of one per centum of all moneys invested in Ontario by such company, including the company's office premises;

Every company owning, operating or using a line of telegraph within Ontario shall pay a tax of one per centum upon the amount of the money invested in such line or the works and plant connected therewith;

Every company owning, operating or using a telephone line in Ontario and having a paid-up capital of \$100,000 or over shall pay a tax of three-eighths of one per centum upon the paid-up capital;

Every company carrying on the business of an express company over a railway in Ontario shall pay a tax of \$800 for each one hundred miles or fraction thereof, but in no case more than \$10,000;

Every incorporated company carrying on business in Ontario shall pay a tax of \$50 for each office or place of business in Ontario and, in addition thereto, a tax of one per centum calculated on the net revenue of the company.

Resolved—2. That there shall be levied a tax of three cents payable by the transferor for every \$100 or fraction thereof of the par value on every transfer of any bond or debenture (other than bonds of the Dominion of Canada or of any Province of Canada) made or carried into effect in Ontario.

Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported That the Committee had come to a certain Resolution.

Ordered, That the Report be now received.

Resolved—1. That companies which transact business in Ontario, in addition to any other taxes imposed, shall annually pay to His Majesty for the uses of Ontario the following taxes:—

Every bank shall pay \$3,000 for the principal office in Ontario and \$200 for every additional branch office in Ontario;

Every Life Insurance Company shall pay a tax of one and three-quarters per centum, every Fire Insurance Company one and two-thirds per centum and every other Insurance Company two per centum calculated on the gross premiums received by the company in respect of business transacted in Ontario;

Every Loan Company shall pay a tax of one-twentieth of one per centum on the paid-up capital thereof and one-twentieth of one per centum of all moneys invested in Ontario by such company, including the company's office premises;

Every company owning, operating or using a line of telegraph within Ontario shall pay a tax of one per centum upon the amount of the money invested in such line or the works and plant connected therewith;

Every company owning, operating or using a telephone line in Ontario and having a paid-up capital of \$100,000 or over shall pay a tax of three-eighths of one per centum upon the paid-up capital;

Every company carrying on the business of an express company over a railway in Ontario shall pay a tax of \$800 for each one hundred miles or fraction thereof, but in no case more than \$10,000;

Every incorporated company carrying on business in Ontario shall pay a tax of \$50 for each office or place of business in Ontario and, in addition thereto, a tax of one per centum calculated on the net revenue of the company.

Resolved—2. That there shall be levied a tax of three cents payable by the transferor for every \$100 or fraction thereof of the par value on every transfer of any bond or debenture (other than bonds of the Dominion of Canada or of any Province of Canada) made or carried into effect in Ontario.

The Resolution having been read the second time was agreed to and referred to Bill (No. 128).

On motion of Mr. Macaulay, second by Mr. Challies,

Ordered, That this House do forthwith resolve itself into a Committee to consider a certain proposed Resolution respecting a tax on gasoline.

Mr. Henry acquainted the House that The Right Honourable The Administrator of the Government, having been informed of the subject matter of the proposed Resolution, recommends it to the consideration of the House.

The House then resolved itself into the Committee.

(*In the Committee*)

Resolved—That every purchaser of gasoline shall pay to His Majesty in the right of the Province of Ontario a charge or tax of six cents a gallon on gasoline purchased or delivery of which is received by him.

Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had come to a certain Resolution.

Ordered, That the Report be now received.

Resolved—That every purchaser of gasoline shall pay to His Majesty in the right of the Province of Ontario a charge or tax of six cents a gallon on gasoline purchased or delivery of which is received by him.

The Resolution having been read the second time was agreed to and referred to Bill (No. 133).

On motion of Mr. Dunlop, seconded by Mr. Price,

Ordered, That this House do forthwith resolve itself into a Committee to consider a certain proposed Resolution respecting a tax on persons attending a place of amusement in Ontario.

Mr. Henry acquainted the House that The Right Honourable The Administrator of the Government, having been informed of the subject matter of the proposed Resolution, recommends it to the consideration of the House.

The House then resolved itself into the Committee.

Resolved—1. That every person attending a place of amusement in Ontario shall pay to His Majesty for the use of Ontario a Tax of not less than one cent and not more than fifty cents, and that the Lieutenant-Governor in Council be empowered to make such regulations as may be deemed expedient for fixing the Tax to be so paid.

Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had come to a certain Resolution.

Ordered, That the Report be now received.

Resolved—1. That every person attending a place of amusement in Ontario shall pay to His Majesty for the use of Ontario a Tax of not less than one cent and not more than fifty cents, and that the Lieutenant-Governor in Council be empowered to make such regulations as may be deemed expedient for fixing the Tax to be so paid.

The Resolution having been read the second time was agreed to and referred to Bill (No. 132).

The following Bills were severally read the second time:—

Bill (No. 116), An Act respecting the Ontario Municipal Board.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 128), An Act to amend The Corporation Tax Act.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 130), The Statute Law Amendment Act, 1932.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 131), The Insurance (Temporary Provisions) Act, 1932.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 133), The Gasoline Tax Act, 1932.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 132), An Act to amend The Amusements Tax Act.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

The Order of the Day for the second reading of Bill (No. 137), An Act to amend The Game and Fisheries Act, having been read,

Mr. McCrea moved,

That the Bill be now read the second time.

And a Debate having ensued,

And the House having continued to sit until Twelve of the Clock midnight,

Wednesday March 23rd, 1932,

The Debate continued.

And after some time the Bill was read a second time, and referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 115), An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Referred to a Committee on Legal Laws.

Bill (No. 134), An Act to amend The Municipal Act.

Referred to a Committee on Municipal Laws.

Bill (No. 135), An Act to amend The Power Commission Act.

Referred to a Committee on Municipal Laws.

Mr. Murphy (Beaches), from the Committee of Supply, reported the following Resolutions:—

120. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Thirty-four thousand, six hundred and forty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Attorney-General's Department for the year ending 31st October, 1932.

121. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One million, five hundred and eighty-two thousand nine hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Education Department for the year ending 31st October, 1932.

122. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Eight thousand, one hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Lands and Forests Department for the year ending 31st October, 1932.

123. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One thousand, three hundred and twenty-nine dollars and sixty-three cents be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Mines Department for the year ending 31st October, 1932.

124. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Sixty-nine thousand, nine hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Game and Fisheries Department for the year ending 31st October, 1932.

125. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One million, two hundred and thirty-one thousand, seventeen dollars and eighty-seven cents be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Public Works Department for the year ending 31st October, 1932.

126. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Thirty-three thousand, eight hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Highways Department for the year ending 31st October, 1932.

127. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Two hundred and nineteen thousand, nine hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Health Department for the year ending 31st October, 1932.

128. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Thirty-three thousand and forty-one dollars and fifty cents be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Labour Department for the year ending 31st October, 1932.

129. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Two million, and seventy-two thousand dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Public Welfare Department for the year ending 31st October, 1932.

130. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Forty-six thousand and seventy-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Provincial Treasurer's Department for the year ending 31st October, 1932.

131. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Five thousand eight hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Provincial Secretary's Department for the year ending 31st October, 1932.

132. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Thirty thousand, five hundred and twenty-four dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Agriculture Department for the year ending 31st October, 1932.

133. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Sixty-six thousand, three hundred and forty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Miscellaneous for the year ending 31st October, 1932.

The several Resolutions having been read a second time were concurred in.

Mr. Henry delivered to Mr. Speaker a message from The Right Honourable the Administrator of the Government of the Province of Ontario, signed by himself; and the said message was read by Mr. Speaker, and is as follows:—

WILLIAM MULOCK

The Administrator of the Government of the Province of Ontario transmits Estimates of certain sums required for the service of the Province for the year ending October 31st, 1933, and recommends them to the Legislative Assembly.

Toronto, March 21st, 1932.

(*Sessional Papers No. 2.*)

Ordered, That the message of the Administrator, together with the Estimates accompanying the same, be referred to the Committee of Supply.

The Provincial Secretary presented to the House, by command of The Right Honourable the Administrator of the Government:—

Report of the Department of Health for the year 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 14.*)

Also, Report of the Inspector of Hospitals and Sanitoria for the year ending September 30th, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 17.*)

Also, Report of the Workmen's Compensation Board for the year 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 28.*)

Also, Report of the Department of Public Highways for the years 1930 and 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 46.*)

Also, Annual Report upon Prisons and Reformatories, The Board of Parole and Extra-Mural Employment Officer, for year ending October 31st, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 18.*)

Also, Annual Report of Department of Game and Fisheries, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 9.*)

Also, Annual Report of Department of Public Welfare for year 1930-31. (*Sessional Papers No. 19.*)

Also, Annual Report of Minimum Wage Board, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 47.*)

The House then adjourned at 1.33 a.m.

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 23RD, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

Mr. Finlayson, from the Standing Committee on Municipal Law, presented their First Report which was read as follows and adopted:—

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

Gentlemen:

Your Committee have carefully considered the following Bill and beg to report the same without amendment:—

Bill (No. 127), An Act to amend The Transportation of Fowl Act, 1929.

Your Committee have carefully considered the provisions of Bills (Nos. 65, 66, 68, 69, 88, 90, 119, 120, 134), to amend The Municipal Act, and such of their provisions as have been approved of have been embodied in a Bill intituled "The Municipal Amendment Act, 1932."

Your Committee have carefully considered the provisions of Bill (No. 85), to amend The Assessment Act, and having approved the same the said Bill now becomes "The Assessment Amendment Act, 1932."

Your Committee have also carefully considered the provisions of Bills (Nos. 86, 95 and 121), to amend The Local Improvement Act and such of their provisions as have been approved of have been embodied in a Bill intituled "The Local Improvement Amendment Act, 1932."

Your Committee have also carefully considered Bill (No. 117), "An Act to amend The Assessment Act," and Bill (No. 135), "An Act to amend The Power Commission Act," and recommend that the same be not further proceeded with.

Mr. Macaulay, from the Standing Committee on Legal Bills, presented their Second and Final Report, which was read as follows and adopted:—

Your Standing Committee on Legal Bills, to whom was referred Bill (No. 115), intituled "An Act to amend The Insurance Act," begs leave to report as follows:—

Your Committee begs to report Bill (No. 115), An Act to amend The Insurance Act, as amended.

On motion by Mr. Price, seconded by Mr. Finlayson,

Ordered, That as Bill (No. 25), An Act respecting the Town of Cobourg, has been reported by the Committee on Private Bills without receiving a report from the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board, the reference of that Bill to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board, by this House, Votes and Proceedings, 1932, page 136, is hereby rescinded and Rule No. 72 of the House is suspended so far as it relates to this Bill.

Bill (No. 26), An Act respecting the Town of Riverside; Bill (No. 27), An Act respecting the City of East Windsor, and Bill (No. 53), An Act respecting the City of Windsor, having been amended and the sections of all three Bills relating to a consolidation of floating debts having been deleted, the references by this House to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board of Bill (No. 26), An Act respecting the Town of Riverside, Votes and Proceedings, 1932, page 136; Bill (No. 27), An Act respecting the City of East Windsor, Votes and Proceedings, 1932, page 136, and Bill (No. 53), An Act respecting the City of Windsor, Votes and Proceedings, 1932, page 64, are hereby rescinded.

The following Bills were severally introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 144), intituled "The Municipal Amendment Act, 1932." *Mr. Finlayson*.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-day.

Bill (No. 145), intituled "The Local Improvement Amendment Act, 1932." *Mr. Finlayson*.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-day.

Bill (No. 146), intituled "The Assessment Amendment Act, 1932." *Mr. Finlayson*.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time To-day.

Mr. Nixon asked the following Question (No. 4):—

1. Has Mr. W. N. Tilley, K.C., or any member of the firm of Tilley, Johnson, Thomson and Parmenter, Barristers, or the firm itself been retained by the Government in any capacity since January 1st, 1926. 2. If so, what were the occasions and the total amounts of retainers and fees paid by the Government in each instance.

The Honourable the Attorney-General replied as follows:—

1. Yes.

2. Brokerage Prosecutions.—In January, 1930, when the Auditors' Reports on brokers, members of the Exchanges, came up for consideration, the firm of Tilley, Johnston, Thomson and Parmenter was retained for the purpose of assisting in dealing with these reports as far as they affected the general brokerage situation. Arrests of a number of brokers were subsequently made and prosecutions instigated. These prosecutions were extremely important, involving as they did companies with head offices in Ontario, yet with branch offices in almost every province in Canada. There was a tremendous amount of careful supervision from the start to the end; investigation of thousands of purchases and sales of shares controlled by the accused companies and interlocking companies over a period of several years. Mr. A. W. Rogers, of the Security Frauds Branch, was in charge with a member of the above firm in constant touch. Hundreds of files of correspondence were read. Carefully prepared lengthy reports, with thousands of original documents outlining the intricate details of each transaction, were prepared. Scores of witnesses including employees and customers were interviewed. The charges to be laid were determined. The above firm was in charge of this from the beginning, attending preliminary inquiries before the Magistrates and indictments before the Grand Jury. Mr. W. N. Tilley, K.C.; Mr. Strachan Johnston, K.C., and Mr. C. F. H. Carson, all worked on these cases and Mr. Tilley, K.C., and Mr. C. F. H. Carson handled them in Court. There was several months' steady work out of Court, while the cases themselves lasted nearly a month in Court. Convictions were obtained, the importance of which was very far reaching. While certain cases came to the Court the whole mining brokerage situation was involved. Investigations were made in a number of other cases with the idea of ascertaining whether prosecutions should be laid. These cases were defended with every ingenuity that clever and able Counsel could think of which delayed and prolonged the hearing. The following were tried: General, \$20,000; Rex vs. Mowat, Rex vs. McGillivray, \$2,000; Rex vs. McLean, \$1,000; Rex vs. Wray, \$1,000; Rex vs. Draper, \$1,000; Rex vs. Solloway, Rex vs. Mills, \$2,000; Rex vs. Hepleston, Rex vs. Shutt, \$2,000; Rex vs. Smart, \$3,500; Rex vs. Young, \$3,500; Rex vs. Patterson, \$3,500; Rex vs. Campbell, \$3,500; Rex vs. Stobie, \$3,500; Rex vs. Forlong, \$3,500. Additional cases: Rex vs. Solloway, Rex vs. Mills, 12 charges. Careful consideration of customers' accounts in Ontario resulted in over a dozen additional charges of theft being laid against this firm. This entailed careful preparation and analysis of many accounts and perusal of correspondence; examination of many witnesses, attendance at many inquiries, proceedings at Grand Jury and subsequent trial at Assizes in the Spring of 1931, lasting about a week. It was practically another trial. Fee charged, \$10,000. Twenty lawyers appeared against the Crown in Court and out of Court in connection with these various proceedings.

Brokerage Cases, Appeals.—Rex vs. Smart, Rex vs. Young, Rex vs. Patterson, Rex vs. Campbell, Rex vs. Stobie, Rex vs. Forlong. Fee on Appeal before Supreme Court, perusal, preparation, etc., \$10,000, when convictions confirmed.

(1) 1928, Wenige vs. Judd, \$150.00.

(2) 1926, 1927, 1928, Water Power Reference.—For several years prior to 1927, the question of the diversion of Legislative control over and proprietary rights in water powers was in dispute between the Dominion Parliament and the Provincial Legislatures. Mr. W. N. Tilley, K.C., was retained and followed the matter all the way through, having many conferences at Ottawa and in Toronto looking towards a settlement of the issue without litigation. Eventually the Federal authorities set a series of questions dealing with this important matter. They were finally referred to the Supreme Court of Canada. The decision of the Supreme Court can be found in Canada Law Reports, 1929, page 200. This case was fraught with very great importance. The whole position of the Province's right to power on the St. Lawrence and on Provincial as well as inter-provincial and international rivers, was involved. The case in Court lasted eleven days and was heard in October, 1928. In addition Mr. Tilley rendered services in connection with the Georgian Bay Canal Company, which was before the Dominion Parliament during this period. Fee for services, paid in 1929, \$15,000.

Rex vs. Johnston, Rex vs. Clark, Rex vs. Thomas—Stimson & Company Prosecutions.—The firm of Tilley, Johnston, Thomson and Parmenter was retained in 1930 on investigation of these cases and supervised the investigation of books and accounts. There were ten principal companies and about thirty subsidiary companies which had been doing business in Canada for a period in some cases as long as ten or more years. Their transactions in the sale of bonds, debentures and sales to the public during that period, and the money received from these sources was disbursed, had to be scrutinized and summarized. In addition the books of each company had to be read with care, together with hundreds of documents by means of which the transactions of these companies were carried out. Hundred of files of correspondence were read and analyzed. Over a hundred witnesses, including holders of securities of these companies and employees of these companies were interviewed and careful notes taken of their statements. It was necessary to decide what charges should be laid on these intricate facts including conspiracy to defraud and issuing false prospectus, as well as charges of theft. They had to be followed carefully through on the committal for trial, etc., etc. These cases were stubbornly defended. Motions directed against the indictments delaying proceedings and prolonging the trials. Fee, \$12,500.

Re Separate Schools Legislation.—For several years prior to 1925 efforts had been made to determine the rights of Roman Catholic Separate School authorities with respect to the distribution of legislative grants, the establishment and conduct of schools of secondary education, exemption from rates imposed for the support of schools of secondary education not established or conducted by Roman Catholic Separate School authorities, etc. The issues involved were matters of far-reaching importance. Finally these questions were brought before the courts by the Roman Catholic Separate School authorities by petition of right filed in April, 1925, and Mr. W. N. Tilley, K.C., was retained in 1924 to act for the Government preparatory to and throughout the lengthy litigation that followed. In preparing for the trial of the action Mr. Tilley and Mr. C. F. H. Carson of his firm, attended at the Parliament Buildings for several weeks

perusing and considering Historical Educational Papers, Reports on Education, Journals of Education, Educational Pamphlets, School Manuals, Departmental Regulations and Instructions and other available departmental records and documents from 1792 to date and perused and considered legislation of the Province of Canada from 1792 to 1840 and of the Provinces of Upper and Lower Canada, Nova Scotia and New Brunswick prior to Confederation and the legislation of Ontario since Confederation and conferred from time to time with Dr. Colquhoun, Dr. Merchant and departmental officials as to the methods of administering the legislation and regulations and of apportioning grants under various Acts before and since Confederation. The trial of the action before Hon. Mr. Justice Rose lasted nine days and judgment was ultimately given in favour of the Crown. The Separate School authorities appealed to the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court of Ontario and the preparation for the appeal occupied several weeks, the actual argument of the appeal lasting one week. The Province was again successful in this Court. The Separate School authorities obtained leave to appeal to the Supreme Court of Canada. Mr. Tilley and Mr. Carson again spent several weeks perusing and considering voluminous books, reports, regulations, documents, records, etc., filed at the trial and on the argument in the Appellate Division and selecting parts therefrom and from the Statutes to be printed for the Supreme Court of Canada. Mr. Tilley spent considerable time settling the Respondent's Factum and preparing for the argument at Ottawa. The argument itself lasted five days and resulted in an equal division of the Court, three judges being in favour of the Separate School Appellants and three judges supporting the contentions of the Crown. The Separate School authorities then obtained from the Privy Council in England special leave to take a further appeal to that tribunal. The preparation of the Privy Council Record, drawing and settling the case of the Crown and preparing for the argument involved considerable work. Mr. Tilley went to London, England, and argued the appeal for the Province, the entire argument extending over three weeks. After reserving judgement for some time the Privy Council ultimately upheld the contentions of the Province in their entirety and dismissed the appeal. For all of these services, extending from 1924 to 1928 inclusive, including travelling expenses and disbursements, the above firm received the sum of \$50,000, paid partly in 1926, 1927 and the balance in 1928.

Re Security Frauds Prevention Act.—For services of Mr. Strachan Johnston, K.C., in connection with above legislation including numerous conferences with regard thereto, with Attorney-General, Deputy Attorney-General, with departmental officials and with representatives of different provinces and advising in matters leading up to the legislation and as to terms of Statute, said firm was paid in September, 1930, \$2,000.00.

Mr. Mackay asked the following Question (No. 35):—

1. Who are members of the T. & N. O. Commission, and what are their salaries. 2. What amount did each draw in expenses in 1930 and 1931. 3. What was the total amount of salary paid to Commissioner George W. Lee in the years 1928, 1929, 1930 and 1931 by the T. & N. O. Railway and the N. C. Railway. 4. Were his expense accounts for the same period paid by these same bodies jointly or separately. 5. Does the T. & N. O. Railway Commission supply him with a motor car or any expense in connection therewith.

The Honourable the Prime Minister replied as follows:—

1. Geo. W. Lee, Chairman, full time, \$10,000.00; Lieut.-Col. L. T. Martin, Vice Chairman, \$4,000.00; Col. J. I. McLaren, Commissioner, \$3,000.00. 2. Geo. W. Lee, 1930, \$4,644.20; 1931, \$4,422.10; Lieut.-Col. L. T. Martin, 1930, \$1,482.88; 1931, \$1,086.56; Col. J. I. McLaren, 1930, \$205.00; 1931, \$195.00. 3. Geo. W. Lee, 1928, \$10,000.00; 1929, \$10,000.00; 1930, \$10,000.00; 1931, \$10,000.00. 4. By Temiskaming and Northern Ontario Railway; none by the Nipissing Central Railway. 5. No. Six hundred dollars a year towards operation.

Mr. Hipel asked the following Question (No. 45):—

1. What has been the total amount borrowed by the Province for the financing of Provincial Highways for the years 1924 to 1931, both inclusive. 2. What provision by way of sinking fund, or otherwise, has been made to provide for the repayment of the amount so borrowed.

The Honourable the Provincial Treasurer replied as follows:—

1. \$72,571,661.59. 2. A Debt Retirement Plan was inaugurated with the fiscal year 1927. Under the plan, the entire debt of the Province as at October 31st, 1925, is being retired in a period of forty years, commencing 1927 and ending 1966. With regard to loans issued subsequent to October 31st, 1925, the portion of each applicable to refunding of maturing prior debt issues is being retired in the period ending in 1966; that portion being new money to finance Capital Expenditures since October 31st, 1925, is being retired in forty years from the date of borrowing.

Mr. Hipel asked the following Question (No. 47):—

What amount of money has been borrowed and charged to Capital Account since October 31st, 1925, until October 31st, 1931.

The Honourable the Provincial Treasurer replied as follows:—

There has been borrowed on Capital Account for Roads and Highways, and other Capital Works, and for advances, which are repayable and revenue-producing, including Hydro, Agricultural and other loans, the sums of \$185,599,980.70, made up as follows:—

Capital Expenditure:

Highways.....	\$64,505,102	51
Northern Development Roads.....	22,537,740	29
Other Capital Works.....	24,027,303	40
	\$111,070,146	20

Loans to Hydro, etc.:

Hydro.....	\$54,298,367	29
Agricultural, Drainage and Other Loans... ..	20,231,467	21
	74,529,834	50

Total.....\$185,599,980 70

Mr. Blakelock asked the following Question (No. 59):—

1. In what districts were camps located in Northern Ontario. 2. How many huts were erected in each district. 3. What was the total cost by districts, for camps. 4. Was the lumber purchased by general tender. 5. If so, who got the contract, and at what price per one thousand feet, delivered. 6. Was the contract let to the lowest tender. 7. What kind of lumber was used, and what grade in the construction of each camp. 8. What grade of roofing paper was used. 9. What was the weight of the roofing paper. 10. How were same purchased—by tender or otherwise—and at what price per square. 11. Were the huts built by contract. 12. What were the rates of pay to the builders.

The Honourable The Minister of Lands and Forests replied as follows:—

1. Districts of Muskoka, Parry Sound, Nipissing, Sudbury, Algoma, Temiskaming, Cochrane, Thunder Bay, Kenora and Rainy River. 2. Muskoka, 126 huts; Parry Sound, 222 huts; Nipissing, 266 huts; Sudbury, 12 huts; Temiskaming, 39 huts; Cochrane, 122 huts; Thunder Bay, 980 huts; Kenora, 1,022 huts; Rainy River, 9 huts. 3. Figures not available. 4. By competitive bids obtained from local manufacturers and dealers. 5. Local manufacturers and dealers at prices ranging from \$16.00 to \$36.00 per thousand depending on the locality and kinds and grades used. 6. Answered by No. 4 and No. 5. 7. The kinds of lumber used were jack pine, hemlock, pine, spruce and the grades were Nos. 1, 2, 3 and 4 and millrun but there is no report as to the kinds and grades of lumber in individual camps. 8. Asphalt roofing, 1- and 2-ply roofing paper, No. 1 tarred felt and medium ply tarred felt. 9. Some 16-ounce paper and 35-pound and 45-pound rolls of 100 square foot per roll. 10. By competitive bids, prices from \$3.35 to \$4.35 per 100-pound roll. 11. No. 12. Foreman, 50 cents per hour; labourers 30 cents an hour and handyman 40 to 45 cents per hour.

Mr. Robertson asked the following Question (No. 64):—

1. Was the clearing of the King's Highways of snow in Residence 7, during the present winter, done by contract or on a time basis, or partly each way. 2. What has been the cost to date of such work in this Residency: (a) contracts; (b) time basis.

The Honourable the Minister of Highways replied as follows:—

1. Snow-clearing on Residency No. 7 carried out by contract up to February 29th, 1932, and on time basis thereafter. 2. (a) \$2,048.86; (b) \$280.00.

Mr. Hipel asked the following Question (No. 69):—

What amount has been set aside for Highway debt retirement since the inauguration of the debt retirement scheme.

The Honourable the Provincial Treasurer replied as follows:—

The Debt Retirement scheme covers the entire Provincial Debt as a whole, providing for retirement in a flat period of forty years, regardless of the nature of the Capital Assets created. The annual retirement provision out of Revenue is not earmarked to specific classes of Capital Expenditures in respect of which the debt has been increased, but on a pro rata Capital Expenditure basis; of the amount provided for debt retirement to date, \$2,666,798 would be applicable to Provincial Highways and \$731,778 to Northern Development Roads.

On motion of Mr. Nixon, seconded by Mr. Taylor,

That in the opinion of this House it is expedient that no appointment be made at present to fill the office of Lieutenant-Governor and we humbly petition the Government of Canada that no action be taken in this connection for a period of one year from the date of the passing of this resolution.

The motion of Mr. Nixon having been submitted to the House was declared lost.

On motion of Mr. Nesbitt, seconded by

That a Select Committee of this House be appointed to consider the best means of improving the method of preparing the Voters' Lists on which the Provincial Elections are held and to report back to this House during the present Session.

The motion of Mr. Nesbitt was with the consent of the House withdrawn.

On motion of Mr. Dunlop, seconded by Mr. Price,

Ordered, That this House do forthwith resolve itself into a Committee to consider a certain proposed Resolution respecting the raising by way of Loan a sum of money not exceeding the sum of forty million dollars (\$40,000,000.00) for the public service.

Mr. Henry acquainted the House that The Right Honourable The Administrator of the Government, having been informed of the subject matter of the proposed Resolution, recommends it to the consideration of the House.

The House then resolved itself into the Committee.

(In the Committee)

Resolved, 1. That the Lieutenant-Governor in Council be authorized to raise by way of loan a sum of money not exceeding Forty million dollars (\$40,000,000.00) for all or any of the purposes following, that is to say: For the

public service, for works carried on by commissioners on behalf of Ontario, for the covering of any debt of Ontario on open account, for paying any floating indebtedness of Ontario, and for the carrying on of the public works authorized by the Legislature.

2. That the aforesaid sum of money may be borrowed for any terms or terms not exceeding forty years, at such rate as may be fixed by the Lieutenant-Governor in Council and shall be raised upon the credit of the Consolidated Revenue Fund of Ontario and shall be chargeable thereupon.

3. That the Lieutenant-Governor in Council may provide for a special sinking fund with respect to the issue herein authorized, and such sinking fund may be at a greater rate than the one-half of one per centum per annum specified in subsection 2 of section 3 of The Provincial Loans Act.

Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had come to a certain Resolution.

Ordered, That the Report be now received.

Resolved, 1. That the Lieutenant-Governor in Council be authorized to raise by way of loan a sum of money not exceeding Forty million dollars (\$40,000,000.00) for all or any of the purposes following, that is to say: For the public service, for works carried on by commissioners on behalf of Ontario, for the covering of any debt of Ontario on open account, for paying any floating indebtedness of Ontario, and for the carrying on of the public works authorized by the Legislature.

2. That the aforesaid sum of money may be borrowed for any terms or terms not exceeding forty years, at such rate as may be fixed by the Lieutenant-Governor in Council and shall be raised upon the credit of the Consolidated Revenue Fund of Ontario and shall be chargeable thereupon.

3. That the Lieutenant-Governor in Council may provide for a special sinking fund with respect to the issue herein authorized, and such sinking fund may be at a greater rate than the one-half of one per centum per annum specified in subsection 2 of section 3 of The Provincial Loans Act.

The Resolution having been read the second time was agreed to and referred to Bill (No. 138).

On motion of Mr. McCrea, seconded by Mr. Price,

Ordered, That this House do forthwith resolve itself into a Committee to consider a certain proposed Resolution respecting the tax on natural gas.

Mr. Henry acquainted the House that The Right Honourable The Administrator of the Government, having been informed of the subject matter of the proposed Resolution, recommends it to the consideration of the House.

The House then resolved itself into the Committee.

(In the Committee)

Resolved—That as from and after the 1st day of January, 1932, the remission to be made of the tax of two cents for every 1,000 cubic feet of natural gas in Ontario now payable to His Majesty the King in right of the Province of Ontario be fifty per centum of the tax payable on such quantity of gas as has been used in the Dominion of Canada in the year preceding that in which the tax is payable.

Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had come to a certain Resolution.

Ordered, That the Report be now received.

Resolved—That as from and after the 1st day of January, 1932, the remission to be made of the tax of two cents for every 1,000 cubic feet of natural gas in Ontario now payable to His Majesty the King in right of the Province of Ontario be fifty per centum of the tax payable on such quantity of gas as has been used in the Dominion of Canada in the year preceding that in which the tax is payable.

The Resolution having been read the second time was agreed to and referred to Bill (No. 136).

The following Bills were severally read the second time:—

Bill (No. 139), An Act to amend The Mechanics' Lien Act.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 13), An Act respecting the City of Sarnia.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 15), An Act respecting the Walkerville-East Windsor Water Commission.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 36), An Act respecting the City of Toronto.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 39), An Act respecting the Town of Rockland.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 60), An Act respecting the George Taylor Richardson Trust Act, 1932.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 2), An Act respecting the Roman Catholic Episcopal Corporation of Ottawa.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 20), An Act respecting a Trust Settlement of Arry Ellen Mayer Calhoun, et al.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 33), An Act respecting the Township of North York.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 57), An Act respecting the Roman Catholic Episcopal Corporation of the Diocese of Kingston in Canada.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 26), An Act respecting the Town of Riverside.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 27), An Act respecting the City of East Windsor.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 34), An Act respecting the Township of Scarborough.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 51), An Act respecting the Township of York.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 53), An Act respecting the City of Windsor.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 54), An Act respecting the Essex Border Utilities Commission.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 63), An Act respecting the Windsor, Essex and Lake Shore Electric Railway Association.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 129), The Power Commission Act, 1932.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 138), The Ontario Loan Act, 1932.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 141), An Act respecting the Estate of Charles Millar, Deceased.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 142), An Act respecting Unemployment Relief.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 144), The Municipal Amendment Act, 1932.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 145), The Local Improvement Amendment Act, 1932.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 146), The Assessment Amendment Act, 1932.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

Bill (No. 136), An Act to amend The Mining Tax Act.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-morrow.

On motion of Mr. Henry, seconded by Mr. Price,

Ordered, That when this House adjourns the present Sitting it do stand adjourned till Eleven of the Clock in the forenoon of March 24th.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 102), An Act to amend The Telephone Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill with certain amendments.

Ordered, That the Amendments be taken into consideration forthwith.

The amendments, having been read the second time, were agreed to.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 111), An Act respecting Live Stock and Live Stock Products, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 113), An Act to Assist Co-operative Associations in Marketing Agricultural Products, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 122), An Act for granting Aid to the Medical Faculty of the University of Western Ontario, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 112), An Act to amend The Insurance Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill with certain amendments.

Ordered, That the amendments be taken into consideration forthwith.

The amendments, having been read the second time, were agreed to.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 125), An Act to amend The Registry Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 126), An Act respecting Collection Agencies, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.



The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 116), An Act respecting the Ontario Municipal Board, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill with certain amendments.

Ordered, That the amendments be taken into consideration forthwith.

The amendments, having been read the second time, were agreed to.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.



The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 130), The Statute Law Amendment Act, 1932, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had directed him to report progress and directed him to ask for leave to sit again.

Ordered, That the Committee have leave to sit again To-morrow.



The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 131), The Insurance (Temporary Provisions) Act, 1932, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-morrow.



The House, according to Order, again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(In the Committee)

Resolved, That there be granted to His Majesty, for the services of 1932, the following sums:—

1. To defray the expenses of the Lieutenant-Governor's Office..	\$6,450 00
3. To defray the expenses of the Executive Council Office.	10,525 00
4. To defray the expenses of the Publicity and Information Bureau.....	33,800 00
5. To defray the expenses of the Civil Service Commissioner's Office.....	16,500 00

6. To defray the expenses of the King's Printer Office.....	\$39,575 00
7. To defray the expenses of the Hydro-Electric Power Commission.....	3,115,000 00
8. To defray the expenses of the Legislation.....	357,000 00
9. To defray the expenses of the Main Office, Attorney-General's Department.....	167,525 00
10. To defray the expenses of the Supreme Court of Ontario....	114,600 00
11. To defray the expenses of the Law Enforcement Fund.....	900,00 00
12. To defray the expenses of the Toronto and York Crown Attorney's Office.....	27,725 00
13. To defray the expenses of the Administration of Justice....	910,880 00
14. To defray the expenses of the Inspection of Legal Offices....	35,000 00
15. To defray the expenses of the Land Titles Office.....	35,100 00
16. To defray the expenses of the Local Masters of Titles.....	35,500 00
17. To defray the expenses of the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board.....	44,750 00
18. To defray the expenses of the Drainage Trials Act.....	4,900 00
19. To defray the expenses of the Public Trustee's Office.....	57,800 00
20. To defray the expenses of the Fire Marshal's Office.....	82,850 00
21. To defray the expenses of the Security Frauds Prevention Board.....	51,700 00
22. To defray the expenses of the Insurance Department.....	66,125 00
23. To defray the expenses of the Main Office, Education Department.....	108,200 00
24. To defray the expenses of the Public and Separate School Education.....	4,560,100 00
25. To defray the expenses of the Training Schools.....	117,000 00
26. To defray the expenses of the Toronto Normal and Model Schools.....	137,875 00
27. To defray the expenses of the Ottawa Normal and Model Schools.....	93,175 00
28. To defray the expenses of the London Normal School.....	45,600 00
29. To defray the expenses of the Hamilton Normal School.....	41,800 00
30. To defray the expenses of the Peterborough Normal School..	43,850 00
31. To defray the expenses of the Stratford Normal School....	44,675 00
32. To defray the expenses of the North Bay Normal School....	84,100 00
33. To defray the expenses of the University of Ottawa Normal School.....	83,495 00
34. To defray the expenses of the Model Schools.....	127,125 00
35. To defray the expenses of the High Schools and Collegiate Institutes.....	478,650 00
36. To defray the expenses of the Departmental Museum.....	9,900 00
37. To defray the expenses of the Public Libraries, Art Schools, etc	110,300 00
38. To defray the expenses of the Vocational Education.....	1,286,225 00
39. To defray the expenses of the Superannuated Public and High School Teachers.....	25,150 00
40. To defray the expenses of the Provincial and Other Universities.....	588,188 00
41. To defray the expenses of the Belleville School for the Deaf..	143,625 00
42. To defray the expenses of the Brantford School for the Blind	88,934 00
43. To defray the expenses of the Monteith Northern Academy..	44,655 00

And the House having continued to sit until Twelve of the Clock midnight,

Thursday, March 24th, 1932,

44. To defray the expenses of the Lands and Forests Department	\$485,465	00
45. To defray the expenses of the Parks.....	116,000	00
46. To defray the expenses of the Forestry Branch.....	1,490,825	00
47. To defray the expenses of the Surveys Branch.....	220,225	00
48. To defray the expenses of the Colonization Roads Branch, Northern Development Department.....	641,450	00
49. To defray the expenses of the Mines Department.....	357,100	00
50. To defray the expenses of the Game and Fisheries Department	692,975	00
51. To defray the expenses of the Main Office, Public Works Department.....	120,250	00
52. To defray the expenses of the General Superintendence, Public Works Department.....	35,850	00
53. To defray the expenses of the Government House.....	40,800	00
54. To defray the expenses of the Parliament and Departmental Buildings.....	439,925	00
55. To defray the expenses of Osgoode Hall.....	51,265	00
56. To defray the expenses of the Educational Buildings.....	16,100	00
57. To defray the expenses of the Agricultural Buildings.....	8,800	00
58. To defray the expenses of the Welfare Buildings.....	800	00
59. To defray the expenses of the District Buildings.....	13,520	00
60. To defray the expenses of the General Buildings.....	6,000	00
61. To defray the expenses of the Public Works and Bridges....	110,500	00
62. To defray the expenses of the Public Buildings.....	241,000	00
63. To defray the expenses of the Highways Department.....	633,775	00
80. To defray the expenses of the Labour Department.....	415,741	50
81. To defray the expenses of the Main Office, Public Welfare Department.....	26,400	00
82. To defray the expenses of Grants, Public Welfare Department	321,250	00
83. To defray the expenses of the Children's Aid Branch.....	152,625	00
84. To defray the expenses of the Boys' Training School.....	112,425	00
85. To defray the expenses of the Mothers' Allowances Com- mission.....	1,587,450	00
86. To defray the expenses of the Old Age Pensions Commission	2,558,800	00
94. To defray the expenses of the Main Office, Provincial Secretary's Department.....	74,375	00
95. To defray the expenses of the Registrar-General.....	61,775	00
96. To defray the expenses of the Bureau of Municipal Affairs..	12,525	00
97. To defray the expenses of the Public Institutions, Main Office.	85,075	00
98. To defray the expenses of the Board of Parole.....	22,875	00
99. To defray the expenses of the Ontario Reformatory, Guelph.	350,005	00
100. To defray the expenses of the Ontario Reformatory Indus- tries, Guelph.....	25,000	00
101. To defray the expenses of the Ontario Reformatory, Mimico	107,025	00
102. To defray the expenses of the Ontario Reformatory Industries, Mimico.....	30,000	00
103. To defray the expenses of the Mercer Reformatory, Toronto	96,800	00
104. To defray the expenses of the Mercer Reformatory Industries	10,000	00
105. To defray the expenses of the Industrial Farm, Burwash....	357,325	00

106. To defray the expenses of the Industrial Farm, Fort William	\$48,350 00
124. To defray the expenses of the Miscellaneous.....	566,000 00
107. To defray the expenses of the Main Office, Agriculture Department.....	91,250 00
108. To defray the expenses of the Statistics and Publications Branch.....	16 725 00
109. To defray the expenses of Agricultural and Horticultural Societies.....	180,350 00
110. To defray the expenses of the Live Stock Branch.....	193,975 00
111. To defray the expenses of the Institutes Branch.....	85,625 00
112. To defray the expenses of the Dairy Branch.....	169,950 00
113. To defray the expenses of the Fruit Branch.....	119,600 00
114. To defray the expenses of the Agricultural Representatives' Branch.....	353,700 00
115. To defray the expenses of the Crops, Co-operation and Markets Branch.....	88,900 00
116. To defray the expenses of the Agricultural Development Board.....	180,000 00
117. To defray the expenses of the Colonization and Immigration Branch.....	80,900 00
118. To defray the expenses of the Kemptville Agricultural School	72,000 00
119. To defray the expenses of the Ontario Veterinary College...	52,050 00
120. To defray the expenses of the Western Ontario Experimental Farm.....	25,000 00
121. To defray the expenses of the Demonstration Farm, New Liskeard.....	12,000 00
122. To defray the expenses of the Demonstration Farm, Hearst..	12,000 00
123. To defray the expenses of the Ontario Agricultural College..	798,134 00
64. To defray the expenses of the Public Health Services, Health Department.....	884,900 00
65. To defray the expenses of the Hospitals Branch.....	126,625 00
66. To defray the expenses of the General Hospitals and Charities	1,873,200 00
67. To defray the expenses of the Ontario Hospitals, General...	50,150 00
68. To defray the expenses of the Brockville Hospital.....	389,000 00
69. To defray the expenses of the Cobourg Hospital.....	137,700 00
70. To defray the expenses of the Hamilton Hospital.....	501,575 00
71. To defray the expenses of the Kingston Hospital.....	366,375 00
72. To defray the expenses of the London Hospital.....	543,600 00
73. To defray the expenses of the Mimico Hospital.....	427,800 00
74. To defray the expenses of the Orillia Hospital.....	558,550 00
75. To defray the expenses of the Penetanguishene Hospital...	157,550 00
76. To defray the expenses of the Toronto Hospital.....	333,225 00
77. To defray the expenses of the Whitby Hospital.....	586,850 00
78. To defray the expenses of the Woodstock Hospital.....	179,900 00
79. To defray the expenses of the Toronto Psychiatric Hospital..	128,725 00
87. To defray the expenses of the Main Office, Provincial Treasurer's Department.....	82,075 00
88. To defray the expenses of the Controller of Revenue.....	215,325 00
89. To defray the expenses of the Board of Censors of Moving Pictures.....	23,825 00
90. To defray the expenses of the Motion Picture Bureau.....	101,725 00

91. To defray the expenses of the Department of Public Records and Archives.....	\$23,825 00
92. To defray the expenses of the House Post Office.....	136,925 00
93. To defray the expenses of the Provincial Auditor's Office....	101,325 00

Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had come to several Resolutions; also, That the Committee had directed him to ask for leave to sit again.

Ordered, That the Report be received To-morrow.

Resolved, That the Committee have leave to sit again To-morrow.

The Provincial Secretary presented to the House by command of The Right Honourable the Administrator of the Government:—

Report of the Secretary and Registrar of the Province of Ontario with respect to the Administration of The Companies Act, The Extra Provincial Corporations Act, The Mortmain and Charitable Uses Act, and The Companies Information Act for year ending October 31st, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 48.*)

Also, Report of Births, Marriages and Deaths, Ontario, for year ending December 31st, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 13.*)

Also, Report of the Department of Education, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 11.*)

Also, Report of the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario for year ending October 31st, 1931. (*Sessional Papers No. 26.*)

The House then adjourned at 1.07 p.m.

THURSDAY, MARCH 24TH, 1932

PRAYERS.

11 O'CLOCK A.M.

REPORT OF THE STANDING COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE
AND COLONIZATION

Your Committee begs to report that sittings have been held on February 23rd, March 9th, March 11th, March 16th, and March 23rd.

At the first sitting Mr. Jamieson was elected Chairman. On the suggestion of Honourable Mr. Kennedy, the Committee, with a view to expediting its work

for the Session, appointed six subcommittees on Dairying, Live Stock, Field Crops, Poultry and Eggs, Colonization and Agricultural Development, and Fruits and Vegetables, under the leadership, respectively, of Messrs. Sanderson, Fraleigh, Vaughan, Newman, Poisson and Colliver.

These subcommittees have carried out various studies and inquiries and from time to time have reported their findings and recommendations through your Committee to the Minister.

At the second sitting, the question of contagious abortion in cattle was extensively discussed. Following addresses from Professor H. B. Speakman, Director of the Ontario Research Foundation, on the experimental control measures practised by the Foundation against the disease, and from Dr. A. H. McLeod and others connected with the Schomberg area of operations, your Committee passed a resolution urging the Minister to seriously reconsider the whole question before having the Foundation discontinue its work in this particular line.

At the third sitting, discussion was confined entirely to Field Crops and ways and means of better controlling weed seeds in the Province of Ontario. Your Committee unanimously endorsed the principle of a "control" bill which is now being prepared by Mr. Fraleigh, with the co-operation of Mr. W. J. W. Lennox of the Federal Department of Agriculture, and Mr. W. R. Reek of the Provincial Department, for introduction at the next Session of the Legislature.

At the fourth sitting, your Committee's consideration was divided between fruit and vegetables marketing problems, and matters relating to poultry raising. Messrs. H. L. Craise and C. W. Bauer of the Ontario Fruits and Vegetables Growers Council, supported by Mr. Paul Fisher of Burlington, appeared before the Committee stressing the need of some compulsory registration of acreage arrangement to secure funds with which to carry on their head-office administration. Mr. W. R. Graham, Professor of Poultry at the Ontario Agricultural College, Guelph, favoured the Committee with a comprehensive lecture on scientific egg production, revealing for the first time details of some of the research work which the College is now undertaking with the assistance of the Toronto Hospital for Sick Children, and the University of Toronto.

The final sitting was given over to perusal and adoption of various subcommittee findings.

On motion of Mr. Dunlop, seconded by Mr. Price,

Ordered, That this House do forthwith resolve itself into a Committee to consider certain proposed Resolutions respecting tax on fuel-oil.

Mr. Henry acquainted the House that The Right Honourable The Administrator of the Government, having been informed of the subject matter of the proposed Resolution, recommends it to the consideration of the House.

The House then resolved itself into the Committee.

(In the Committee)

Resolved—That every person who consumes any fuel-oil in the Province shall pay to the Treasurer of Ontario a tax in respect of that fuel-oil at the rate of one-half cent a gallon.

Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had come to a certain Resolution.

Ordered, That the Report be now received.

Resolved—That every person who consumes any fuel-oil in the Province shall pay to the Treasurer of Ontario a tax in respect of that fuel-oil at the rate of one-half cent a gallon.

The Resolution having been read the second time was agreed to and referred to Bill (No. 147).

The following Bills were severally read the second time:—

Bill (No. 140), An Act respecting the Sandwich, Windsor and Amherstburg Railway.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-day.

Bill (No. 143), An Act to Supplement the Revenue of the Crown in the Province of Ontario.

Referred to the Committee of the Whole House To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to again consider Bill (No. 81), An Act to amend The Apprenticeship Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill with certain amendments.

Ordered, That the amendments be taken into consideration forthwith.

The amendments, having been read the second time, were agreed to.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to again consider Bill (No. 130), The Statute Law Amendment Act, 1932, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill with certain amendments.

Ordered, That the amendments be taken into consideration forthwith.

The amendments, having been read the second time, were agreed to.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 104), An Act to amend The Succession Duty Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 105), An Act to amend The Medical Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill with certain amendments.

Ordered, That the amendments be taken into consideration forthwith.

The amendments, having been read the second time, were agreed to.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 124), An Act for the Protection of Persons Employed in Factories, Shops and Office Buildings, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill with certain amendments.

Ordered, That the amendments be taken into consideration forthwith.

The amendments, having been read the second time, were agreed to.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The Order of the Day for the House to resolve itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 141), An Act respecting the Estate of Charles Millar, deceased, having been read,

Ordered, That the Order be discharged, and that the Bill be withdrawn.

The following Bill was introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 147), The Fuel Tax Act.

The Order of the Day for the second reading of Bill (No. 147), The Fuel Oil Tax Act, having been read,

Mr. Dunlop moved,

That the Bill be now read a second time,

And a vote having been taken, the motion for the second reading was carried on the following Division:—

YEAS

Aubin	Hill	Raven
Bell	Ireland	Richardson
Black	Jamieson	Robb
Blanchard	Kennedy	St. Denis
Burt	(Temiskaming)	Sanderson
Calder	Kennedy	Scholfield
Case	(Peel)	Seguin
Challies	Kenning	Shaver
Clark	Lancaster	Shields
Cooke	Laughton	Singer
Craig	Lyons	Skinner
Davis	Macaulay	Smith
Dunlop	Martin	(Essex, South)
Elliott	(Hamilton)	Smith
(Rainy River)	Morrison	(Greenwood)
Ellis	Murphy	Staples
Finlayson	(Beaches)	Vaughan
Fraleigh	McArthur	Willson
Freele	McCrea	(Niagara Falls)
Graves	McLean	Wilson
Harcourt	McMillen	(Windsor, East)
Harrison	McNaughton	Wilson
Heighington	Nesbitt	(Lincoln)
Henry	Poisson	Wright—63.
(York, East)	Price	

NAYS

Baxter	Medd	Ross
Blakelock	Murray	Sangster
Bragg	McQuibban	Simpson
Elliott	Newman	Sinclair
(Bruce, North)	Nixon	Taylor—18.
Hipel	Oliver	
Mackay	Robertson	

And the Bill was accordingly read a second time.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 110), An Act to amend The Workmen's Compensation Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill with certain amendments.

Ordered, That the amendments be taken into consideration forthwith.

The amendments, having been read the second time, were agreed to.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 123), An Act to amend the School Laws, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill with certain amendments.

Ordered, That the amendments be taken into consideration forthwith.

The amendments, having been read the second time, were agreed to.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 100), An Act to amend The Conditional Sales Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill with certain amendments.

Ordered, That the amendments be taken into consideration forthwith.

The amendments, having been read the second time, were agreed to.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 101), An Act to amend The Mining Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill with certain amendments.

Ordered, That the amendments be taken into consideration forthwith.

The amendments, having been read the second time, were agreed to.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The Order of the Day for the third reading of Bill (No. 126), An Act respecting Collection Agencies, having been read,

Ordered, That the Order be discharged, and that the Bill be forthwith again referred to a Committee of the Whole, with instructions to amend the same.

The House accordingly resolved itself into the Committee; and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had amended the Bill as directed.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 128), An Act to amend The Corporation Tax Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill with certain amendments.

Ordered, That the amendments be taken into consideration forthwith.

The amendments, having been read the second time, were agreed to.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 132), An Act to amend The Amusements Tax Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill with certain amendments.

Ordered, That the amendments be taken into consideration forthwith.

The amendments, having been read the second time, were agreed to.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 133), The Gasoline Tax Act, 1932, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill with certain amendments.

Ordered, That the amendments be taken into consideration forthwith.

The amendments, having been read the second time, were agreed to.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 137), An Act to amend The Game and Fisheries Act, and, after some time spent

therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill with certain amendments.

Ordered, That the amendments be taken into consideration forthwith.

The amendments, having been read the second time, were agreed to.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 129), The Power Commission Act, 1932, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 138), The Ontario Loan Act, 1932, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 142), An Act respecting Unemployment Relief, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 144), The Municipal Amendment Act, 1932, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 145), The Local Improvement Amendment Act, 1932, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 146), The Assessment Amendment Act, 1932, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 136), An Act to amend The Mining Tax Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill with certain amendments.

Ordered, That the amendments be taken into consideration forthwith.

The amendments, having been read the second time, were agreed to.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 140), An Act respecting the Sandwich, Windsor and Amherstburg Railway, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill with certain amendments.

Ordered, That the amendments be taken into consideration forthwith.

The amendments, having been read the second time, were agreed to.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 143), An Act to Supplement the Revenue of the Crown in the Province of Ontario, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black, reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 147), The Fuel Oil Tax Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill with certain amendments.

Ordered, That the amendments be taken into consideration forthwith.

The amendments, having been read the second time, were agreed to.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 139), An Act to amend The Mechanics' Lien Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 115), An Act to amend The Insurance Act, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee to consider Bill (No. 127), An Act to amend The Transportation of Fowl Act, 1929, and, after some time spent therein, Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Black reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the Bill without any amendment.

Ordered, That the Bill be read the third time To-day.

The House resolved itself into a Committee, severally to consider the following Bills:—

Bill (No. 13), An Act respecting the City of Sarnia.

Bill (No. 15), An Act respecting the Walkerville-East Windsor Water Commission.

Bill (No. 36), An Act respecting the City of Toronto.

Bill (No. 39), An Act respecting the Town of Rockland.

Bill (No. 60), An Act respecting the George Taylor Richardson Trust Act, 1932.

Bill (No. 2), An Act respecting the Roman Catholic Episcopal Corporation of Ottawa.

Bill (No. 20), An Act respecting a Trust Settlement of Arry Ellen Mayer Calhoun, et al.

Bill (No. 33), An Act respecting the Township of North York.

Bill (No. 57), An Act respecting the Roman Catholic Episcopal Corporation of the Diocese of Kingston in Canada.

Bill (No. 26), An Act respecting the Town of Riverside.

Bill (No. 27), An Act respecting the City of East Windsor.

Bill (No. 34), An Act respecting the Township of Scarborough.

Bill (No. 51), An Act respecting the Township of York.

Bill (No. 53), An Act respecting the City of Windsor.

Bill (No. 54), An Act respecting the Essex Border Utilities Commission.

Bill (No. 63), An Act respecting the Windsor, Essex, and Lake Shore Electric Railway Association.

Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had directed him to report the several Bills without amendments.

Ordered, That the Bills reported, be severally read the third time To-day.

The House, according to Order, again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(In the Committee)

Resolved, That there be granted to His Majesty, for the services of 1932, the following sums:—

2. To defray the expenses of the Prime Minister's Office..... \$28,650 00

Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had come to a Resolution.

Ordered, That the Report be received To-day.

Mr. Murphy (Beaches), from the Committee of Supply, reported the following Resolutions:—

1. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Six thousand, four hundred and fifty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Lieutenant-Governor's Office, for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

2. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Twenty-eight thousand, six hundred and fifty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Prime Minister's Office, for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

3. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Ten thousand, five hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Executive Council Office for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

4. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Thirty-three thousand, eight hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Publicity and Information Bureau for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

5. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Sixteen thousand, five hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Civil Service Commissioner's Office for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

6. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Thirty-nine thousand, five hundred and seventy-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of King's Printer Office for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

7. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Three million, one hundred and fifteen thousand dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Hydro-Electric Power Commission for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

8. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Three hundred and fifty-seven thousand dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Legislation for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

9. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and sixty-seven thousand, five hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Main Office, Attorney-General's Department for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

10. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and fourteen thousand, six hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Supreme Court of Ontario for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

11. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Nine hundred thousand dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Law Enforcement Fund for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

12. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Twenty-seven thousand, seven hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Toronto and York Crown Attorney's Office for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

13. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Nine hundred and ten thousand, eight hundred and eighty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Administration of Justice for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

14. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Thirty-five thousand dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Inspection of Legal Offices for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

15. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Thirty-five thousand, one hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Land Titles Office for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

16. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Thirty-five thousand, five hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Local Masters of Titles for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

17. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Forty-four thousand, seven hundred and fifty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Ontario Railway and Municipal Board for the year ending 31st October, 1933.
18. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Four thousand, nine hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Drainage Trials Act for the year ending 31st October, 1933.
19. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Fifty-seven thousand, eight hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Public Trustee's Office for the year ending 31st October, 1933.
20. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Eighty-two thousand, eight hundred and fifty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Fire Marshal's Office for the year ending 31st October, 1933.
21. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Fifty-one thousand, seven hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Security Frauds Prevention Board for the year ending 31st October, 1933.
22. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Sixty-six thousand, one hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Insurance Department for the year ending 31st October, 1933.
23. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and eight thousand, two hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray expenses of Main Office, Education Department for the year ending 31st October, 1933.
24. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Four million, five hundred and sixty thousand, one hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Public and Separate School Education for the year ending 31st October, 1933.
25. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and seventeen thousand dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Training Schools for the year ending 31st October, 1933.
26. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and thirty-seven thousand, eight hundred and seventy-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Toronto Normal and Model Schools for the year ending 31st October, 1933.
27. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Ninety-three thousand, one hundred and seventy-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Ottawa Normal and Model Schools for the year ending 31st October, 1933.
28. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Forty-five thousand, six hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of London Normal School for the year ending 31st October, 1933.
29. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Forty-one thousand, eight hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Hamilton Normal School for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

30. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Forty-three thousand, eight hundred and fifty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Peterborough Normal School for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

31. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Forty-four thousand, six hundred and seventy-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Stratford Normal School for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

32. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Eighty-four thousand, one hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of North Bay Normal School for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

33. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Eighty-three thousand, four hundred and ninety-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of University of Ottawa Normal School for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

34. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and twenty-seven thousand, one hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Model Schools for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

35. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Four hundred and seventy-eight thousand, six hundred and fifty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of High Schools and Collegiate Institutes for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

36. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Nine thousand, nine hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Departmental Museum for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

37. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and ten thousand, three hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Public Libraries, Art Schools, etc., for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

38. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One million, two hundred and eighty-six thousand, two hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Vocational Education for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

39. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Twenty-five thousand, one hundred and fifty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Superannuated Public and High School Teachers for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

40. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Five hundred and eighty-eight thousand, one hundred and eighty-eight dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Provincial and other Universities for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

41. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and forty-three thousand, six hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Belleville School for the Deaf for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

42. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Eighty-eight thousand, nine hundred and thirty-four dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Brantford School for the Blind for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

43. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Forty-four thousand six hundred and fifty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Monteith Northern Academy for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

44. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Four hundred and eighty-five thousand four hundred and sixty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Lands and Forests, Lands and Forests Department for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

45. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and sixteen thousand dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Parks for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

46. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One million four hundred and ninety thousand eight hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Forestry Branch for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

47. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Two hundred thousand two hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Surveys Branch for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

48. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Six hundred and forty-one thousand four hundred and fifty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Colonization Roads Branch, Northern Development Department for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

49. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Three hundred and fifty-seven thousand one hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Mines Department for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

50. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Six hundred and ninety-two thousand nine hundred and seventy-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Game and Fisheries Department for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

51. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and twenty thousand two hundred and fifty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Main Office, Public Works Department, for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

52. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Thirty-five thousand eight hundred and fifty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of General Superintendence, Public Works Department, for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

53. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Forty thousand eight hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Government House for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

54. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Four hundred and thirty-nine thousand nine hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Parliament and Departmental Buildings, for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

55. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Fifty-one thousand, two hundred and sixty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Osgoode Hall for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

56. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Sixteen thousand, one hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Educational Buildings for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

57. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Eight thousand, eight hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Agricultural Buildings for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

58. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Eight hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Welfare Buildings for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

59. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Thirteen thousand, five hundred and twenty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of District Buildings for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

60. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Six thousand dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of General Buildings for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

61. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and ten thousand, five hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Public Works and Bridges for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

62. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Two hundred and forty-one thousand dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Public Buildings for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

63. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Six hundred and thirty-three thousand, seven hundred and seventy-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Highways Department for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

64. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Eight hundred and eighty-four thousand, nine hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Public Health Services, Health Department for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

65. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and twenty-six thousand, six hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Hospitals Branch for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

66. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One million, eight hundred and seventy-three thousand two hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of General Hospitals and Charities for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

67. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Fifty thousand, one hundred and fifty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Ontario Hospitals, General, for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

68. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Three hundred and eighty-nine thousand dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Brockville Hospital for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

69. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and thirty-seven thousand, seven hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Cobourg Hospital for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

70. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Five hundred and one thousand, five hundred and seventy-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Hamilton Hospital for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

71. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Three hundred and sixty-six thousand, three hundred and seventy-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Kingston Hospital for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

72. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Five hundred and forty-three thousand, six hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of London Hospital for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

73. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Four hundred and twenty-seven thousand, eight hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Mimico Hospital for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

74. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Five hundred and fifty-eight thousand, five hundred and fifty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Orillia Hospital for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

75. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and fifty-seven thousand, five hundred and fifty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Penetanguishene Hospital for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

76. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Three hundred and thirty-three thousand, two hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Toronto Hospital for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

77. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Five hundred and eighty-six thousand, eight hundred and fifty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Whitby Hospital for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

78. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and seventy-nine thousand, nine hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Woodstock Hospital for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

79. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and twenty-eight thousand, seven hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Toronto Psychiatric Hospital for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

80. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Four Hundred and fifteen thousand, seven hundred and forty-one dollars and fifty cents be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Labour Department for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

81. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Twenty-six thousand, four hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Main Office, Public Welfare Department, for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

82. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Three hundred and twenty-one thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Grant, Public Welfare Department, for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

83. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and fifty-two thousand, six hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Children's Aid Branch for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

84. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and twelve thousand, four hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Boys' Training School, for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

85. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One million, five hundred and eighty-seven thousand, four hundred and fifty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Mothers' Allowances Commission for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

86. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Two million, five hundred and fifty-eight thousand, eight hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Old Age Pensions Commission for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

87. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Eighty-two thousand and seventy-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Main Office, Provincial Treasurer's Department, for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

88. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Two hundred and fifteen thousand, three hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Controller of Revenue for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

89. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Twenty-three thousand, eight hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Board of Censors of Moving Pictures for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

90. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and one thousand, seven hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Motion Picture Bureau for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

91. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Twenty-three thousand, eight hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Records and Archives for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

92. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and thirty-six thousand, nine hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of House Post Office for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

93. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and one thousand, three hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Provincial Auditor's Office for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

94. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Seventy-four thousand, three hundred and seventy-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Main Office, Provincial Secretary's Department, for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

95. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Sixty-one thousand, seven hundred and seventy-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Registrar-General for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

96. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Twelve thousand, five hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Bureau of Municipal Affairs for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

97. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Eighty-five thousand and seventy-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Public Institutions, Main Office, for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

98. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Twenty-two thousand, eight hundred and seventy-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Board of Parole for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

99. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Three hundred and fifty thousand and five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Ontario Reformatory, Guelph, for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

100. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Twenty-five thousand dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Ontario Reformatory Industries, Guelph, for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

101. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and seven thousand and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Ontario Reformatory, Mimico, for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

102. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Thirty thousand dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Ontario Reformatory Industries, Mimico, for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

103. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Ninety-six thousand, eight hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Mercer Reformatory, Toronto, for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

104. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Ten thousand dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Mercer Reformatory Industries for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

105. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Three hundred and fifty-seven thousand, three hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Industrial Farm, Burwash, for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

106. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Forty-eight thousand, three hundred and fifty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Industrial Farm, Fort William, for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

107. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Ninety-one thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Main Office, Agriculture Department, for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

108. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Sixteen thousand, seven hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Statistics and Publications Branch for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

109. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and eighty thousand three hundred and fifty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Agricultural and Horticultural Societies for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

110. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and ninety-three thousand, nine hundred and seventy-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Live Stock Branch for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

111. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Eighty-five thousand, six hundred and twenty-five dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Institutes Branch for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

112. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and sixty-nine thousand, nine hundred and fifty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Dairy Branch for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

113. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and nineteen thousand, six hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Fruit Branch for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

114. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Three hundred and fifty-three thousand, seven hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Agricultural Representatives Branch for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

115. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Eighty-eight thousand, nine hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Crops, Co-operation and Markets Branch for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

116. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding One hundred and eighty thousand dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Agricultural Development Board for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

117. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Eighty thousand, nine hundred dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Colonization and Immigration Branch for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

118. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Seventy-two thousand dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Kemptville Agricultural School for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

119. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Fifty-two thousand and fifty dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Ontario Veterinary College for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

120. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Twenty-five thousand dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Western Ontario Experimental Farm for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

121. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Twelve thousand dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Demonstration Farm, New Liskeard, for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

122. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Twelve thousand dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Demonstration Farm, Hearst for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

123. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Seven hundred and ninety-eight thousand, one hundred and thirty-four dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Ontario Agricultural College for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

124. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding Five hundred and sixty-six thousand dollars be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Miscellaneous for the year ending 31st October, 1933.

The several Resolutions, having been read a second time, were concurred in.

The House, according to Order, resolved itself into the Committee of Ways and Means.

(In the Committee)

Resolved, That there be granted out of the Consolidated Revenue Fund of this Province a sum not exceeding Forty-two Million, Nine Hundred and Fifty-eight Thousand, One Hundred Dollars and Fifty Cents, to meet the Supply to that extent granted to His Majesty.

Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair; and Mr. Murphy (Beaches) reported, That the Committee had come to a Resolution.

Ordered, That the Report be received forthwith.

Mr. Murphy (Beaches), from the Committee on Ways and Means, reported a Resolution, which was read as follows:—

Resolved, That there be granted out of the Consolidated Revenue Fund of this Province a sum not exceeding Forty-two Million, Nine Hundred and Fifty-eight Thousand, One Hundred Dollars and Fifty Cents, to meet the Supply to that extent granted to His Majesty.

The Resolution, having been read the second time, was agreed to.

The following Bill was then introduced and read the first time:—

Bill (No. 148), intituled "An Act for granting to His Majesty certain sums of Money for the Public Service of the Financial Year ending on the 31st day of October, 1932, and for the Public Service of the Financial Year ending the 31st day of October, 1933. *Mr. Dunlop*."

Ordered, That the Bill be read the second time forthwith.

The Bill was then read a second time.

Ordered, That the Bill be read a third time forthwith.

The Bill was then read the third time and passed.

The Order of the Day for the third reading of Bill (No. 73), An Act to amend The Telephone Act, having been read,

Ordered, That the Order be discharged, and that the Bill be withdrawn.

Mr. Nixon asked the following Question (No. 3):—

1. Has Mr. W. N. Tilley, K.C., or any member of the firm of Tilley, Johnson, Thomson and Parmenter, Barristers, or the firm itself, been retained by the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario since January 1st, 1926. 2. If so, what were the occasions and the total amounts of retainers and fees paid by the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario in each instance.

The Honourable Mr. Cooke replied as follows:—

1. Yes. 2. 1926, Mr. Tilley acted for the Commission in Appeal to the Supreme Court in Beach vs. Hydro; Fee, \$1,350.00. 1928, Mr. Tilley gave Opinion in power contract with Port Colborne Elevator; Fee, \$250.00. 1929, Mr. Tilley acted as Counsel for Commission in suit of Eldridge vs. Southampton; Fee, \$500.00. 1929, Mr. Tilley gave Opinion as to franchise rights in purchase of Sauguen Electric; Fee, \$300.00. 1929, Mr. Tilley advised re contract with

Union Carbide Company; Fee, \$500.00. 1930, Messrs. Tilley, Johnson, Thomson & Parmenter attended upon the Commission and upon Mr. G. T. Clarkson and Mr. H. E. Guilfoyle, auditors for the Commission, regarding verbal agreement arrived at between the Commission and Dominion Power & Transmission Company, Limited, for the purchase by the Commission of the assets of the Company; preliminary study of corporate structure of Dominion Power and Transmission Company, Limited, and its subsidiary, The Hamilton Cataract, Light & Traction Company, Limited, and their various subsidiary companies, including The Hamilton Electric Light & Power Company, Limited; The Hamilton Street Railway Company, The Hamilton & Dundas Street Railway Company, The Hamilton Electric Radial Company, The Hamilton, Grimsby & Beamsville Electric Railway Company, The Brantford & Hamilton Electric Railway Company, Hamilton Terminal Company Limited, The Dundas Electric Company Limited, The Lincoln Electric Light & Power Company Limited, The Western Counties Electric Company Limited and the Highway King Buses Limited; obtaining and considering the latter's patent or Special Acts of Parliament incorporating the different companies and obtaining from officials of the Commission general information regarding the franchise and rights of the different companies; advising that owing to various franchises held by subsidiaries it is desirable that transactions should be carried out by an agreement between the Commission and the Dominion Power and Transmission Company Limited whereby the company would convey all its assets, including the shares of the various subsidiary companies; considering Power Commission Act and advising as to necessity of amending same and drawing amendment, the attendances on Ministers regarding same, and having been instructed by Commission to prepare the agreement, attendances upon members and officials of the Commission and its auditors and upon officials and solicitor of the Dominion Power and Transmission Company; considering power of vendor to sell and advising that meeting of shareholders must be held; also considering carefully and discussing position of vendor and its subsidiaries as to outstanding bonds and finally settling form of agreement; on instructions of Commission engaging several solicitors in Hamilton to assist in examining titles and examining and supervising examination of titles of Dominion Power and Transmission Company to its various properties in Hamilton and to its rights-of-way, etc., and titles of Hamilton Cataract Power, Light & Traction Company Limited to numerous properties in Hamilton, Brantford Township, Beamsville, Burlington Beach and to lands in connection with development at Decew Falls and to Transmission Line rights-of-way in Township of Saltfleet, North Grimsby, South Grimsby, Clinton, Louth, Barton and Ancaster; titles of Hamilton Electric and Beamsville Electric Railway Company to properties at Stoney Creek and Beamsville; titles of the Brantford & Hamilton Electric Railway Company to properties at Hamilton, Ancaster, Barton and Brantford; titles of Hamilton Terminals Company Limited in the City of Hamilton and of Western Counties Electric Company Limited in Brantford and of Brantford Electric & Operating Company Limited in Brantford and of Highway King Buses Limited in Hamilton, Dundas, Galt and St. Catharines and of Hamilton Street Railway Company in Hamilton and of Lincoln Electric Light & Power Company Limited in St. Catharines; examining all minute books, proceedings, franchises, etc., of the respective companies; conferences with Vendor's solicitors and National Trust Company Limited in endeavouring to obtain forthwith discharge of Vendor's bond mortgage to Harris Trust & Savings Bank and National Trust Company Limited; securing bonds amounting to \$4,932,000 which mature April 1st, 1932, on terms that Dominion

Power and Transmission Company will at once pay to the National Trust Company the par amount of outstanding bonds with interest to maturity, but being unable to make this arrangement, finally arranging after conferences with Commission and auditors to deposit with National Trust Company \$5,350,000, part of the Commission's debentures so as to meet the bonds at maturity; discussing and advising as to steps necessary to redeem Hamilton Cataract Power, Light & Traction Company bonds; examining the various bond mortgages and seeing as to discharges of bond mortgages given by Hamilton Cataract Power, Light & Traction Company, Hamilton Electric Light & Power Company; Hamilton & Dundas Street Railway Company, The Dundas Electric Company Limited, Lincoln Electric Light & Power Company, Hamilton Electric Light and Cataract Power Company; Hamilton, Grimsby & Beamsville Electric Railway Company; drawing and settling forms of debentures to be given by Commission with guarantee of Province of Ontario; preparing and settling numerous documents necessary to closing; settling adjustments; arranging for and attending and supervising meetings of subsidiary companies and closing purchase as instructed. Fee in all, \$60,000.00.

Paid disbursements as follows: A. M. Waller, Solicitor, Hamilton, searching titles, \$1,001.95; C. H. Higgins, Solicitor, Hamilton, searching titles, \$718.30; Hugh Brown, Solicitor, Hamilton, searching titles, \$746.00; W. W. Cooper, Solicitor, Hamilton, searching titles, \$856.20; Heath Fletcher, Solicitor, Hamilton, searching titles, \$372.20; Registrars in Hamilton, St. Catharines, Welland, Brantford, Kitchener and Milton, \$572.74; Travelling Expenses, telephone, telegrams, etc., \$638.30; American Bank Note Company, printing interim debentures, \$40.40; Total, \$4,946.09, plus \$60,000.00; Total \$64,946.09.

1931, Mr. Tilley gave opinion respecting franchise in St. Catharines and Lincoln Electric Company; Fee, \$150.00. 1931, Mr. Tilley gave Opinion re Gatineau Contract; Fee, \$100.00. 1931, Mr. Tilley, Counsel Fees in Coniagas Reduction Company vs. Hydro-Electric Power Commission; Fee, \$1,250.00.

Mr. Tilley acted against the Commission in the following matters: (a) As Counsel for the McGuigan Construction Company and F. H. McGuigan, Arbitration Proceedings taken by the company. (b) As Counsel for the Federal Government in the Exchequer Court suit against the Toronto Power Company and the Commission. (c) As Counsel for the municipalities of Toronto, Hamilton and St. Catharines in an Appeal to the Privy Council for the return to the municipalities of bonds issued in regard to Hydro-Electric Railways.

Mr. Baxter asked the following Question (No. 63):—

What has been the total amount of money spent to date either under Northern Development or under Unemployment Relief Work, on the Trans-Canada Highway from Clear Water Bay to the Manitoba boundary.

The Honourable the Minister of Lands and Forests replied as follows:—

It is impossible to give figures for an indefinite point and accounts have not been kept with regard to an unascertained distance of this kind.

Mr. Sangster asked the following Question (No. 68):—

What is the total number of permanent employees of the headquarters staff of the Ontario Hydro-Electric Commission, and the total salaries.

The Honourable Mr. Cooke replied as follows:—

Number of permanent employees at or working out of head office, 976; yearly salary, \$2,203,622.64.

Mr. Taylor asked the following Question (No. 70):—

1. On what date was the power development known as "Maple Hill" taken over by the Hydro-Electric Power Commission. What was the date of the Order-in-Council confirming the purchase. 2. What was the total cost to the Commission in acquiring this property. 3. To whom was this money paid. 4. What is the total power capacity of the plant. 5. What is the average amount of power developed and sold. 6. To whom is this sold and at what price. 7. What solicitor or legal firm represented the Commission in this transaction and what was the amount of retainer and fees paid.

The Honourable Mr. Cooke replied as follows:—

1. The development was acquired on February 27th, 1929, together with a development on the same river at Hanover. The date of the Order-in-Council confirming the purchase was February 21st, 1929. 2. The Commission paid \$50,000.00 for both the Maple Hill and the Hanover Developments combined. 3. Part to The Canada Cement Company and part to Mr. W. R. Brunt, who acted for both parties in selling the properties to the Commission. 4. At Maple Hill four 80 h.p. turbines, or 320 h.p.; at Hanover, 400 h.p. installed capacity; highest peak carried to date, 442 h.p.; combined capacity of the two plants, between 700 and 800 h.p. 5. One turbine only of 80 h.p. capacity is being used at Maple Hill to develop power for operating a grist mill. At times 442 h.p. has been developed at the Hanover plant which is capable of delivering over 1,250,000 kw. hrs. per annum. 6. To Mr. John Burrell at Maple Hill, who utilizes power to operate a grist mill. Mr. Burrell pays the Commission \$250.00 per annum, plus taxes (taxes in 1930 were \$210.00), and at cost to the Eugenia Division of the Georgian Bay System. The Hanover Development has been of great assistance to the Commission in supplementing the generating plant capacity of the Georgian Bay System, in addition to value as standby capacity, could save \$3,750.00 per annum to the municipalities. 7. The Commission's own legal staff, under the supervision of Mr. I. B. Lucas, handled the entire transaction for the Commission and no legal fee, or retainers were paid to any legal representative.

The following Bills were read the third time and were passed:—

Bill (No. 76), An Act to Aid in the Prevention and Settlement of Industrial Disputes.

Bill (No. 102), An Act to amend The Telephone Act.

Bill (No. 111), An Act respecting Live Stock and Live Stock Products.

Bill (No. 113), An Act to Assist Co-operative Associations in Marketing Agricultural Products.

Bill (No. 122), An Act for Granting Aid to the Medical Faculty of the University of Western Ontario.

Bill (No. 112), An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Bill (No. 125), An Act to amend The Registry Act.

Bill (No. 126), An Act respecting Collection Agencies.

Bill (No. 116), An Act respecting the Ontario Municipal Board.

Bill (No. 131), The Insurance (Temporary Provisions) Act, 1932.

Bill (No. 81), An Act to amend The Apprenticeship Act.

Bill (No. 130), The Statute Law Amendment Act, 1932.

Bill (No. 104), An Act to amend The Succession Duty Act.

Bill (No. 105), An Act to amend The Medical Act.

Bill (No. 110), An Act to amend The Workmen's Compensation Act.

Bill (No. 123), An Act to amend the School Laws.

Bill (No. 124), An Act for the Protection of Persons Employed in Factories, Shops and Office Buildings.

Bill (No. 100), An Act to amend The Conditional Sales Act.

Bill (No. 101), An Act to amend The Mining Act.

The Order of the Day for the third reading of Bill (No. 128), An Act to amend The Corporation Tax Act, having been read,

Mr. Dunlop moved the third reading of the Bill.

Mr. Nixon, seconded by Mr. Taylor, moved in amendment, That Bill (No. 128), An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, be not now read the third time, but be referred back to the Committee of the Whole House with instructions to strike out Section 14 of the Bill, and substitute the following words therefor: "This Act shall come into force by Proclamation of the Lieutenant-Governor in Council, which shall not be until a general election shall have been held."

And the amendment having been put was lost on the following Division:—

YEAS

Baxter	Medd	Robertson
Blakelock	Munro	Ross
Bragg	Murray	Sinclair
Elliott	McQuibban	Slack
(Bruce, North)	Newman	Taylor—18.
Hipel	Nixon	
Mackay	Oliver	

NAYS

Baird	Jamieson	Richardson
Bell	Kennedy	Robb
Berry	(Peel)	St. Denis
Black	Kenning	Sanderson
Blanchard	Lancaster	Scholfield
Burt	Laughton	Shields
Case	Lyons	Singer
Challies	Macaulay	Skinner
Cooke	Martin	Smith
Craig	(Brantford)	(Greenwood)
Dunlop	Monteith	Staples
Finlayson	Morrison	Strickland
Fraleigh	Murphy	Vaughan
Graves	(Beaches)	Waters
Harcourt	McArthur	Willson
Harrison	McBrien	(Niagara Falls)
Heighington	McCrea	Wilson
Henry	McLean	(Windsor, East)
(York, East)	Nesbitt	Wright—54.
Hill	Price	
Ireland	Raven	

The motion for the third reading of the Bill was then put and carried and the Bill was accordingly read the third time and was passed.

The Order of the Day for the third reading of Bill (No. 132), An Act to amend The Amusement Tax Act, having been read,

Mr. Dunlop moved the third reading of the Bill.

Mr. Blakelock moved in amendment, seconded by Mr. Elliott (Bruce, North), That Bill (No. 132), An Act to amend The Amusement Tax Act, be not now read a third time, but be read a third time this day six months hence.

And the amendment having been put was lost on the following Division:—

YEAS

Baxter	Medd	Robertson
Blakelock	Munro	Ross
Bragg	Murray	Sinclair
Elliott	McQuibban	Slack
(Bruce, North)	Newman	Taylor—18.
Hipel	Nixon	
Mackay	Oliver	

NAYS

Baird	Jamieson	Richardson
Bell	Kennedy	Robb
Berry	(Peel)	St. Denis
Black	Kenning	Sanderson
Blanchard	Lancaster	Scholfield
Burt	Laughton	Shields
Case	Lyons	Singer
Challies	Macaulay	Skinner
Cooke	Martin	Smith
Craig	(Brantford)	(Greenwood)
Dunlop	Monteith	Staples
Finlayson	Morrison	Strickland
Fraleigh	Murphy	Vaughan
Graves	(Beaches)	Waters
Harcourt	McArthur	Willson
Harrison	McBrien	(Niagara Falls)
Heighington	McCrea	Wilson
Henry	McLean	(Windsor, East)
(York, East)	Nesbitt	Wright—54.
Hill	Price	
Ireland	Raven	

The motion for the third reading of the Bill was then put and carried and the Bill was accordingly read a third time and was passed.

And the House having continued to sit until Twelve of the Clock midnight,

Friday, March 25th, 1932,

The Order of the Day for the third reading of Bill (No. 133), The Gasoline Tax Act, 1932, having been read,

Mr. Macaulay moved the third reading of the Bill.

Mr. Hipel, seconded by Mr. Munro, moved in amendment, That Bill (No. 133), An Act to amend The Gasoline Tax Act, be not now read the third time, but be referred back to Committee of the Whole House with instructions to amend Subsection 2 of Section 2 by adding thereto the following words: "Providing however that such sums shall be set aside and used for the construction and maintenance of Roads or Highway Debt Retirement."

And the amendment having been put was lost on the following Division:—

YEAS

Baxter	Medd	Robertson
Blakelock	Munro	Ross
Bragg	Murray	Sinclair
Elliott	McQuibban	Slack
(Bruce, North)	Newman	Taylor—18.
Hipel	Nixon	
Mackay	Oliver	

NAYS

Baird	Jamieson	Richardson
Bell	Kennedy	Robb
Berry	(Peel)	St. Denis
Black	Kenning	Sanderson
Blanchard	Lancaster	Scholfield
Burt	Laughton	Shields
Case	Lyons	Singer
Challies	Macaulay	Skinner
Cooke	Martin	Smith
Craig	(Brantford)	(Greenwood)
Dunlop	Monteith	Staples
Finlayson	Morrison	Strickland
Fraleigh	Murphy	Vaughan
Graves	(Beaches)	Waters
Harcourt	McArthur	Willson
Harrison	McBrien	(Niagara Falls)
Heighington	McCrea	Wilson
Henry	McLean	(Windsor, East)
(York, East)	Nesbitt	Wright—54.
Hill	Price	
Ireland	Raven	

The motion for the third reading of the Bill was then put and carried and the Bill was accordingly read a third time and was passed.

The following Bills were read the third time and were passed:—

Bill (No. 137), An Act to amend The Game and Fisheries Act.

Bill (No. 129), The Power Commission Act, 1932.

Bill (No. 138), The Ontario Loan Act, 1932.

Bill (No. 142), An Act respecting Unemployment Relief.

Bill (No. 144), The Municipal Amendment Act, 1932.

Bill (No. 145), The Local Improvement Amendment Act, 1932.

Bill (No. 146), The Assessment Amendment Act, 1932.

Bill (No. 136), An Act to amend The Mining Tax Act.

Bill (No. 140), An Act respecting the Sandwich, Windsor and Amherstburg Railway.

Bill (No. 143), An Act to Supplement the Revenue of the Crown in the Province of Ontario.

Bill (No. 147), The Fuel Oil Tax Act.

Bill (No. 139), An Act to amend The Mechanics' Lien Act.

Bill (No. 115), An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Bill (No. 127), An Act to amend The Transportation of Fowl Act, 1929.

Bill (No. 13), An Act respecting the City of Sarnia.

Bill (No. 15), An Act respecting the Walkerville-East Windsor Water Commission.

Bill (No. 36), An Act respecting the City of Toronto.

Bill (No. 39), An Act respecting the Town of Rockland.

Bill (No. 60), An Act respecting the George Taylor Richardson Trust.

Bill (No. 2), An Act respecting the Roman Catholic Episcopal Corporation of Ottawa.

Bill (No. 20), An Act respecting a Trust Settlement of Arry Ellen Mayer Calhoun, et al.

Bill (No. 33), An Act respecting the Township of North York.

Bill (No. 57), An Act respecting the Roman Catholic Episcopal Corporation of the Diocese of Kingston in Canada.

Bill (No. 26), An Act respecting the Town of Riverside.

Bill (No. 27), An Act respecting the City of East Windsor.

Bill (No. 34), An Act respecting the Township of Scarborough.

Bill (No. 51), An Act respecting the Township of York.

Bill (No. 53), An Act respecting the City of Windsor.

Bill (No. 54), An Act respecting the Essex Border Utilities Commission.

Bill (No. 63), An Act respecting the Windsor, Essex and Lake Shore Electric Railway Association.

On motion of Mr. Slack, seconded by Mr. Nixon,

Ordered, That there be laid before this House a return showing a copy of (1) The contract made between the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario and the Beauharnois Power Company, November, 1929, for 250,000 h.p., (2) Order-in-Council confirming this contract, (3) Copies of all correspondence pertaining thereto.

On motion of Mr. Taylor, seconded by Mr. McQuibban,

That in the opinion of this House henceforth: (1) No contract for the purchase of power from a private development within or without the Province, (2) No agreement of purchase of any existing power development, (3) No grant of power rights, (4) No contract to export power from the Province, should be completed, legal or binding unless and until confirmed by act of this Legislature.

And a Debate having ensued, after some time,

The motion having been put was declared lost.

On motion by Mr. Henry (York, East), seconded by Mr. Price,

Ordered, That the Select Committee appointed on the 2nd day of April, 1931, to study The Municipal Act for the purpose of consolidation and which Committee consists of Messrs. Henry (York East), Finlayson, Poisson, Challies, Cote, Elliott (Bruce, North), Jutten, McBrien and Slack, is hereby reappointed for the purpose for which they were originally appointed with power to sit during the recess of the House and to report at the next Session thereof.

On motion by Mr. Henry (York, East), seconded by Mr. Price,

Ordered, That the Sessional Indemnity be paid to those Members absent on account of illness or other unavoidable cause.

On motion of Mr. Henry, seconded by Mr. Price,

Ordered, That when this House adjourns to-day, it do stand adjourned until Tuesday next, the 29th day of March, at Three of the Clock in the afternoon.

The Provincial Secretary presented to the House, by command of The Right Honourable the Administrator of the Government:—

Annual Report upon the Hospitals for the Insane, Feeble-minded and Epileptic for 1931. (*Sessional Papers, No. 15*).

The House then adjourned at 3.18 a.m., Friday, March 25th.

TUESDAY, MARCH 29TH, 1932

PRAYERS.

3 O'CLOCK P.M.

The Right Honourable the Administrator of the Province proceeded in State to the Legislative Assembly and being seated upon the Throne,

Mr. Speaker addressed His Honour in the following words:—

May it please Your Honour:

The Legislative Assembly of the Province has at its present Sittings thereof passed several Bills to which, in the name and on behalf of the said Legislative Assembly, I respectfully request Your Honour's Assent.

The Clerk Assistant then read the titles of the Acts that had passed severally as follows:—

Bill (No. 1), An Act respecting the Township of Toronto.

Bill (No. 2), An Act respecting the Roman Catholic Episcopal Corporation of the Diocese of Ottawa.

Bill (No. 3), An Act respecting the Municipality of Paipoonge.

Bill (No. 4), An Act respecting the Queen City Fire Insurance Company.

Bill (No. 5), An Act respecting the Sisters of St. Joseph of the Diocese of Hamilton.

Bill (No. 6), An Act respecting the City of Guelph.

Bill (No. 8), An Act respecting Wycliffe College.

Bill (No. 9), An Act respecting the Township of Crowland.

Bill (No. 10), An Act respecting the Township of Teck.

Bill (No. 11), An Act respecting the Town of Huntsville.

Bill (No. 12), An Act respecting the Town of Brampton.

Bill (No. 13), An Act respecting the City of Sarnia.

Bill (No. 14), An Act respecting the County of Carleton.

Bill (No. 15), An Act respecting the Walkerville-East Windsor Water Commission.

Bill (No. 16), An Act respecting the County of Halton.

Bill (No. 17), An Act respecting the Sisters of St. Joseph of the Diocese of Peterborough.

Bill (No. 18), An Act respecting the Trinity College School.

Bill (No. 19), An Act respecting the Township of East York.

Bill (No. 20), An Act respecting a Trust Settlement of Arry Ellen Mayer Calhoun et al.

Bill (No. 21), An Act respecting the Town of Kenora.

Bill (No. 22), An Act respecting the Village of Holland Landing.

Bill (No. 23), An Act respecting the Village of Fergus.

Bill (No. 24), An Act respecting the City of London.

Bill (No. 25), An Act respecting the Town of Cobourg.

Bill (No. 26), An Act respecting the Town of Riverside.

Bill (No. 27), An Act respecting the City of East Windsor.

Bill (No. 28), An Act respecting the Township of Gloucester.

Bill (No. 29), An Act respecting the Town of Renfrew.

Bill (No. 30), An Act respecting the City of Sudbury.

Bill (No. 31), An Act respecting the Township of East Whitby.

Bill (No. 32), An Act respecting the Ontario Shore Gas Company, Limited.

Bill (No. 33), An Act respecting the Township of North York.

Bill (No. 34), An Act respecting the Township of Scarborough.

Bill (No. 36), An Act respecting the City of Toronto.

Bill (No. 37), An Act respecting the Town of Fort Erie.

Bill (No. 38), An Act respecting the City of Ottawa.

Bill (No. 39), An Act respecting the Town of Rockland.

Bill (No. 40), An Act respecting the Town of Perth.

Bill (No. 42), An Act respecting the Women's Christian Association of London.

Bill (No. 43), An Act respecting the City of Brantford.

Bill (No. 44), An Act respecting the City of Hamilton.

Bill (No. 45), An Act respecting the Town of Smith's Falls.

Bill (No. 46), An Act respecting the City of Niagara Falls.

Bill (No. 47), An Act respecting the City of St. Catharines.

Bill (No. 50), An Act respecting the Sarnia Bus Company.

Bill (No. 51), An Act respecting the Township of York.

Bill (No. 52), An Act respecting the City of Hamilton and its Board of Park Management.

Bill (No. 53), An Act respecting the City of Windsor.

Bill (No. 54), An Act respecting the Essex Border Utilities Commission.

Bill (No. 55), An Act respecting the Young Women's Christian Association of St. Thomas.

Bill (No. 57), An Act respecting the Roman Catholic Episcopal Corporation of the Diocese of Kingston.

Bill (No. 58), An Act respecting McMaster University.

Bill (No. 60), An Act respecting the George Taylor Richardson Trust.

Bill (No. 61), An Act respecting the Town of Blind River.

Bill (No. 63), An Act respecting the Windsor, Essex and Lake Shore Electric Railway Association.

Bill (No. 64), An Act respecting the Town of Mimico and the Town of New Toronto.

Bill (No. 70), An Act to amend The Industrial Farms Act.

Bill (No. 71), An Act to amend The Children's Protection Act.

Bill (No. 72), An Act to amend The Cemetery Act.

Bill (No. 76), An Act to aid in the Prevention and Settlement of Industrial Disputes.

Bill (No. 77), An Act to amend The Minimum Wage Act.

Bill (No. 78), An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Bill (No. 79), An Act to amend The Old Age Pensions Act.

- Bill (No. 80), An Act to amend The Department of Labour Act.
- Bill (No. 81), An Act to amend The Apprenticeship Act, 1928.
- Bill (No. 82), An Act respecting Operating Engineers.
- Bill (No. 83), An Act to amend The Public Service Act.
- Bill (No. 89), An Act to amend The Steam Boiler Act.
- Bill (No. 92), An Act to amend The Embalmers and Funeral Directors Act.
- Bill (No. 93), An Act to amend The Public Health Act.
- Bill (No. 94), An Act to appropriate \$5,000,000.00 for Northern Development Purposes.
- Bill (No. 96), The Grand River Conservation Commission Act.
- Bill (No. 97), The Highway Traffic Act, 1932.
- Bill (No. 99), An Act to amend The Liquor Control Act.
- Bill (No. 100), An Act to amend The Conditional Sales Act.
- Bill (No. 101), An Act to amend The Mining Act.
- Bill (No. 102), An Act to amend The Telephone Act.
- Bill (No. 103), An Act respecting Interprovincial Drainage.
- Bill (No. 104), An Act to amend The Succession Duty Act.
- Bill (No. 105), An Act to amend The Medical Act.
- Bill (No. 106), An Act respecting the Hamilton Street Railway Company.
- Bill (No. 108), An Act to make Uniform the Law respecting Registration of Corporation Securities.
- Bill (No. 109), An Act to amend The Assignment of Book Debts Act.
- Bill (No. 110), An Act to amend The Workmen's Compensation Act.
- Bill (No. 111), An Act respecting Live Stock and Live Stock Products.
- Bill (No. 112), An Act to amend The Insurance Act.
- Bill (No. 113), An Act to assist Co-operative Associations in Marketing Agricultural Products.
- Bill (No. 115), An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Bill (No. 116), An Act respecting the Ontario Municipal Board.

Bill (No. 122), An Act for granting aid to the Medical Faculty of the University of Western Ontario.

Bill (No. 123), An Act to amend the School Laws.

Bill (No. 124), An Act for the Protection of Persons Employed in Factories, Shops and Office Buildings.

Bill (No. 125), An Act to amend The Registry Act.

Bill (No. 126), An Act respecting Collection Agencies.

Bill (No. 127), An Act to amend The Transportation of Fowls Act, 1929.

Bill (No. 128), An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act.

Bill (No. 129), The Power Commission Act, 1932.

Bill (No. 130), The Statute Law Amendment Act, 1932.

Bill (No. 131), The Insurance (Temporary Provisions) Act, 1932.

Bill (No. 132), An Act to amend The Amusements Tax Act.

Bill (No. 133), The Gasoline Tax Act, 1932.

Bill (No. 136), An Act to amend The Mining Tax Act.

Bill (No. 137), An Act to amend The Game and Fisheries Act.

Bill (No. 138), The Ontario Loan Act, 1932.

Bill (No. 139), An Act to amend The Mechanics' Lien Act.

Bill (No. 140), An Act respecting the Sandwich, Windsor and Amherstburg Railway.

Bill (No. 142), An Act respecting Unemployment Relief.

Bill (No. 143), An Act to Supplement the Revenues of the Crown in the Province of Ontario.

Bill (No. 144), The Municipal Amendment Act, 1932.

Bill (No. 145), The Local Improvement Amendment Act, 1932.

Bill (No. 146), The Assessment Amendment Act, 1932.

Bill (No. 147), The Fuel Oil Tax Act.

To these Acts the Royal Assent was announced by the Clerk of the Legislative Assembly in the following words:—

“In His Majesty’s name, The Right Honourable the Administrator doth assent to these Bills.”

Mr. Speaker then said:—

May it please Your Honour:

We, His Majesty’s most dutiful and faithful subjects, the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario, in Session assembled, approach Your Honour with sentiments of unfeigned devotion and loyalty to His Majesty’s person and Government, and humbly beg to present for Your Honour’s acceptance a Bill intituled “An Act for granting to His Majesty certain sums of money for the Public Service of the financial year ending on the 31st day of October, 1932, and for the Public Service of the financial year ending the 31st day of October, 1933.”

To this Act the Royal Assent was announced by the Clerk of the Legislative Assembly in the following words:—

“The Right Honourable the Administrator doth thank His Majesty’s dutiful and loyal Subjects, accept their benevolence and assent to this Bill in His Majesty’s name.”

The Right Honourable the Administrator was then pleased to deliver the following speech:—

Mr. Speaker and Gentlemen of the Legislative Assembly:

In bringing your sessional labours to a close, I desire to thank you for your earnest consideration of the public welfare, and for the enactment of much beneficial legislation.

My Ministers expect shortly to arrive at an agreement with the Federal authority in relation to the construction of a joint Seaway and Power Development in the international section of the St. Lawrence River. This is a matter of special satisfaction because it will facilitate the negotiation of the deep waterways treaty between the United States and Canada, and its submission to the respective legislative bodies concerned.

The favourable outlook for agricultural production during the coming season, and the gradual restoration of commodity prices, promise materially to help the commercial situation. Your legislation to assist co-operative associations in marketing agricultural products opens up a wide field of useful activity.

By the enactment of The Mortgagees' and Purchasers' Relief Act you have provided a measure of control of proceedings to foreclose mortgages, which, I trust, will serve to minimize hardship at this time.

Legislation has been adopted to enable the Government to exercise the constitutional rights of Ontario with respect to insurance, as affirmed by the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council. There is a general disposition to uphold firmly the Provincial authority in this important respect.

Under the authority of your legislation, the Ontario Municipal Board will combine and continue the work of the Railway and Municipal Board and the Municipal Bureau with more ample powers, and will be able to render valuable assistance to municipalities in safeguarding their financial status.

Further provision has been made for the development of Northern and Northwestern Ontario by setting aside the sum of five millions of dollars for that purpose. The expenditures of public funds in Northern Ontario, which now aggregate some sixty millions of dollars, constitute a profitable investment for the whole Province.

A measure of relief has been extended to the municipalities and to the Province by the reduction of their contributions to the Old Age Pension Fund. This change has been made possible by the assumption by the Federal authority of three-quarters of the pension payments.

Amendments have been made to the educational laws to clarify The School Attendance Act, and to create county representation on continuation school boards. The definition of township school areas has been extended so that boards may organize themselves, if they so desire, into larger administrative units.

Following the valuable report made by the Royal Commission on The Workmen's Compensation Act a number of amendments have been made to that measure. These changes are in the direction of improving the operation of the Act, and making better provision for injured persons.

In the revision of The Factory, Shops and Office Building Act changes have been made to widen the scope of the measure, and to afford greater protection to the health and safety of employees. An additional safeguard for the public has been provided by the inspection of homes where articles of clothing are made under contract.

The Provincial Inspection of plants operated for the manufacture of non-intoxicating beverages will constitute an additional measure of protection to the public health, for which there is a general demand.

By providing for the creation of a Commission to control the waters of the Grand River, and its tributaries, you have taken a step likely to confer far-reaching advantages on an important portion of the Province. This undertaking is designed to remedy conditions which periodically involve serious danger to life and property.

Among other measures which have met with your approval are Bills to amend The Mining Act; for the Prevention and Settlement of Industrial Disputes; to amend The Medical Act; to provide Uniformity in Automobile Insurance Legislation, respecting the Registration of Corporation Securities, respecting Industrial Farms, respecting Interprovincial Drainage, respecting Unemployment Relief; to amend The Game and Fisheries Act, and for other purposes.

The Report of the Royal Commission on the use of Radium in the Treatment of the Sick is a valuable contribution to the available information on the subject. During the recess the recommendations made will receive detailed consideration by the Government.

My Ministers have been called upon to anticipate a shrinkage of provincial revenue, coupled with the continuance of special expenditures on account of unemployment. It has, therefore, been necessary to adopt measures of retrenchment on the one hand, and to apply increased taxation of a temporary nature on the other hand, in order to balance the provincial budget.


I thank you for the appropriations you have made for carrying on the public undertakings of the Province, which will be expended with due regard to economy and efficiency.

In conclusion I commend all our people to the guidance and blessing of Providence, and trust that your efforts will advance their welfare and happiness in every walk of life.

The Provincial Secretary then said:—

Mr. Speaker and Gentlemen of the Legislative Assembly:

It is the will and pleasure of The Right Honourable the Administrator, that this Legislative Assembly be prorogued and this Legislative Assembly is accordingly prorogued.



Journals of the Legislative Assembly

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO

1932

APPENDIX No. 1

Minutes of the Meetings of the Committee on
Fish and Game, Session of 1932

No. 1

Report of the Committee on Fish and Game, 1932

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

Gentlemen:

The Standing Committee on Fish and Game begs leave to submit herewith the proceedings of the Committee for the current Session and recommend that they be printed as an Appendix to the Journals.

GEORGE W. ECCLESTONE, *Chairman.*

March 15th, 1932.

MINUTES OF THE MEETINGS OF THE COMMITTEE ON FISH AND GAME

February 24th, 1932.

The Committee met at 10.00 a.m. with the following members present:—

Messrs. Baird, Bell, Black, Bragg, Davis, Ecclestone, Graham, Harcourt, Harrison, Hutchinson, Kennedy (Temiskaming), Lancaster, McCrea, McLean, McMillen, Murphy (Beaches), Murray, Newman, Sangster, Simpson, Staples, Taylor.

On motion of Mr. McCrea, the Minister, Mr. Ecclestone was again elected as Chairman of the Committee.

The Honourable Mr. McCrea, Minister of Game and Fisheries, pointed out that the Committee had been called earlier than usual so that there would be more time to discuss the various matters of interest. The special committee assigned to investigate the whole question of game had been welcomed wherever it went, but a report would not be available until next Session.

At the next meeting of the Committee representations will be heard from those interested in fish.

At the suggestion of the Minister, the Committee agreed that its next meeting should be held Wednesday, March 2nd, at 10 a.m., in Committee Room No. 2, Parliament Buildings.

March 2nd, 1932.

The Committee met at 10.00 a.m.

Those present were Mr. Ecclestone (Chairman), and Messrs. Aubin, Bell, Bragg, Calder, Colliver, Davis, Harcourt, Hipel, Hutchinson, Ireland, Jutten, Lancaster, Lyons, McLean, McMillen, Murphy (Beaches), Murray, Newman, Oliver, Poisson, Reid, Ross, Sanderson, Sangster, Skinner, Smith (Essex), Spence, Staples, Taylor, Vaughan.

The Chairman called for representations regarding fishing regulations.

Mr. R. A. Carscallen and Mr. J. A. Taylor, of Wallaceburg, representing the Kent and Lambton Hunting and Fishing Associations, proposed that the season for bass fishing be extended to November 1, a two weeks' extension, in Lake St. Clair, the St. Clair River and Mitchell's Bay. They declared that this would not interfere with the Department's policy of conservation.

Dr. A. B. James, Toronto, representing the Ontario Federation of Anglers, made several recommendations, copies of which were left with Mr. McDonald, the Deputy Minister. Mr. James Sheedy, Vice-President of the Ontario Federation of Anglers, also addressed the Committee briefly.

Sponsored by Mr. Taylor, Mr. W. Simpson and Mr. Brock Macauley, representing the Lake Huron and Georgian Bay Fishermen's Association, asked that Governmental assistance along the line of cold storage facilities be given to commercial fishermen in that district. Present condition, necessitating the dumping of the catch on the market at one time, resulted in extremely low prices.

Dr. H. J. Davis, East Elgin, said that he had received similar requests in his district, which borders on Lake Erie.

Mr. F. H. Green, Stratford, representing the Perth Anglers Association, asked that a full-time warden be appointed for the Stratford district.

At the request of Mr. Bell, it was decided that the next meeting of the Committee, to deal with the question of hunting deer with dogs, be held in Committee Room No. 2 at 9.30 a.m., Tuesday, March 8th, 1932.

March 8th, 1932.

The Committee met at 10.00 a.m.

Those present were Mr. Ecclestone (Chairman), and Messrs. Acres, Aubin, Baird, Bell, Black, Bragg, Calder, Davis, Fraleigh, Graves, Harcourt, Harrison, Henry (East Kent), Hipel, Ireland, Jutten, Kennedy (Temiskaming), Kenning, Lancaster, Lyons, McLean, McMillen, McQuibban, Murphy (Beaches), Murray, Oliver, Poisson, Robertson, Ross, Sangster, Sanderson, Simpson, Smith (Essex), Spence, Staples, Stedman, Strickland, Taylor, Vaughan, Wilson (London).

Mr. W. Elliott, Owen Sound, submitted to the Committee that game sanctuaries should be established in his district, and that the weasel should be better controlled. He suggested a bounty on vermin and the prohibition of night hunting. J. W. Gravestock, Peterborough, proposed the season on rabbits be closed March 15th, with an open season on partridge.

J. M. Moody, Kitchener, favoured a Province-wide gun license and the establishment of more game sanctuaries. M. U. Bates, Metagama, proposed that the moose season should be opened five days earlier. He also wanted spring bear hunting and a \$10.00 license on bears. J. Griffiths, Burlington, suggested a game sanctuary in his district and suggested that Americans importing small arms were shooting our birds, a condition he thought should be corrected. As for ducks, he would move the decoys back in small areas to 60 yards from shore.

Discussing the use of dogs in hunting deer, William Gastle, Hamilton, said he favoured their retention if they were dogs bred for that purpose. He suggested the bounty on wolves be increased in a three-way agreement between Ontario, Quebec and Manitoba. C. B. Taylor, St. Thomas, was opposed to dogs. He said they were banned in every state in the American Union but Louisiana. He was answered by Mr. McLean who said they were still allowed in several states. Elmer Davis, Kingston, declaring himself favouring dogs, read a wire to this effect from thirty-five hunters in his district. He said that without dogs there would be increased danger to human life and that older men would not be able to shoot. He suggested alternate closed seasons, with dogs one year and without them the next. Samuel Harris, Toronto, spoke at some length opposing the use of dogs, pointing out that no state that ever banned their use had abrogated the law. He said the running of deer with dogs in the mating season was detrimental to the deer. Mr. Bell replied that it had been scientifically proven that this was not so. Mr. Moody, Kitchener, replying, favoured the retention of dogs in hunting deer and said that when dogs were used deer could not be bootlegged. He proposed a Province-wide gun license and a (federal) tax on shells. William Robertson, representing the Middlesex Game Protective Association, also favoured use of dogs, as did Inspector William Lucas, London. J. W. Gravestock of Peterborough Game Protective Association was against using dogs, while R. S. Colter of the Haldimand and Welland Hunters Association was for them, as was John Putherbough of London. Mr. Putherbough expressed appreciation of the kindly and attentive manner in which the Department always received deputations and said that no matter how the law stood the hunters would always remain loyal to the Department.

Mr. Burns of Sault Ste. Marie said that if it was considered necessary to prohibit dogs the law should be Province wide, as last year the existing law had been abused. He was opposed to increasing the bounty on wolves, saying that \$25.00 was now worth what \$40.00 had been. Mr. Hughes of the North Bay Tourist Trade Association contended that the regulations respecting this matter should be one way or the other, Province-wide.

The Chairman declined the suggestion of Mr. Putherbough, London, that a show of hands should be taken. It was pointed out that the matter would again come before the Committee when there were no deputations present.

The Committee decided to hold its next meeting Tuesday, March 15th, at 10.00 a.m., in Committee Room No. 2.

March 15th, 1932.

The Committee met at 10.00 a.m.

Those present were Messrs. Ecclestone (Chairman), and Messrs. Acres, Aubin, Baird, Bell, Bragg, Davis, Elliott (Rainy River), Hambly, Harrison, Henry (East Kent), Hill, Hipel, Hutchinson, Ireland, Jutten, Lancaster, Laugh-ton, McLean, McMillen, Munro, Murphy (Beaches), Murray, Newman, Robertson, Ross, Sanderson, Simpson, Spence, Staples, Taylor, Wilson (London).

Mr. Hipel tabled a petition from his constituency asking for the retention of the use of dogs in hunting deer.

The Committee after some consideration recommended that setting night lines and other methods of taking fish not authorized as angling be definitely prohibited.

The Committee recommended that where a guide is engaged, the total catch of fish for the day should not exceed the legal limit allowed to members of the party which he is guiding.

Mr. Lancaster drew the attention of the Committee to the fact that former residents of Ontario who are still property owners in the Province, but who now live outside of the Province, have to pay \$5.50 for a non-resident angling license. He thought there should be a lower fee. This matter was left with the Department for consideration.

Many matters with regard to hunting which are now before the Special Committee were not dealt with by the Standing Committee.

The Committee recommended in favour of prohibiting the purchase, sale or possession of gill nets by any person, except under authority of a license.

The Committee approved of the representations made to the effect that the open season on speckled trout should terminate September 1st instead of September 15th.

The Committee recommended that the use of spears be prohibited for the taking of fish.

It was recommended that the use of high-powered rifles for game shooting in Essex County be prohibited.

An open season on partridge was recommended, the dates to be set by the Department, the Committee stipulating that there should be a reasonable bag limit and that the season should coincide with the deer-hunting season.

Mr. Taylor suggested to the Department that they should forego the royalty on certain furs reared on fur farms in Ontario, and, in this connection, recommended this exemption should include blue fox.

The Committee recommended that the Act should be amended making it

clear that the hands should not be used when using ferrets to hunt rabbits. It must be clearly defined that when ferrets are used, only firearms shall be permitted.

It was recommended by the Committee that the same bounty should be paid for wolf pups as for wolves.

The Committee disapproved of any spring open season for bear for non-residents of the Province.

The matter of the recommendations made with reference to prohibiting the taking of frogs was left to the consideration of the Department.

Consideration of the recommendations in favour of Province-wide gun licenses was left to the Special Committee for report.

The Committee approved of an earlier open season on muskrats in the southwestern counties of Ontario as provided in 1931 by Order-in-Council.

The Committee approved of a recommendation that the use of snares should be prohibited in the County of Victoria.

The question of hunting deer with dogs was then discussed. Mr. Bell moved that dogs should be returned to their original status for this year until the Special Game Committee reports, and in this he was supported by Mr. Taylor and Mr. McLean. Mr. Hutchinson dissented, declaring that when dogs were used there were abuses, such as running deer into water and shooting them there. It was finally decided that the Standing Committee should recommend to the Minister that the Special Committee be asked for an interim report on the matter, so that action could be taken before the next hunting season.

A suggestion from Northern Ontario that the Standing Committee should, in future sessions, sit on consecutive days as far as hearing deputations was concerned was approved.

Mr. Lancaster suggested that any changes in the fish and game laws should be made public with all possible speed.


The Committee then adjourned.



APPENDIX No. 2

Report, Minutes and Proceedings of the Standing
Committee on Public Accounts

Session of 1932



Report of the Standing Committee on Public Accounts

SESSION OF 1932

To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario.

Gentlemen:

Your Committee has had documents and particulars placed before it and has heard evidence in connection with the following items:—

Beauharnois investigation, Hogg & Hogg, legal services, \$712.15. E. Bayly, travelling expenses, \$49.65. Page D 10, Public Accounts, 1931.

The construction of new Administrative Building, Students' Residence, Horticultural Building, Greenhouses, Dairy Barn, Railway Siding, Girls' Residence, Distributing System, Central Heating System, and furnishing of President's Office, Guest Rooms and Public Parlor or Assembly Room at O.A.C., Guelph. Page K 47, Public Accounts, 1931.

Your Committee held in all three meetings and examined the following witnesses:—

E. Bayly, Deputy Attorney-General.
George Hogarth, Deputy Minister of Public Works.
Frederick D. Hogg, Ottawa.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

W. D. BLACK, *Chairman.*

Public Accounts Committee Room
Tuesday, March 22nd, 1932.

Minutes

PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE, 1932

Public Accounts Committee Room,
Parliament Buildings,
Toronto, March 16th, 1932

The Select Standing Committee to whom was referred the examination of the Public Accounts of the Province for the fiscal year 1930-1931 and composed of the following members: Messrs. Henry, Acres, Baird, Berry, Black, Blanchard, Bragg, Burt, Calder, Case, Colliver, Cote, Craig, Dunlop, Ecclestone, Ellis, Finlayson, Fraleigh, Freele, Harcourt, Heighington, Hill, Hipel, Honeywell, Hutchinson, Ireland, Jamieson, Jutten, Kennedy (Peel), Kenning, Lancaster, Lyons, Macaulay, Mackay, Martin (Hamilton), Martin (Brantford), Monteith, Moore, Morrison, Munro, Murphy (Beaches), Murphy (St. Patrick), Murray, McBrien, McCrea, McLean, McNaughton, McQuibban, Nesbitt, Newman, Nixon, Oakley, Oliver, Price, Reid, Robb, Robertson, St. Denis, Sangster, Seguin, Shaver, Simpson, Sinclair, Singer, Skinner, Slack, Smith (Essex), Smith (Toronto), Strickland, Tweed, Willson (Niagara Falls), Wilson (Windsor), met this day for organization.

Present: Messrs. Black, Burt, Finlayson, Hill, Hipel, Honeywell, Kenning, Lancaster, Munro, Murphy (Beaches), Nixon, Oakley, Oliver, Price, Robertson, Sinclair, Smith (Toronto).

The meeting was called to order by the Hon. W. H. Price, Attorney-General.

It was moved by Hon. W. H. Price, seconded by Mr. Smith (Toronto),

That the Hon. W. D. Black be Chairman of the Committee. Carried.

Mr. Black then took the chair.

It was moved by Mr. Sinclair, seconded by Mr. Robertson, and carried,

That Mr. E. Bayly, K.C., Deputy Attorney-General, be summoned to appear before this Committee on Friday, March 18th, to give evidence regarding the item "Beauharnois investigation, Hogg & Hogg, legal services, \$712.15. E. Bayly, travelling expenses, \$49.65," and to bring with him and produce before this Committee all vouchers for the same and all letters, copies of letters, telegrams and other communications in his possession or in possession of the Government relating to the same.

It was moved by Mr. Sinclair, seconded by Mr. Robertson, and carried,

That Mr. Hogg, of Messrs. Hogg & Hogg, Barristers, Ottawa, who appeared before the Beauharnois Parliamentary Committee at Ottawa in 1931 be sum-

moned to appear before this Committee on Friday, March 18th, to give evidence regarding the item "Beauharnois investigation on Hogg & Hogg, legal services, \$712.15. E. Bayly, travelling expenses, \$49.65," and to bring with him and produce before this Committee all letters, copies of letters, telegrams, and other communications in his possession relating to the same.

It was moved by Mr. Nixon, seconded by Mr. Hipel, and carried,

That Mr. George Hogarth, Deputy Minister of Public Works, or other official of the Department of Public Works, having knowledge of the matter, be summoned before this Committee, on Friday, March 18th, to give evidence concerning the furnishing of the new Administrative and Students' Residence Building as set out on page K 47, Public Accounts, and that he produce all vouchers and statements relating to the furnishing of the President's office, the special guest rooms and the public parlor or assembly room and of a typical dormitory room.

The Committee then adjourned until Friday, March 18th, 1932, at 10 a.m.

SECOND SITTING

Public Accounts Committee Room,
Parliament Buildings,
Toronto, March 18th, 1932.

The Committee met at 10 a.m., Mr. Black in the chair.

Present: Messrs. Baird, Black, Bragg, Case, Finlayson, Harcourt, Heighington, Hill, Hipel, Hutchinson, Ireland, Jamieson, Jutten, Kenning, Lancaster, Lyons, Murphy (Beaches), McLean, McNaughton, McQuibban, Nesbitt, Nixon, Oliver, Reid, St. Denis, Sangster, Shaver, Simpson, Sinclair, Skinner, Smith (Toronto), Strickland, Willson (Niagara Falls).

Mr. E. Bayly, Deputy Attorney-General, was duly sworn and examined by Mr. Sinclair and Mr. Finlayson in connection with "Beauharnois investigation, Hogg & Hogg, legal services, \$712.15. E. Bayly, travelling expenses, \$49.65," page D 10, Public Accounts, 1931.

Mr. George Hogarth, Deputy Minister of Public Works, was duly sworn, produced documents and was examined by Mr. Sinclair, Mr. Finlayson and others in connection with furnishing of new Administrative and Students' Residence, President's office, guest rooms and public parlor or assembly room and of a typical dormitory room at O.A.C., Guelph, page K 47, Public Accounts, 1931.

The Committee then adjourned until Tuesday, March 22nd, at 10 a.m.

THIRD SITTING

Public Accounts Committee Room,
Parliament Buildings,
Toronto, March 22nd, 1932.

The Committee met at 10 a.m., Mr. Black in the chair.

Present: Messrs. Baird, Berry, Bragg, Case, Colliver, Finlayson, Harcourt, Heighington, Hill, Honeywell, Ireland, Jamieson, Kenning, Lancaster, Mackay, Murphy (Beaches), Murphy (St. Patrick), Murray, McQuibban, Newman, Nixon, Oliver, Robertson, St. Denis, Shaver, Simpson, Sinclair, Slack, Strickland.

F. D. Hogg, Ottawa, was duly sworn and examined by Mr. Sinclair and Mr. Finlayson in connection with "Beauharnois investigation, Hogg & Hogg, legal services, \$712.15. E. Bayly, travelling expenses, \$49.65," page D 10, Public Accounts, 1931.

Mr. George Hogarth, Deputy Minister of Public Works, was recalled and further examined by Mr. Nixon, and Mr. Finlayson in connection with construction of buildings at O.A.C., Guelph.

The Committee then adjourned for the session.

Proceedings

STANDING COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

Parliament Buildings,
Toronto, Wednesday, March 16th, 1932.

The Committee met in Committee Room No. 1 at 9.30 a.m.

HON. MR. PRICE: I beg to move that Mr. Black be Chairman of the Committee.

MR. SMITH: I will second the motion. (Carried.)

(Mr. Black took the chair.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen, I do not know whether I can truthfully say that I appreciate the honour that has been conferred upon me this morning. However, I trust that the duties of the Chairman will not be heavy, and that whatever deliberations come before the Committee will be very light. The Secretary tells me he has already checked the rolls, so that we can dispense with that.

MR. SINCLAIR: Mr. Chairman, I move, seconded by Mr. Robertson, that Mr. E. Bayly, K.C., Deputy Attorney-General, be summoned to appear before this Committee on Friday, March 18th, to give evidence regarding the item of Beauharnois investigation, Hogg & Hogg, legal services, \$712.15, and E. Bayly, travelling expenses, \$49.65, and to bring with him and produce before this Committee all vouchers for the same, and all letters, copies of letters, telegrams or other communications in his possession or in the possession of the Government relating to the same. (Carried.)

MR. SINCLAIR: I beg to move, seconded by Mr. Robertson, that Mr. Hogg, of Hogg and Hogg, Barristers, Ottawa, who appeared before the Beauharnois Parliamentary Committee at Ottawa in 1931, be summoned to appear before this Committee on Friday, March 18th, to give evidence regarding the item of Beauharnois investigation, Hogg & Hogg, legal services, \$712.15, also E. Bayly, travelling expenses, \$49.65, and to bring with him and produce before this Committee all letters, copies of letters, telegrams and other communications in his possession relating to the same. (Carried.)

MR. NIXON: I move, seconded by Mr. Hipel, that Mr. George Hogarth, Deputy Minister of Public Works, or other official of the Department of Public Works having a knowledge of the matter, be summoned to appear before this Committee on Friday, March 18th, to give evidence regarding the furnishing of the new Administration Building, as set out on page K 47, Public Accounts, and that he produce all vouchers and statements relating to the furnishing of the President's office, guest room and assembly room. (Carried.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any other business before the Committee this morning? If not, at what time shall we meet on Friday?

MR. NIXON: I have nothing serious in mind, but I thought as the Committee was sitting here we might get some general idea of the situation at Guelph.

The Committee adjourned to meet Friday, March 18th, 1932, at 10 a.m.

SECOND SITTING

Friday, March 18th, 1932, 10.00 a.m.

EDWARD BAYLY, K.C., sworn. Examined by MR. SINCLAIR:

Q. You are the Deputy Attorney-General for Ontario, Mr. Bayly?

A. Yes.

Q. There is an item in the Public Accounts, page D 10, Beauharnois investigation, totalling \$761.80, for legal services of Hogg & Hogg, and some small travelling expenses of your own. You secured the services of Mr. Hogg for this investigation, did you?

A. Under instructions, yes, that is to attend on the Committee.

Q. Who suggested that you secure counsel?

A. I think I did.

Q. Who did you suggest it to?

A. To the Attorney-General.

Q. What was the counsel required for?

A. To keep the Department in touch with the Beauharnois Committee proceedings.

Q. In what way?

A. Will you allow me to make a little preliminary explanation?

Q. Go ahead.

A. I have refreshed my memory with the report I put in. In June last I was going to England. The Attorney-General called me in one day and we commenced discussing some newspaper items about the Beauharnois investigation. The point arose about waterpowers, and that was practically all we discussed. As you know, the Province claims the water powers, subject to certain Dominion rights. There had been a reference at Ottawa to the Supreme Court,

the result was unsatisfactory. It struck me—I do not remember which of us introduced it—that the development of water power on the St. Lawrence might possibly raise questions which were awkward. After some discussion I telephoned Mr. Hogg who is our Ottawa agent, the Attorney-General's office has an Ottawa agent, and asked him what he knew about the scope and proceedings of the Committee. He did not know very much. I do not know who suggested it, but I was sent to Ottawa that night just to find what the scope was, what was likely to happen, and whether Ontario was interested. I went down late in the afternoon, was there all next day, and came back to Toronto the following day; that is Tuesday, Wednesday and part of Thursday.

Q. What dates?

A. I brought in the report. The Tuesday would be the 17th of June last year.

MR. NIXON: Did you find what was likely to happen?

A. No.

MR. SINCLAIR: Then the idea of counsel arose in the office of the Attorney-General?

A. Yes.

Q. And it had nothing to do with Hydro at all?

A. Well I went to England the next week. There was no discussion about Hydro between the Attorney-General and myself.

Q. And there was no request to the Attorney-General's Department from Hydro for counsel?

A. Not that I know of. Not from me. I went to England, left here the following Thursday—either Thursday or Friday.

Q. The week after?

A. The week after. This was Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday of the week of June 15th, and I left either the Thursday or Friday of the following week.

Q. And while you were at Ottawa you discussed the matter of the Committee with Mr. Hogg, and did you instruct him to appear before the Committee?

A. Not at that time.

Q. At what time was Mr. Hogg instructed to appear?

A. I could not tell you the day, but it would be late that week, Friday or Saturday. I went down, saw the Chairman, Hon. Mr. Gordon, very briefly, made some inquiries of him, saw one or two members of the Committee I think as to what they were going to do, because the scope of the inquiry originally was pretty large.

In the result, when I came back I sent a written report to the Attorney-General, which I have no objection to you seeing if you wish. I suggested that: "I don't see how Ontario is interested, but would suggest that we have our Ottawa agents find out what is happening or likely to happen in the Committee. Keep us in touch."

Q. That was your report when you came back from Ottawa the first week?

A. Yes. I was only down once.

Q. You might read us that report then, and we can have that put in the evidence.

A. Of course this is a confidential report, but the Attorney-General has no objection.

The date is June 20th, 1931. That would be the Friday. I came back on the Thursday.

"Memorandum for the Attorney-General.

"In consequence of a wire from Mr. Hogg as to the probable meeting of the Beauharnois Committee, I left here at 4.30 p.m. last Tuesday, arriving in Ottawa that night.

"I saw the Chairman, Hon. Mr. Gordon, and also Colonel Lennox who was a member of the Committee. They thought that the meeting would take place on Wednesday, but except for choosing a counsel (Mr. Peter White) nothing was done. It was also supposed that they would meet on Thursday but again for an informal meeting, nothing was done.

"I procured the printed report containing the minutes of proceedings of Beauharnois Committee, which is attached, indicating the scope of the Committee's activities, and also the reference made by Mr. Gardiner as to who was to be summoned. So far no others have been added.

"The Committee meeting was adjourned until next Tuesday, 23rd instant, but it may be called earlier by the Chairman, possibly for Monday, the 22nd.

"Mr. Tilley has been retained by the Beauharnois Committee, Mr. Peter White and Mr. Morin of Beauce, Quebec, as associate by the Committee, Mr. Geoffrion represents I understand the Province of Quebec.

"I do not see how Ontario is interested, but would suggest that we have our Ottawa agents (Mr. F. D. Hogg is very good in keeping us in close touch) find out what is happening or likely to happen in the Committee.

"Mr. Gardiner's speech, which contains a number of 'points of accusation,' none of which refer to this Province, is to be found in the current Hansard (in our Legislative Library) at page 1875, et seq."

Underneath is written, in the Attorney-General's hand-writing, "Let Hogg

keep us advised." On that I retained him, either by telephone or letter, I have forgotten which. Those are my instructions.

Q. You did this before you left for England?

A. Oh, yes.

Q. As a result of that report you were instructed to retain Mr. Hogg?

A. Yes.

Q. I suppose there was no notice sent to the Government here that this Committee was meeting?

A. I am aware of none. I do not think so.

Q. It was as a matter of public information that you knew the Committee was meeting from press reports?

A. From the newspapers, and what I ascertained at Ottawa.

Q. And the whole reason you had for having counsel retained was in relation to the disputed question of water powers?

A. That was all that was discussed.

Q. There was no other matter that the Province was interested in?

A. Not to my knowledge.

Q. Did the scope of the inquiry indicate that the question of water powers was going to be dealt with by this Committee?

A. I have here the resolution appointing the Committee. That was in my mind when I made the suggestion.

Q. That is the resolution of the House of Commons?

A. Yes, the Premier's motion.

Q. We might as well have that.

A. "Rt. R. B. Bennett (Prime Minister) moved:

"That Messrs. Dorion, Fiset, Gardiner, Gordon, Jacobs, Jones, Lennox, Mackenzie (Vancouver Centre), Stewart (Lethbridge), be a Committee to investigate from its inception the Beauharnois project for the development of hydro-electric energy by the use of the waters of the St. Lawrence River so far as the matters referred to are within the jurisdiction of Parliament of Canada, and without restricting the generality of the foregoing words, in particular to investigate the matters referred to in the speech made in the House of Commons by Mr. Robert Gardiner, the Honourable Member

for Acadia, on the 19th day of May last, as reported on pages 1731-1740 of Hansard, and to report from time to time their observations and opinions thereon; with power to send for persons, papers and records."

Q. From that it would appear that the inquiry was into what is known as the Beauharnois project and matters which had been referred to by different members in the House of Commons.

A. Well, it speaks for itself. I suppose so.

Q. "To investigate from its inception the Beauharnois project for the development of hydro-electric energy by the use of the waters of the St. Lawrence River so far as the matters referred to are within the jurisdiction of the Parliament of Canada." That is the general part of the motion, and after that, specially referring to the matters which had been brought up in the House of Commons. And on that it was thought here that counsel should be retained for the Province of Ontario to watch proceedings?

A. Yes, I recommended it.

Q. What particular interest could the Province of Ontario have in an inquiry into the Beauharnois development?

A. Nothing particular in the Beauharnois development except this, that the development on the St. Lawrence River would affect in a sense the whole St. Lawrence, and there has been an open question as to the rights of the Province and the Dominion in water for power purposes on navigable rivers, that has never been quite settled yet.

Q. But this Committee was not going to decide that point.

A. You never know what a Committee is doing to do.

Q. But they had not authority to settle that.

MR. FINLAYSON: This Committee has done extraordinary things!

MR. SINCLAIR: But this Special Parliamentary Committee could have no authority to settle a question such as that.

A. They might easily raise questions that the Government might adopt and which might result, as these things have resulted, in litigation and so on.

Q. How could that arise in an investigation into a state of facts which had arisen and been discussed in the House of Commons?

A. Well, I don't want to argue, I am giving my reasons for suggesting it. Supposing the Committee decided the Dominion Government had power to regulate these waters, that would be very likely to affect the development later on, and the position they would take.

Q. How could that Committee decide that?

A. They could not decide it, no.

Q. The instructions to the Committee were to investigate the Gardiner charges.

A. And the Committee's powers are added to.

Q. The motion is quite plain. (Re-reads language of the motion.)

A. I knew nothing about the project at the time. All this that we are supposed to know now had not come up.

Q. But you had read the press reports of the Gardiner speech I suppose?

A. I suppose, more or less.

Q. In a general way you had learned that charges had been made of irregularities at Beauharnois?

A. Well, certain irregularities.

Q. And that the matter had been discussed in the House of Commons at the session of 1930 and again at the session of 1931?

A. I knew it had been discussed, I did not know the extent.

Q. As a result of the discussion in the House of Commons the Prime Minister decided to appoint a Special Committee to investigate the charges?

A. And other things.

Q. What other things?

A. "The Beauharnois project for the development of hydro-electric energy by the use of the waters of the St. Lawrence River."

Any water-power development occurring on navigable waters in the Province of Ontario in my opinion is a question that might easily raise the everlasting question of water power, and a matter with which we should be kept in close touch. That was my real reason for making the suggestion.

Q. In what way could this Committee do anything which would affect the legal situation of the water powers?

A. They could not affect the legal situation at all.

Q. In what way could the Committee take any action which would in any way involve the Province of Ontario?

A. Of course I did not know what they would do.

Q. What could they do?

A. Oh, their powers might be extended. We had been caught once before.

Q. In the resolution of the House of Commons there was not anything that would involve the Province of Ontario.

A. Indirectly it might.

Q. In what way?

A. The question of water powers raised in that Committee might very easily give the Government a lead— We got fooled once before, not sending a person, the matter did arise.

Q. How could the findings of a Parliamentary Committee, to be referred later to the House of Commons, affect any question of water-power rights?

A. It might affect us very seriously in its result in raising questions that we might have—if I may use a slang expression—sprung on us later on.

Q. If the Parliamentary Committee acted within the four corners of this resolution, is there anything which could be done that would affect provincial rights?

A. Well, I would have thought so.

Q. Just what?

A. I have just said I do not know anything about Beauharnois and its engineering. I knew even less at the time I went down there. When they commence investigating a thing of that kind they would investigate a development which I understand the Dominion Government undertook to approve by executive action. That had been discussed before. I do not know that this is accurate, but I have been told that the Government undertook to approve on behalf of the Dominion works which we would have said were only within the competence of Parliament. There is a question which might easily have been raised by the action of this Committee.

Q. But the instructions to the Committee had nothing to do with those involved legal questions?

A. No, not legal questions.

Q. The Committee was a fact-finding Committee?

A. I can only repeat what I said, committees frequently have their powers enlarged, and even if they were not enlarged I do not know what the scope of the three or four lines I read as to its inception amounts to.

Q. But as the resolution stood it is simply a resolution appointing a special fact-finding Parliamentary Committee, isn't it?

A. Well, there is its scope.

Q. "To investigate the matters referred to in the speech made in the House of Commons by Mr. Robert Gardiner and to report from time to time their observations and opinions thereon." Now this Committee was instructed to take evidence and to report from time to time their observations and opinions thereon. You had that before you?

A. Well, I would say the Government, interested in water powers in the St. Lawrence, should certainly be kept in touch with every transaction.

Q. I say the instructions to the Committee were simply to take evidence and report to the House of Commons?

A. I suppose so.

Q. And the Committee's report, no matter what they found, would not be acted upon until the House of Commons dealt with it anyway?

MR. FINLAYSON: Based on the report.

WITNESS: I don't know, the Government might.

MR. SINCLAIR: The resolution says, to report from time to time their observations and opinions thereon. The only one to whom they could report would be the House of Commons, wouldn't it?

A. They would report to the House of Commons and probably to the Secretary of State.

Q. But the House of Commons appointed a Committee and instructed them to find facts and report back to the House of Commons?

A. Well, actually the Government as distinguished from Parliament did take action on this very matter, I believe. In my opinion the result of the Committee's finding might affect subsequent governmental action at Ottawa, which very likely might affect us in our water powers.

Q. But not until the matter had been dealt with by the House of Commons?

A. Well, I considered you should commence at the first to keep in touch, and not wait until it is a little late.

Q. And what was the sole reason for appointing the counsel?

A. It was my sole reason for recommending it.

Q. And you retained Mr. Hogg after you had come back?

A. After I had come back from Ottawa.

Q. I suppose you wrote him, did you?

A. I don't remember, because I cannot find the letter. I would have said

I wrote him, but I am not perfectly sure. I retained him, possibly by telephone but more probably by writing, but I cannot find the letter.

Q. You cannot find the letter you wrote him?

A. I do not say I did write him a letter.

Q. I will put it this way, you cannot find any letter giving him his instructions?

A. No, I cannot.

Q. What instructions did you give Mr. Hogg?

A. My recollection is that I asked him to appear for the Department before the Committee, and to report—I do not pretend to remember the words, but that is the general result.

Q. Report what?

A. The proceedings of the Committee. He answered his instructions by sending us the minutes, and very little more, so that that must have been the instruction.

Q. He did not announce himself to the Committee as counsel for anybody, I believe?

A. Not as far as I know. Of course I was not there.

Q. Not until the Committee had been sitting for some days?

A. I do not know if he announced himself at all.

Q. Yes, he did one day.

A. Well, I told you I had very little to say after.

Q. He was not then added as on the record, like the other counsel who appeared before the Committee?

A. Not to my knowledge.

Q. If you have the minutes—

A. We have the minutes here, but I have not read them.

Q. On the 17th July it appears. There is nothing particular to it.

A. Of course I was in England at that time. Personally I do not know anything about it.

Q. Had he any instructions to cross examine witnesses?

A. Not from me.

Q. Or to produce any evidence?

A. Oh no, not from me. I don't think he did from anybody.

Q. Of course up to the time you went away you were the only one who gave him any instructions?

A. I saw him when I was in Ottawa, and I think it must have been by telephone afterwards, although I would have said by letter if I could have found it.

Q. Do you know as a matter of fact if he attended the Committee continuously?

A. I understand so. We have got his bill here. He is supposed to have attended every meeting after he got his instructions, no doubt did.

Q. Have you any written report from him?

A. There is nothing that I could call a report, except a letter written on the 27th June. It did not reach me until September, it was opened in the office, it is marked "Personal," but there is nothing personal in it. He simply says he attended, and there was only one reference to Ontario, he mentioned what it was. You can read it. (Hands letter to Mr. Sinclair.) And here is his bill. He also sent us from time to time the printed official minutes. I know of no other report.

Q. Then that was all that Mr. Hogg was there for?

A. As far as I know, yes.

Q. And as you have already said, Hydro took no steps as far as you know?

A. Not that I know of.

Q. They did not ask you to retain any counsel or anything of the kind?

A. They did not.

Q. Now let us see Mr. Hogg's bill.

A. (Bill handed to Mr. Sinclair and perused by him.)

MR. SINCLAIR: I think that is all.

.

By MR. FINLAYSON:

Q. How long have you been Deputy Attorney-General, Mr. Bayly?

A. Since October '19. I have been twenty-five years in the Department.

Q. And you have been head of the Department, that is permanent head, for—?

A. Thirteen years.

Q. Is it a common practice for the Department to give watching briefs?

A. Oh yes.

Q. Will you explain to the Committee what a watching brief is? That is, what this practically was.

A. It means attending either in Court or before a Commission or Committee to find out what is happening and if necessary make a report to your principal or client so as to what is ordinarily called keep them in touch, without taking any active part in the proceedings.

Q. Which involves the constant attendance of the counsel who is looking after it?

A. A man holding a watching brief should be there every minute.

Q. If he finds the interest of his client affected, the Province of Ontario in this case, he would report immediately?

A. Yes, he should.

Q. And then you, or the Attorney-General, or the proper officer would decide what action was necessary?

A. Yes.

Q. So that what this amounted to was keeping the Province in touch with an inquiry relating to a very large water-power development?

A. Yes.

Q. On one of the great rivers of the world, that is inter-provincial in some places and international in other places?

A. Yes.

Q. And the Federal interest has been asserted quite vigorously, hasn't it?

A. At times, yes.

Q. I mean the Federal authorities made a claim for complete control of the river, both as to navigation and as to power, didn't they?

A. I understand so.

Q. And that is one of the questions that was referred to the Supreme Court?

A. Yes.

Q. Which did not produce any satisfactory result?

A. No, the answers were too vague.

Q. So that the whole question was still open?

A. Yes, almost all.

Q. Still is, I suppose?

A. Yes, the question of ownership is still open.

A. And the power question, having regard to this Province's investment in power, was very vital, wasn't it?

A. Oh yes.

Q. Power is the biggest investment we have at present?

A. I believe so.

Q. So with hundreds of millions invested in water-power developments, it was extremely important for the Province of Ontario to keep in touch with this inquiry?

A. Yes.

Q. Because any decision arrived at down there might affect these questions?

A. Anything that occurred there might touch this, yes.

Q. And you say it has been a common practice for the Province, as well as for business interests, to protect their interests by a watching brief?

A. Yes.

Q. In this case it was done on your recommendation?

A. On my written recommendation. (The recommendation which was formerly read was handed to Hon. Mr. Finlayson by the witness.)

Q. As I understand, this arose first out of a suggestion you made to the Attorney-General when you discussed it with him before you went to Ottawa?

A. Yes.

Q. Then you went to Ottawa, and this was your recommendation after seeing the Chairman and some members of the Committee?

A. Yes.

Q. "I do not see how Ontario is interested, but would suggest that we have our Ottawa agent (Mr. F. D. Hogg is very good in keeping us in close touch) find out what is happening or likely to happen in the Committee." That was signed by you on June 20th. And the Attorney-General endorsed on the bottom, "Let Hogg keep us advised. W.H.P." That is the Attorney-General?

A. Yes.

Q. And on that you gave the instructions?

A. I did.

Q. Quebec was also represented?

A. Yes, by Mr. Geoffrion, I understand. They were represented.

Q. Mr. Geoffrion is one of the leading counsel of the Province of Quebec, isn't he?

A. Oh yes, one of the leading counsel.

Q. In your opinion this is a perfectly proper practice for the Department to adopt?

A. Oh yes. If I were acting for a private interest I would not have hesitated to make the same recommendation, and I am perfectly sure any solicitor would do the same.

By MR. SINCLAIR:

Q. You have spoken about the importance of watching water-right privileges and so on. This inquiry was into a water privilege which had already been let and a lot of the construction work had been done?

A. Well of course I know that as general information only.

Q. The lease of the water power had been secured by this company from the Province of Quebec?

A. I did not know that at that time. The thing was up in the air, as you see by my report, I say I do not think Ontario is affected but I think it would be well to be represented and kept in touch.

Q. The questions which were being investigated were questions relating to past transactions and work which had been done?

A. The reference is so wide that I would not care to say Yes or No to that.

Q. As a matter of fact the Beauharnois Canal was under construction, had been for some time?

A. I only know that as a matter of what you might call newspaper gossip. If I found that it had been constructed some time ago I would not be surprised.

Q. And any question of water rights and so on must have been dealt with in some manner surely before these people started?

MR. FINLAVSON: Partly.

WITNESS: Well they had been dealt with, to an extent.

MR. SINCLAIR: And the Province of Ontario had passed an Order-in-Council, at this time Ontario had the contract for the purchase of Beauharnois power?

A. Well I only know that from the newspapers. It would not be the Province, it would be Hydro.

Q. But the Province of Ontario passed an Order-in-Council on the 29th of November, 1929, authorizing Hydro to purchase the power from the Beauharnois?

A. Well I do not know that as a fact but I believe it is so. It would not be through our office.

Q. Well there was that Order-in-Council.

A. I assume it if you say so.

Q. At that time was anything referred to you as Deputy Attorney-General in regard to the Province's rights when they made the contract to buy the power?

A. I do not think so. We do not act as solicitors for Hydro.

Q. But you are solicitor for the Government. The Government itself passed this Order-in-Council.

A. Well I am perfectly sure that I did not draw the Order, and was not aware of it for some time after.

Q. What I am asking is, the matters to be investigated before this Parliamentary Committee were matters which had taken place, that is facts relating to the Beauharnois matter arising out of the Gardiner charges?

A. But in the back of my mind always is the notion that this is the way it commences, it may wind up any place.

Q. How could the question of water rights arise when the Order-in-Council had already been passed by the Province of Ontario buying this power? Passed months before this Committee was sitting?

A. I do not know anything about that Order.

Q. Why did the Province become so interested in the summer of 1931 in

looking after the water rights of the Province, when it had already made the contract for the purchase of power in November, 1929?

A. My reason for suggesting that we be represented is set out in my report, it is quite obvious. If I was wrong I erred on the side of overcaution, that is all I can say, the side that all solicitors acting for large bodies I suppose would lean to. As a fact I do not think anything turned on it, but when you are in a way in charge, and are going away, and there is a matter that you know very little about being investigated by a Parliamentary Committee, affecting indirectly at least Ontario water powers, all I can say is that I would give the same advice again.

Q. My honourable friend asked the question that Quebec was represented by counsel. But the water power and site and everything were in the Province of Quebec?

A. Yes.

There is a wire from Mr. Hogg received yesterday. (Shown to Mr. Sinclair.)

GEORGE HOGARTH, sworn. Examined by MR. NIXON:

Q. You are Deputy Minister of the Department of Public Works?

A. Yes.

Q. You have been for a number of years?

A. Six years.

Q. That would be 1926 you were appointed?

A. 1926.

Q. How long had you been in the Department before that?

A. Seventeen years. Public Works and Highways, they were together then.

Q. Have you ever been before a Public Accounts Committee before?

A. Yes.

Q. This is not a new experience for you, like Mr. Bayly.

Now in respect to the Ontario Agricultural College, would it be a fair statement to say there has been considerable activity there under your Department the last couple of years?

A. Yes.

Q. You would have as much general knowledge of that as anyone in the Department, I presume?

A. Yes.

Q. Has this work of construction been all under your Department, or partly under yours and partly under the Agricultural Department?

A. Practically all under the Works Department, by contract.

Q. Extensive repairs at these institutions, are they carried on by your Department or the Agricultural Department?

A. There may be some small repairs done by the Agricultural Department, but we do a great deal of the repairs and maintenance.

Q. And all the new furnishings?

A. Yes.

Q. I presume the main activity has been the building of this new residence and Administration Building?

A. Yes.

Q. About when did the Department get instructions to proceed with that work?

A. About three years ago.

Q. You advertised for tenders for this building?

A. Yes.

Q. Have you any idea how many tenders were received?

A. If I remember right, about eight or nine.

Q. And who got the contract?

A. The Jackson-Lewis Company.

Q. Was theirs the lowest tender?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you recall offhand what the amount of the tender was?

A. I do not.

Q. Would you have that information for the next meeting?

A. Yes.

Q. It is not the policy of the Department always to accept the lowest tender, I presume?

A. It is the policy of the Department to accept the lowest tender.

Q. Did you do that with the Museum?

A. We did not let the Museum.

Q. Who did?

A. The Museum Board.

Q. This Jackson-Lewis firm also had the East Block contract, haven't they?

A. There is no contract on the East Block as such. They are foreman on the East Block.

MR. FINLAYSON: Do you mean the present construction or the first?

MR. NIXON: They had a straight contract on the first?

A. Yes, they had a straight contract on the first East Block.

Q. But their position there now is more as foreman?

A. Just as foreman.

Q. Has this company had any other contracts under your Department?

A. Yes, they had another building at the Ontario Agricultural College.

Q. This work at Guelph, was it all done under this contract, or did the Department do some of the work there, as you are doing on the East Block now?

A. You mean the construction of the new Administration Building?

Q. Yes.

A. The construction of the building itself is by contract, but there are many subcontracts and other contracts for details of the building.

Q. To other people?

A. Yes.

Q. Is the Department doing any of the work itself, hiring the labour directly?

A. Yes.

Q. Is this residence and Administration Building finished?

A. Not completely. Section 3 is still under construction.

Q. But it is actually occupied now?

A. Sections 1 and 2 are occupied.

Q. Have you any idea about when this work will be finished? Do you expect to finish it this year?

A. We should finish before the session opens for the College next fall.

Q. Have there been any extras paid to Jackson-Lewis so far besides the contract, or has it all been under the original contract?

A. There may have been—I am speaking from memory—there may have been one or two small extras on the original contract. There is no large amount.

Q. Could you tell us then what has been the total cost of the building proper up to date?

A. I had not notice to bring that to-day, but I will be glad to bring it again.

Q. It was more along the line of general information. How far along have you got with the furnishing? Do you keep the building furnished as the construction is finished?

A. We furnish the building and complete.

Q. Did you advertise for tenders for the furnishings, as you did for the construction of the building?

A. No.

Q. There is an item in the Public Accounts, Sundry newspapers, advertising re tenders, \$394.

A. That would be advertising for the contract that you speak of, the original contract for the construction. You referred later to the contracts for furnishing, and I said we did not advertise for them.

Q. For instance there is an item here for linoleum, \$17,296. That would not be advertised, for instance?

MR. FINLAYSON: What is the name?

MR. NIXON: Robert Simpson Company.

WITNESS: There was no advertising for that. Tenders were asked from the only firms that are able to supply and lay linoleum. There are only two or three.

Q. Only two firms do you say in Ontario?

A. Two or three.

Q. Who would they be besides Robert Simpson?

A. Eatons, Adams, Burroughs might give you a price, and there are in some of the larger cities firms who might be able to tender.

Q. Like Kingsmill of London?

A. They might, but we find that these firms named can go into almost any city and quote prices that nobody else can touch.

Q. Have you any idea how this new building with the furnishings would work on a per-pupil basis or room basis?

A. No, but I can prepare those figures for you.

Q. I would appreciate that. And how that would compare with other institutions of similar nature.

MR. FINLAYSON: You mean per capita?

MR. NIXON: Yes. I know when we were building institutions under the Provincial Secretary's Department it was always figured out on the basis of per bed or per inmate.

MR. FINLAYSON: These are not inmates of course.

MR. NIXON: No, they are students that have dormitories provided.

Under the summons on which you are here to-day I asked for vouchers relating to the furnishing of the President's office. Could you separate those?

A. Yes.

Q. Have you done so?

A. Yes.

Q. If that could be filed as an exhibit.

EXHIBIT No. 1: Statement.

President's Office, Furniture now in the Office:

1 Desk—former desk.	
1 Chair—former chair.	
4 Side chairs—former chairs.	
1 Chesterfield (new).....	\$142.40
2 New rugs.....	135.50
2 Electric fixtures.....	70.00
No pictures.	
Blinds, 16 at 80c.....	12.80
Curtains.....	173.80
	<hr/>
	\$534.50

MR. NIXON: I will not bother looking at this now, I presume this matter can be resumed on Tuesday.

By MR. HIPEL:

Q. In connection with the letting of the contract for that main building about which Hon. Mr. Nixon has asked, what did that contract include? Was it the completed building, or the furnishings entirely separate?

A. The contract included the completed building, not furnished.

Q. It included all the woodwork?

A. Yes.

MR. FINLAYSON: Your contracts usually do not include heating, do they? Did this include heating?

MR. SINCLAIR: There is a contract here for Goldie-McCullough.

WITNESS: I will look that up. It may not have included the heating and plumbing.

MR. FINLAYSON: That is usually separate.

WITNESS: It is separate.

MR. HIPEL: On those extras, over and above your contract, what percentage were Jackson-Lewis allowed for that?

A. No percentage whatever.

Q. You mean Jackson-Lewis looked after the installation of all that work—

A. We looked after it.

Q. Your Department looked after it?

A. Yes.

MR. FINLAYSON: They would have nothing to do with the furnishings. They ask for tenders, like this linoleum, Simpsons tendered on that, Jackson-Lewis would have nothing to do with that.

WITNESS: Jackson-Lewis had a lump sum contract to build the building and complete it according to the plans and specifications.

MR. HIPEL: What amount were they paid for extras?

A. I said I would bring that. That is not in this notice to-day.

MR. NIXON: The President has his office in this building?

A. Yes.

Q. But not his residence?

A. No.

Q. The President formerly resided in the old building didn't he?

A. I understand so.

Q. He has a separate house now?

A. Yes.

Q. Does the Department of Public Works furnish that for him?

A. We did.

Q. When?

A. When it was occupied, about three years ago.

Q. And you have not done any extensive furnishing of that since?

A. No.

Q. You have no idea what the cost of that was three years ago?

A. No, but I could ascertain it for you.

Q. Thank you, I would appreciate that too.

Now does your Department or the Department of Agriculture furnish the President with a car, or is he furnished with a car?

A. I do not know anything of that.

Q. Who should I ask as to that?

A. The Department of Agriculture.

MR. FINLAYSON: We can get the information. I think I know it.

MR. FAIRBAIRN (Deputy Minister of Agriculture): We furnish the car.

MR. NIXON: And this was furnished this year, was it?

MR. FAIRBAIRN: No, 1930, if I remember correctly.

MR. NIXON: And the Department I suppose pays the license?

MR. FAIRBAIRN: The Government furnishes licenses for departmental and official cars.

MR. NIXON: Then these figures you have filed would cover everything in the furnishing of the President's office?

A. Yes.

Q. Now are there some special rooms provided for guests?

A. Yes, there are three.

Q. They are not used by students at all?

A. No.

Q. I think the summons also mentions those.

A. Yes.

Q. Have you separated out the vouchers for those?

A. I have.

Q. Would you file them.

EXHIBITS Nos. 2, 3 and 4: Statements of furniture in guest rooms.

No. 2—Special Guest Rooms:

Furniture now in rooms which consist of two rooms, with common bath and each with double bed; one room with twin beds, separate bath.

Room No. 1, including Dressing Room:

Carpets.....	\$200.00
Hall carpet.....	33.00
Furniture.....	238.30
Electric fixtures.....	21.50
Blinds, 7 at 80c.....	5.60
Curtains.....	26.80
Bedding (on hand.)	

\$525.20

Room No. 2:

Carpets.....	\$138.75
Hall carpet.....	33.00
Furniture.....	352.70
Electric fixtures.....	17.50
Blinds, 3 at 80c.....	2.40
Curtains.....	26.80
Bedding (on hand.)	

\$571.15

Room No. 3:

Carpets.....	\$189.00
Hall carpet.....	33.00
Furniture.....	372.95
Electric fixtures.....	17.50
Blinds, 4 at 80c.....	3.20
Curtains.....	26.40
Bedding (on hand).	
	\$642.05

Q. Then is there a sort of parlor or drawing-room or assembly room provided?

A. We call it the reception room. It is quite a large room.

Q. Did you separate out the vouchers that cover that?

A. Yes.

Q. There is just one room of that character?

A. Yes.

EXHIBIT No. 5:

Public Parlor or Assembly Room, now called the Reception Room, for guests, functions and ceremonial days:

Room 26' 3" x 33' 8½"	
Blinds, 32 at 80c.....	\$25.60
Curtains.....	243.10
Rugs (2).....	841.40
Furniture.....	1,611.78
Electric fixtures.....	152.00
	\$2,873.88

Q. Some reference was made in the Legislature yesterday to a thousand dollar rug. Have you any knowledge of a rug costing anything like that?

A. Not anywhere near that.

Q. The most expensive rug would be in this reception room, would it?

A. Yes.

Q. Then there was also a request made in the summons for a typical dormitory room. I want some idea as to the cost of furnishing a room for the students.

A. I have it.

EXHIBIT No. 6:

Typical Dormitory Room:

2 Students to a Room

Room 14' 6'' x 14' with 2 clothes closets

Floor (linoleum).....	\$50.50
2 Beds and springs (Prov. Secy.).....	30.00
2 Mattresses.....	24.00
Bedding.....	23.32
4 Pillows.....	4.70
2 Chiffoniers.....	26.00
2 Desks (Prov. Secy.).....	39.20
2 Study lamps.....	7.70
2 Chairs.....	9.70
3 Blinds.....	2.40
	<hr/>
	\$217.52

No. 1 and 2—248 students.

No. 3 —107 “

 355 “

Q. Would that be a room for two students?

A. It is. There are some single rooms, caused by the construction of the building, but in the main the dormitories were laid out for two students in a room.

Q. Now as to the other activities there that your Department is carrying on. I note you have the Horticultural Building, \$13,970 this year. Was that all completed and recorded in this year's Public Accounts?

A. Those are I think the last accounts for the completion of the Horticultural Building. It has been occupied for some little while. The refrigeration was the last to go in.

Q. About what was the cost of that building?

A. I cannot say offhand, but I will get it.

Q. Then there is Greenhouses in connection with Horticultural Building, \$81,684. There were some items in last year's Public Accounts, I believe, \$123,577. Would you find out for us the total cost of that building?

A. Yes.

Q. You would not have any knowledge as to what use the Agricultural Department is making of that now?

A. Only that we constructed them and constructed all the benches, but they operate it of course.

Q. Then there is a railway siding, \$12,855, and in last year's accounts, \$1,845. That is from the C.P.R., is it?

A. It comes off the Guelph Radial track.

Q. Would this be the total cost of that?

A. I believe that is, yes, about \$13,000. We got another bill the other day for raising the high-tension wires, caused by this siding, that should be added in. In all it will be about \$14,000 for the railway siding.

Q. That is a permanent affair?

A. It is, it brings the cars of coal right on top of the coal bunkers so there is no handling of the coal at all, it is all by machinery once the car is placed on top of the bunker. That is part of the new central heating plant.

Q. Formerly these cars were shunted in off the Guelph Street Railway?

A. Yes.

Q. But that was not found satisfactory?

A. No. We built a new central heating plant in order to provide a better means of heating the enlarged number of buildings, and this is part of that equipment.

Q. The Government pays all the cost of this siding, does it?

A. We did.

Q. The C.P.R. does not contribute anything?

A. No, we paid the entire cost. It was all on our lands.

Q. Did the C.P.R. do any of the work, or supervise it?

A. No, this was done entirely by the Hydro-Electric Power Commission. It is off the Guelph Street Railway track, really, and we called them in because we had to take the siding from one of their tracks. They operate the Guelph Street Railway.

Q. Well your Department did the work, not by tender?

A. No, in this case the Hydro did all the work, supplied the rails, ties and spikes. We let some contracts for grading in addition to these amounts that are there.

Q. Then new Dairy Barn, \$11,931.

A. Yes, I think that is the entire cost of that building. It was an addition to the barn there.

Q. Mr. Hipel desires the vouchers for the railway work to be filed. Could that be done next day?

A. Yes.

MR. FINLAYSON: That is you want the Hydro item?

MR. HIPEL: Yes.

MR. NIXON: Did you advertise for tenders for the building of this dairy barn?

A. I will have to look that up. It is not in the order to-day.

Q. There was a large dairy barn built there by your Department a few years ago wasn't there?

A. Yes.

Q. It was found necessary to build another?

A. Yes.

Q. Then another item, Graesser House. That is the residence for the lady students?

A. Yes.

Q. That is a completely new building?

A. Yes.

Q. \$70,534.

A. I think that is the entire cost.

Q. In last year's Public Accounts there was an item of \$15,000 on that.

A. I will look up and see what that was. We purchased some lots there, I thought this \$70,000 was the cost of the building.

Q. The dormitories there are double I suppose?

A. I did not look that up and I cannot say from memory.

Q. We will go into that further the next day. Then you mentioned the new central heating plant. That I do not think is mentioned in these Public Accounts—or was it included in the administration?

A. There is part of it in the O.A.C. item, page K 47. Babcock-Wilcox and Goldie-McCullough Co., \$10,711, that is part of the contract.

Q. That heats other buildings as well as this Administration Building?

A. Yes, we have quite a steam distributing system to heat nearly all the buildings now.

Q. Might we have some idea of the cost of that?

A. Certainly.

Q. Then the new power house, a separate building is it?

A. Yes, there was a new power house building.

Q. And the cost of that, next day.

I think that is all I have in mind to ask to-day, Mr. Chairman.

MR. FINLAYSON: I have Mr. Fairbairn here.

MR. NIXON: Not to-day.

MR. FINLAYSON: If there is a suggestion that these three rooms for guests were extravagant, he has a memorandum showing the great number of guests they entertained, and where they were from. In the old days the Principal lived in the building, and he was supplied with food, etc., and if the Governor-General came he simply stayed there. Now the Principal is in a separate building and is charged with his perquisites and has to pay for his food, so that guests coming now, these three rooms are provided.

I was astonished to observe the number and the nature of the guests, people from all over the world. The High Commissioner gets someone from Japan, or some American visitors, ours is one of the best Agricultural Colleges in the world and is visited by people from all over. In the old days the Principal entertained them. Then it was thought that these three rooms would be available for people of that kind, so that the cost of their entertainment would not be imposed upon the Principal.

MR. NIXON: I would be glad to have that evidence. I may say the only object of this is to get general information as a matter of public and personal interest. I might have got some by inquiry of the Minister across the floor, but I thought as this Committee was sitting anyway we might get more details here.

MR. FINLAYSON: We will be very glad to furnish anything you like, if you will let us know. If you will send a memorandum of anything that occurs to you we will have it looked up.

At 11.25 a.m. sitting adjourned until Tuesday, 22nd March, 1932, at 10 a.m.

THIRD SITTING

Tuesday, March 22nd, 1932, 10.00 a.m.

F. D. HOGG, K.C., sworn. Examined by MR. SINCLAIR:

Q. You are a solicitor practicing at Ottawa, Mr. Hogg?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And you appeared before the Parliamentary Committee that was investigating Beauharnois matters in the summer of 1931?

A. I did.

Q. By whom were you retained?

A. I was instructed by a telegram from Mr. Bayly, the Deputy Attorney-General.

Q. And when was that?

A. Well, I have the telegram here, with any correspondence I had about it. That was on the 22nd June last.

Q. And what does the telegram say?

A. It reads as follows: It is addressed to my firm, Hogg & Hogg, Barristers, Ottawa:

“The Attorney-General wishes Mr. F. D. Hogg to attend the Committee and keep this Department in closest touch. No other action required at present.

“(Signed) Bayly.”

Q. And that was the only instruction you had as to your attending the Committee?

A. Those were the only direct instructions. I think when I got that telegram I telephoned Mr. Bayly and asked him whether he wished to amplify it in any way.

Q. And what did he say, do you remember?

A. Mr. Bayly said No, that the Province was not directly interested, except that they were vitally interested in the question of water powers on the St. Lawrence; and he wished me to report if there was any discussion about such power.

Q. And had you previously seen Mr. Bayly when he was in Ottawa?

A. I cannot recall seeing him, but I think he telephoned to me, because I see I have a telegram to him on the 15th June last, in which I telegraphed to him:

“Beauharnois Committee to meet at call of Chair probably Thursday morning.”

And then on the 17th June, I have a copy of a letter I wrote him:

“As instructed by you over the telephone yesterday morning, I attended the House of Commons and ascertained that the Beauharnois Committee would not meet until two o'clock in the afternoon . . . but it may be possible that the Committee will not meet until a later date.”

And, as I mentioned, “As instructed by you over the telephone,” he telephoned me and asked me for this information, when he was in Ottawa.

Q. Then the only instructions you had to attend before the Committee were from Mr. Bayly?

A. Yes.

Q. And he represented the Ontario Government?

A. The only instructions I had were in this wire, and the telephone conversation.

Q. And you had no instructions from the Hydro-Electric Commission?

A. None whatever.

Q. And all you were to do before the Committee was what Mr. Bayly mentioned in his telegram?

A. Yes.

Q. To watch the proceedings?

A. What is ordinarily known, as we all know, in our profession, as a watching brief. I think that describes it.

A. And just what—you have probably answered this—matters were particularly called to your attention to watch for?

A. No matters, Mr. Sinclair, except as I have already said; Mr. Bayly told me that the only way the Province could be interested was that there might be some discussion as to the rights of the Province and the Dominion with respect to water powers on the St. Lawrence.

Q. The old question of the ownership, I suppose, of the water power?

A. Yes, the old question that was decided unsatisfactorily by the Supreme Court.

Q. You, of course, were not asked to produce witnesses?

A. No.

Q. Or to cross-examine witnesses?

A. No.

Q. And what were you to do with the evidence or anything which might come up at the Committee?

A. As the telegram states, I was asked to keep the Department in touch. As a matter of fact, I sent the evidence from day to day as it was printed, and I telephoned to Toronto several times.

Q. And always reported to the Attorney-General's office?

A. Yes. Mr. Bayly was in England at the time; I reported to the Attorney-General.

Q. And was any member of the Government or representative of the Government conferring with you during the inquiry?

A. That is of this Government?

Q. Yes.

A. No, except that I did telephone to the Attorney-General himself on several occasions.

Q. Well, had anything come up affecting the water rights?

A. Yes. The only thing that came up and the only way that I saw, using my own discretion in the matter, that affected the Province, although indirectly, was the Hydro-Electric contract.

Q. And you attended the Committee throughout its sittings?

A. I did.

Q. And rendered your bill, which was paid and appears as \$712.15.

A. My bill was not paid, but that amount was paid. I received that much.

Q. And the Government did not recognize your services to the extent that you recognized your own services?

A. No.

MR. FINLAYSON: That is not uncommon, is it?

A. I find not, when dealing with Governments.

MR. NIXON: Especially economical Governments?

A. Yes.

MR. SINCLAIR: That is what we find around here.

Q. Now, on the 17th day of July, you were before the Committee—that was one sittings of the Committee?

A. Yes.

Q. And your name appears there in the reported evidence?

A. I do not think that is on the 17th, Mr. Sinclair—it may be; I thought that was later.

Q. No, on Friday, July 17th.

A. Well, then, that would be right.

Q. At the opening of that sittings Mr. Swezey was called?

A. That may be. I have not looked at those reports for some time.

Q. At the top of page 820, "Robert Oliver Swezey, Recalled" it says.

A. No doubt that is right.

Q. And that morning evidence was being given about political contributions?

A. I do not recall it; but if the report says so, it is no doubt the fact. I mean I do not recall it as to the date, but there was a good deal of evidence about that.

Q. At page 820, dealing with these political contributions, the Committee had just started that morning?

A. That is right.

Q. And some question arose about provincial contributions at that sittings—do you recall that?

A. Yes.

Q. And the Chairman, at that point, asked for Mr. Cannon:

"THE CHAIRMAN: Is Mr. Cannon here?"

A. Mr. Cannon was representing the Province of Quebec.

Q. The other day we heard it stated it was Mr. Geoffrion.

A. No, it was the Honourable Mr. Cannon, the Ex-Solicitor-General. Mr. Geoffrin was one of the chief Beauharnois men.

Q. Mr. Starr was acting for Senator McDougald?

A. Yes.

Q. And Mr. Starr at that point announced that you were there?

A. He did.

Q. Well, do you know why you were announced at that particular point?

A. I have not any idea why he said that, except I think there was some question as to whether the Provinces were represented. Mr. Cannon being the representative of Quebec, had been taking a very active part in the discussion, as I remember.

Q. Probably we had better read it. It is only about half or three-quarters of a page down to that point—

MR. NIXON: May I ask in regard to one point. Had you never presented your credentials down to that time?

A. No, I had not.

MR. SINCLAIR: At page 820 of the report of the Special Committee:

“ROBERT OLIVER SWEEZEY, recalled.

“By MR. WHITE:

“Q. You are already sworn, Mr. Sweezy?

“A. Yes, sir.

“Q. We have now arrived at a stage of these proceedings when I wish to keep up with you the question of contributions of campaign funds.

“A. Yes, sir.

“Q. And I would like you to tell the Committee what contributions you and your associates in the Beauharnois project, so far as your knowledge extends, have made.

“A. Well, contributions were made by myself personally, and to some extent by the Company. Those made by the Company I would like to refer you to Mr. Griffith who could be accurate in the thing. Contributions by myself were made somewhat in a confused way. I cannot tell you, probably never could tell precisely the amounts that I have contributed.

“Q. Yes?

"A. We have contributed to several parties.

"Q. I am only referring now, you understand, to Federal contributions?

"A. I understand.

"MR. JACOBS: Why do you restrict it, Mr. White?

"HON. MR. MACKENZIE: Get them all out.

"MR. WHITE: I do not know whether we are concerned with provincial contributions. If what is bothering my friend is the question of any Ontario contributions I shall be very glad to open that up to the fullest extent.

"MR. JACOBS: Why do you want to open it up in Ontario any more than any other place?

"MR. WHITE: I am not suggesting that. As you know, Mr. Chairman, there has been a very considerable objection here to any interference or inquiry into matters affecting the Quebec Legislature. So far as I am concerned, I am perfectly agreeable to that objection being given effect to. I am entirely in the hands of the Committee, and it is only because of the objections that have been made here from time to time by counsel representing the Province of Quebec that I have taken that view.

"HON. MR. MACKENZIE: If we are going to have anything about campaign funds I think we should have all campaign funds and from every source.

"MR. WHITE: That suits me. Of course, it is quite open to any member of the Committee, I assume, to ask any questions in respect to the subject matter of campaign funds, and I was following what to me appeared to be the proper course and, of course, as counsel for the Committee I am always subject to the direction of the Committee.

"MR. LENNOX: I think the public perhaps will be more interested in campaign funds than they will in the amounts paid to the individuals. I am with Mr. Mackenzie, open it up, go to it.

"THE CHAIRMAN: I got the impression, rightly or wrongly, that we did not have any campaign funds.

"MR. JACOBS: Do you claim, Mr. Chairman, that they were abolished by Order-in-Council or by Act of Parliament?

"THE CHAIRMAN: Is Mr. Cannon here?"

Now that was part of the proceedings before the Committee on the morning of Friday, the 17th July; and, discussing campaign funds, it got down to the point where the Chairman asked, "Is Mr. Cannon here?"—the solicitor acting for the Province of Quebec; and at that time, Mr. Starr, who was acting for Senator McDougald, said: "Mr. Hogg is here representing the Province of Ontario."

A. Yes.

Q. That was the first introduction you had to the Committee?

A. Yes, openly.

Q. You had not announced yourself as representing the Province of Ontario and you were not placed on the record?

A. No, I was not on the record.

Q. Do you know why your name would be announced at that time as representing the Province of Ontario?

A. Yes, because it was generally known—I do not know how—that I was there watching matters on behalf of the Province of Ontario; and I suppose Mr. Starr heard it.

Q. But at that time there were not much of waterways being discussed; it was other ways?

A. There was no other reason for his announcing me, that I know of, excepting—

MR. FINLAYSON: Are you suggesting that there was collusion with Senator McDougald?

MR. SINCLAIR: No, I was wondering why Mr. Starr announced Mr. Hogg's presence acting for the Province.

A. He never told me that. I do not know why he did.

Q. Then this took place regarding yourself, following the other question:

“MR. STARR: Mr. Hogg is here representing the Province of Ontario.

“THE CHAIRMAN: His name is not on the record, how do you know that, Mr. Starr?

“MR. STARR: Mr. Hogg told me.

“THE CHAIRMAN: I think it would have been better if he had made himself known.

“HON. MR. MACKENZIE: I think so too.

“MR. JACOBS: Is Mr. Hogg here?

“MR. HOGG: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I was instructed by the Deputy Attorney-General of Ontario just to watch these proceedings more on account of the point of contact through the power contract with the Beauharnois Company. I have had no instructions in any other respect.

"MR. WHITE: It will probably be desirable, in view of what has been said, if Mr. Hogg were asked briefly whether at this stage he desires to intervene.

"THE CHAIRMAN: Well, he would not intervene under his instructions. He was sent here apparently by the Deputy Attorney-General of the Province of Ontario to watch these proceedings from the standpoint of the Hydro-Electric contract, what bearing it might have on that.

"MR. HOGG: Yes, Mr. Chairman.

"THE CHAIRMAN: Those are your instructions?

"MR. HOGG: Those are my instructions, but if some other point affecting the Province is liable to be taken up I would ask the opportunity to consult my principals.

"THE CHAIRMAN: Well, the fullest opportunity will be given.

"MR. WHITE: I do not suppose the Deputy Attorney-General of Ontario is concerned with the subject of campaign funds.

"THE CHAIRMAN: Well, let us get on with this.

"MR. LENNOX: Yes, let us go ahead."

That is the only place at which you intervened at all or had anything to say before the Committee?

A. Yes, because of Mr. Starr's action.

Q. Now, you said before the Committee that you were instructed by the Deputy Attorney-General of Ontario just to watch these proceedings more on account of the point of contact through the power contract with the Beauharnois Company. Now, is that what you were there for?

A. No, it was not. I gathered—I think that was my own notion of the matter—that the only point of contact there was in this proceeding was what I have already said, the matter of water powers and the fact that there was a contract with the Hydro-Electric.

Q. But you repeated it again, Mr. Hogg.

A. Yes.

Q. But the Chairman said, "Well, he would not intervene under his instructions. He was sent here apparently by the Deputy Attorney-General of the Province of Ontario to watch these proceedings from the standpoint of the Hydro-Electric contract, what bearing it might have on that." And the report proceeds:

"MR. HOGG: Yes, Mr. Chairman."

Now you have told us this morning that you were there in regard to the old disputed question of the ownership of the water powers?

A. Yes.

Q. And you told the Committee that your instructions from the Deputy Attorney-General were in relation to the Beauharnois contract with the Hydro-Electric?

A. Well, when I telephoned Mr. Bayly first, after I got this telegram, he said that was the matter of the question of water power. And I think I suggested to him, then the only way that the Province is related to this in any concrete way would be the Hydro-Electric contract? And he said he supposed it would be indirectly. But I got no definite instructions.

Q. How would the ownership of the water powers be affecting the Hydro-Electric contract?

A. Well, I do not suppose it would be directly affecting the Hydro-Electric contract excepting that the Hydro-Electric contract would be the only point of contact between this Beauharnois discussion and Ontario, at all.

Q. You had no instructions from the Hydro at all?

A. No, I had not.

Q. And your instructions from the Deputy Attorney-General were in regard to water powers?

A. Water powers, and, I think I suggested to the Deputy Attorney-General that I supposed the only concrete point of contact would be the arrangement with the Hydro, because there was nothing else.

Q. When you said before the Committee at Ottawa:

“I was instructed by the Deputy Attorney-General of Ontario just to watch these proceedings more on account of the point of contact through the power contract with the Beauharnois Company,”

just what were you to watch there?

A. Well, that was perhaps from hearing certain discussion about this Hydro contract. I may have stretched my instructions; because I was not instructed to watch the Hydro-Electric contract except in the way I have said, as part of the general power situation.

Q. So that in your stated position really before the Ottawa Committee you did not accurately state what you were there representing?

A. No, I did not accurately state that.

Q. And you know of no point of contact with the Beauharnois Company that needed watching from the standpoint of Ontario?

A. No, except that there was that point of contact, and the general situation with reference to the water power on the St. Lawrence.

Q. But this water power, of course, was entirely in the Province of Quebec?

A. It was.

Q. The Beauharnois?

A. It happened to be.

Q. It was not an international water power?

A. No, but there was a great deal of discussion at the Committee as to the effect on the rest of the river bed, as to the international aspect of it.

Q. What I mean is that the water power in question at Beauharnois was situated entirely within the boundaries of the Province of Quebec?

A. Physically, it was. It drew water from the whole of the river.

Q. But the question of water powers as between the Provinces and the Dominion could not be affected by water powers wholly within the Province of Quebec?

A. Well, this being an international river, it did come into a great deal of discussion. That was one of the reasons.

Q. It is not international at the Beauharnois, I state?

A. No, except that the effect of the Beauharnois project is reflected on the whole river. They were taking a tremendous lot of water there.

Q. How could it be affected, when it was wholly in Quebec?

A. Well, Mr. Sinclair, for days and days the engineers discussed the effect on the whole river, and as to its effect on the international section.

Q. But there was nothing there affecting the Province of Ontario, in this discussion?

A. In the general discussion?

Q. Yes.

A. Excepting that it affected the Province, because it affected the whole river; that is, that part of the river which ran entirely through Ontario. For instance, there was a discussion as to whether this would affect the construction of power on the other parts of the river, this taking this tremendous amount of

water through the Beauharnois—they were trying to get a great deal more water than they actually got.

Q. Well, how did you come to tell the Committee that your instructions were with relation to the point of contact through the power contract with the Beauharnois Company?

A. As I say, that was not altogether accurate on my instructions. I thought during the discussion that was the only point of contact that the Province had, other than the wider one of the rights.

Q. But you made no mention to the Beauharnois Committee about water rights at all?

A. I think probably what influenced my mind was that there was considerable discussion before this about the Hydro-Electric contract. That influenced my mind.

Q. But before you went down, or at any time there, you really had no instructions at all about the Beauharnois contract for the purchase of power by the Hydro-Electric Commission?

A. No.

Q. There was no question at issue, so far as you were concerned, in regard to that?

A. No.

Q. And you have no explanation as to why Mr. Starr introduced you to the Committee just when they were discussing campaign funds?

A. None that he ever gave me, or none that I ever heard.

Q. He was not putting over a fast one on you, was he?

A. I think perhaps he was trying to get from under and get anybody else in that he could; but he did not get me in that way or any other way, because I knew nothing about it. Mr. Starr is an old friend of mine. I do not know why he did that.

MR. NIXON: Is he the same Mr. Starr who appeared in correspondence some few years ago about party funds?

A. He is an old solicitor in Toronto, and was a partner of Mr. Justice Masten.

Q. Don't you recall that letter, that Mr. Ferguson was astounded at Mr. Starr's activities in connection with campaign funds?

MR. SINCLAIR: And that they had to send him back.

MR. FINLAYSON: Did you return the \$700,000?

MR. SINCLAIR: I do not know.

MR. FINLAYSON: I think you were still in the valley with it.

MR. SINCLAIR: Oh no.

MR. NIXON: He could not be in the valley with that much money!

MR. FINLAYSON: Not if you are not caught.

MR. SINCLAIR: I do not think there is anything else, just now.

By MR. NIXON:

Q. On page 4 of the evidence, the last day, Mr. Bayly said:

“After some discussion I telephoned Mr. Hogg, who is our Ottawa agent, the Attorney-General's office has an Ottawa agent,”—

What does that mean?

A. I have been their agent there for many years in connection with routine legal work, mostly in the Supreme Court of Canada, in connection with appeals.

Q. Do you have an annual retainer?

A. Oh no. I just send in my modest bills from time to time.

Q. About what would your average fees be in connection with the Ontario Government?

A. Not very much. It depends almost entirely upon whether the Ontario Government are interested in many appeals in the Supreme Court of Canada. If they are not, my bills are very small; if they are interested in many, my bills are larger.

Q. Are your bills taxed and reduced?

A. No, but this bill was reduced; they are very reasonable bills.

Q. No doubt they are when one considers the character of the services rendered.

A. Yes.

By MR. FINLAYSON:

Q. Mr. Hogg, an Ottawa agent is a well recognized position, is it not?

A. Oh yes, the Government of Ontario always has an agent there, and so do all the Provinces.

Q. All the Provinces have that?

A. Yes.

Q. And every firm of solicitors who have business have an agent there, have they not?

A. Yes.

Q. So that the agent's duty is to do not only work in the Supreme Court, but Assize Court work and agency work for the Department?

A. Quite so.

Q. Just the way you would act for any other solicitor?

A. Just the same as I would act for any other principal.

Q. For any other practising solicitor?

A. Yes.

Q. That is, the Attorney-General's Department is a law department practising in the same manner as a solicitor for any other corporation?

A. Yes.

Q. And when they have work at Ottawa, you do it on an agency basis?

A. Yes.

Q. And if they have not any work?

A. I do not know anything about it.

Q. You have no retainer?

A. No.

Q. A watching brief is a very common thing?

A. It is very common indeed.

Q. It simply means that you were there to protect the interests of your principal?

A. If anything arises.

Q. And then your duty is to report?

A. Yes.

Q. And the principal takes whatever action he thinks is necessary?

A. Yes.

Q. The question of water powers on an interprovincial or international river has been the matter of a great deal of litigation?

A. Yes, and litigation which has not been at all satisfactorily settled yet.

Q. The reference to the Supreme Court practically decided nothing?

A. Yes.

Q. And there are matters not only of possible litigation but of Parliamentary action?

A. Oh yes.

Q. And that is probably what is taking place?

A. Yes.

Q. It has been transferred from being a legal matter?

A. To a matter of diplomacy and negotiation.

Q. And it was in connection with that that you were to advise the Department of the Attorney-General?

A. If anything arose in regard to it.

Q. And you told Mr. Sinclair that your instructions came from Mr. Bayly alone?

A. Yes.

Q. And you had nothing to do with the Attorney-General?

A. No.

Q. And you had nothing, I understand, to do with the Hydro-Electric Power Commission?

A. Nothing whatever. When I say I had nothing to do with the Attorney-General, I reported to him once or twice, reporting the proceedings, as I told Mr. Bayly I would do, as Mr. Bayly had gone to the Old Country.

Q. So what you did was an ordinary matter of a watching brief?

A. That is it, sir. It is a very common matter in our profession.

Q. And in this particular thing, nothing arose?

A. Nothing whatever.

MR. SINCLAIR: That is all I have.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Hogarth.

GEORGE HOGARTH, recalled. Examined by MR. NIXON:

Q. You may remember, Mr. Hogarth, that the last day there were one or two matters left which you undertook to bring before the Committee. On page 21, for instance, in discussing the amount of the tender that Jackson-Lewis had on the main building, I said:

“Q. Do you recall offhand what the amount of the tender was?”

“A. I do not.

“Q. Would you have that information for the next meeting?”

“A. Yes.”

Now, have you that information?

A. Yes.

Q. What is the amount?

A. The amount of the Jackson-Lewis tender was \$595,000.

Q. That was for the contract on the main residence and Administration Building?

A. That was for sections Nos. 1 and 2, and for the Power House.

Q. And there are three sections altogether?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you advertise the third section separately at the beginning?

A. Yes.

Q. And did any other contractors submit tenders?

A. Yes, all of them did.

EXHIBIT No. 8: Statement:

“The amount of Messrs. Jackson-Lewis’ original tender for the construction of sections 1 and 2 and the power house at the Ontario Agricultural College, Guelph, was \$595,000.00.”

Q. All the same bunch?

A. Yes, I have the tenders here, if you wish to see them.

MR. NIXON: Mr. Chairman, I would suggest that they might be filed as exhibits, and I will examine them.

MR. FINLAYSON: Have you a printed list of them? We do not want to leave the files here.

A. No, but I can give you an abstract.

MR. NIXON: That will do all right.

WITNESS: Shall I read them?

Q. Yes. This is on Sections 1 and 2?

A. Sections 1, 2 and 3. We advertised Sections 1, 2 and 3 and the boiler house as one contract. The tenders were on, as I say, Sections 1, 2 and 3, and the boiler house, and they are as follows:

Jackson-Lewis.....	\$711,000
Wilde & Brydon, Ltd....	764,457
Cox Construction Company.....	800,400
Stewart Bros. of Hamilton.....	808,222
Canadian Engineering & Construction Co., Hamilton	834,790
Dickie Construction Co., Toronto.....	851,000

Six tenders were received in all.

Q. Then, you say, Sections 1 and 2 were let and completed on a separate tender. What arrangement was made in that matter, then?

A. Jackson-Lewis were asked, If you do not build Section 3 now, how much will you take off your tender? And they said \$120,000.

Q. But you did not get in touch with the other contractors?

A. No, because Jackson-Lewis were the low tenderers on the work as advertised.

Q. Now, could you tell me how much you actually paid to Jackson-Lewis for the work on which their tender was \$591,000, or \$120,000 less than the \$711,000?

A. \$595,000 is the amount of the tender.

Q. You said \$711,000 was the amount of their first tender?

A. Of the original tender, yes.

Q. Then, when you asked them how much they would knock off of that for Section 3, if Section 3 were not completed, you said \$120,000?

A. They said \$120,000.

Q. That leaves \$591,000?

A. Jackson-Lewis in the original tender said that their tender was \$715,000. Next they added on the tender, if we gave them all the work, Sections 1, 2 and 3, and the boiler house, they would knock off \$4,000; but on account of not giving them Section 3, the \$4,000 deduction did not apply.

Q. So, then, their actual tender on the two sections and the power house, was it, or a boiler house?

A. Boiler house.

Q. Was \$595,000?

A. Right.

Q. Now, how much did you actually pay them on the completion of that work?

A. We paid them \$662,013.27.

Q. That is \$77,000 in extras then?

MR. FINLAYSON: Additional contracts and extras?

A. Yes.

MR. NIXON: Well, had the experience of Jackson-Lewis with the Department been of such a nature that they could count on that much extras in a contract?

A. What was that question, Mr. Nixon?

Q. I asked, Was the experience of Jackson-Lewis with the Department of such a nature that they could count on that much extras in a contract? Had you had that much extras, for instance, in the East Block?

A. No. I could not say whether Jackson-Lewis figured on these extras or not, because the biggest portion of this extra involves the completion of what was called the garret space, that in the original plans was not to be completed, but which, at the time the tenders came in, was practically decided upon to be completed on account of the extra space it gave us for students.

Q. And Jackson-Lewis had that information at the time they tendered?

A. No, nobody had that information at the time they tendered, and

nobody had it until some weeks after the tenders were all received, when in discussing the matter with the Premier he instructed me as to what to do.

Q. But it would be a fair statement to say that had those others who tendered known that there was to be this amount in extras, it might have affected the amounts of their tenders?

A. Nobody had any assurance that this work was going to be done. We advertised the building, or advertised sections of the building, so that we could do as much work as we wished to do.

Q. But the fact remains that on Sections 1 and 2 and the boiler house there was a sum of \$77,000 paid to Jackson-Lewis in excess of the amount of the tender?

A. Yes.

MR. FINLAYSON: That is for additional contracts and in extras?

A. It is actually an additional contract, because it involved quite a bit of work, at scheduled prices that were in the original contract.

Q. I mean it is not only extras, but it is an additional contract altogether?

A. Totally additional work. Not extras in the true sense of that word at all.

Q. Have you got the extras in addition to the contract?

A. Yes, sir. What might be called additional contracts make up \$48,643.20.

Q. And that would leave the extras what?

MR. NIXON: About \$20,000.

A. Much less than that.

Q. \$48,000 from \$77,000?

A. About \$18,370.07.

Q. You have \$77,000 and \$48,000 in round numbers. Yes, you are right. All right.

Q. Of course this extra contract was not advertised again, but it was just let to Jackson-Lewis?

A. No, because there were scheduled prices in the original contract which applied to all the items.

MR. FINLAYSON: That was on a unit basis?

A. In the schedule, yes.

MR. NIXON: You are going to file the statement here?

A. I can file it, certainly.

EXHIBIT NO. 9: Statement:

“JACKSON-LEWIS COMPANY, LIMITED

	Power House	Sections 1 and 2	Section 3
Main contract.....	\$66,000.00	\$529,000.00	\$120,000.00
	<hr/>		
	\$595,000.00		
Allowance if received total contract...			4,000.00
			<hr/>
			\$116,000.00
Additional allowance when accepted...			5,932.00
			<hr/>
Contract, Section 3.....			\$110,068.00
Additional contracts.....	\$4,701.20	\$43,942.00	9,809.00
Extra.....	793.52	17,576.55	6,643.77
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
	\$71,494.72	\$590,518.55	\$126,520.77
		71,494.72	
		<hr/>	
		\$662,013.27”	
		<hr/>	

MR. FINLAYSON: It is in pencil and it will have to be typewritten.

MR. NIXON: Then there was the further question, on page 22:

“Q. Could you tell us then what has been the total cost of the building proper up to date?

“A. I had not noticed to bring that to-day, but I will be glad to bring it again.”

MR. FINLAYSON: What line?

MR. NIXON: Line 22.

Now, these figures would cover that, with the exception of the furnishings, of course?

A. No, because there are many other contracts. The Jackson-Lewis is not the only contract.

Q. You had other contracts for the heating, had you?

A. Yes, there are a number of other contracts, Mr. Nixon.

Q. Have you those separated?

A. Yes.

Q. Would you mind reading those, please?

A. The brick and tile for the building, \$27,941.01—

EXHIBIT No. 10: Statement:

“ADMINISTRATION BUILDING AND STUDENTS RESIDENCE

Sections 1, 2 and 3

	Paid to Date
Jackson-Lewis..... General contract.....	\$583,404.73
	Brick and tile..... 27,941.01
Battler & Freiburger..... Plumbing and heating....	67,083.57
Bennett & Wright..... Electric wiring.....	14,786.30
Harkness & Hertzberg..... Engineering services.....	2,656.10
Otis Fensom..... Elevator and dumbwaiter.	7,768.00
Advertising..... Re tenders.....	543.57
C. B. Medley..... Clerk of Works.....	3,741.86
Bond Hardware..... Hardware.....	8,271.07
	<hr/> \$716,196.21
Lowering road, rear of building.....	3,524.09
Grading in front of building.....	999.99
	<hr/> \$720,720.29
Linoleum.....	15,047.75
Furniture and furnishings.....	43,913.45
Switchboard.....	1,500.00
Cafeteria equipment.....	9,932.26
	<hr/> \$791,113.75
Sections 1 and 2.....	100,023.93
Section 3.....	<hr/> \$891,137.68”

There is some day labour work, which we did ourselves, grading around the building, shown in there, totalling about \$4,524.

Q. And were any of these contracts advertised and tenders invited, or were private arrangements made with the firms?

A. I think in every case advertisements were inserted, where we could get competitive prices. Where there were only two or three firms in the business, we simply send out the inquiry and get tenders. The linoleum, for instance was advertised. Practically everything was advertised for tenders.

Q. Then there was another question, on page 23, at line 19. I asked:

“Q. Have you any idea how this new building with the furnishings

would work on a per pupil basis or room basis?" as compared with similar institutions?

A. Did you ask me to file the cost to date of the Administration Building?

Q. Yes.

A. (Produced.)

EXHIBIT No. 11:

"The total cost of the Administration Building at Ontario Agricultural College, Guelph, to March 21st, 1932, is \$891,137.68."

Then you are asking about the cost per pupil of the dormitories in the new Administration Building at the Ontario Agricultural College, Guelph, which was \$1,875 per pupil.

EXHIBIT No. 12:

"The cost of the dormitories in the new Administration Building at Ontario Agricultural College, Guelph, was \$1,875.00 per pupil."

Q. That is in the way of capital expenditure?

A. Yes.

Q. You have no knowledge as to how that would compare with similar institutions?

A. Yes. You asked for that and I have it here.

We have taken off the cost per occupant of what we considered were similar buildings recently constructed.

EXHIBIT No. 13: Statement:

"The cost per pupil of the Dormitory Section of the Administration Building at Ontario Agricultural College, Guelph, is.. \$1,875

The cost per occupant of similar buildings recently constructed is as follows:

- | | |
|--|---------|
| 1. Mills Hall, Men's Dormitory, Ontario Agricultural College, Guelph..... | \$1,580 |
| 2. Nurses' Home, Ontario Hospital, Orillia..... | 1,685 |
| 3. Nurses' Home, Ontario Hospital, Mimico..... | 1,715 |
| 4. Graesser House, Girls' Dormitory, Ontario Agricultural College, Guelph..... | 1,784." |

Q. You have deducted from that what you would consider the cost of the Administration proportion?

A. Yes, we did, because this is not only built for dormitory purposes, but it is the administration centre of the College, and it contains many other offices.

MR. FINLAYSON: Those were all competitive contracts, which were comparatively recently erected?

A. Yes.

MR. NIXON: Some of them, I presume, by the same contractor, if not all of them?

A. Oh no. The Graesser Building was; but the others were all different contractors. But they were all under competitive tenders. The tenders are here.

Q. Who built the Mills Hall?

A. I do not remember. That was some years ago.

Q. Then Mr. Hipel asked some questions, and he asked me to explain to the Committee that he had to attend a funeral to-day and therefore could not follow this up. At page 24, Mr. Hipel had asked just what the contract did include. That has been pretty fully covered by what you have already filed, Mr. Hogarth. Mr. Hipel asked:

“Q. In connection with the letting of the contract for that Main Building . . . Was it the completed building, or the furnishings entirely separate?”

I think that has been pretty fully answered already.

A. Yes. Then there is the next line on page 24, where you wanted something, at line 30 or 31.

Q. Mr. Sinclair asked: “There is a contract here for Goldie-McCullough.” That is in connection with the heating?

A. That is the boiler room equipment.

Q. That was a separate contract entirely?

A. That was a separate contract, yes.

Q. And what did that amount to?

A. Goldie & McCullough's contract was \$48,948; and we actually paid them off at \$49,711.15. There were a few small extras there.

Q. Were they the only firm in a position to bid on that?

A. No, there were other tenders.

Q. Now, on page 25, we have another question from Mr. Hipel: "What amount were they paid for extras?"

A. I said "I would bring that."

Q. And you have already filed that.

A. Yes.

Q. And at the bottom of the page, we have been referring to the cost of furnishing the President's house some three years ago, and I say:

"You have no idea what the cost of that was three years ago?"

"A. No, but I could ascertain it for you."

Q. Have you that?

A. Yes.

Q. What was that?

A. (Statement produced.)

EXHIBIT NO. 14:

"The house provided for the President of Ontario Agricultural College was furnished throughout by the President at his expense."

Q. So you did not furnish that then?

A. No.

MR. FINLAYSON: The confusion arose because they had furnished one formerly.

MR. NIXON: Who had formerly occupied that house? Was that the one that Wade Toole occupied?

A. I cannot give you that.

Q. Anyway, there was not any Government furniture in there?

A. There is no Government furniture in the President's house.

Q. On page 27 we were dealing with the matter of the guest rooms, and you detailed the furniture that was put in there, but you did not mention the two bathrooms in connection with them. Was that part of the original contract?

A. It was part of the plumbing and heating contract, yes.

MR. FINLAYSON: The furniture in a bathroom is limited.

MR. NIXON: But sometimes it is quite expensive, so they tell me.

Q. And the cost, then, as you have it set out, of furnishing the public parlor, now called the Reception Room, was \$2,873?

A. Yes.

Q. You have checked over the vouchers and find that to be the actual fact?

A. Yes.

Q. And this rug that we were mentioning is in fact two rugs?

A. Yes.

Q. There are two rugs in that room?

A. Yes.

Q. At a total cost of \$840?

A. There are two rugs, a large rug and a small rug. The room is 26 feet 3 inches by 33 feet 8½ inches. There is a small rug in the bay window, and then the other rug covers the whole of the floor except the border; there is a border around the room.

MEMBER: There is no truth in the statement that there was a thousand dollar rug in there?

A. Oh no.

MR. FINLAYSON: And this amount was for two rugs.

MR. E. C. GRAVES: If you have a big room you have to have large rugs. The size of room is what determines it.

MR. NIXON: Who was that money paid to?

MR. SINCLAIR: Get a rag carpet.

MR. NIXON: When I was there we thought a bare floor was good enough for that room.

A. The large rug was bought from the Robert Simpson Company.

Q. Then on page 29, you were going to file with us the complete cost of the Horticultural Building.

A. (Statement produced.)

EXHIBIT No. 15:

"The cost of the Horticultural Building was \$187,546.68."

Q. That was let by tender?

A. Competitive tendering, yes.

Q. Who got that contract?

A. E. P. Muntz, Limited, Toronto, were the contractors for that building.

Q. Did Jackson-Lewis tender on that building?

A. No, Jackson-Lewis did not tender on that building.

MR. FINLAYSON: I suppose Mr. Nixon thinks that is the only reason they did not get the contract.

MR. NIXON: There are others who think so.

MR. FINLAYSON: They did not get very much. They did not get your Museum.

MR. NIXON: The Piggotts of Hamilton got that.

Q. Then the cost of the greenhouses.

A. \$90,799.98. (Statement filed.)

EXHIBIT No. 16:

“The cost of the greenhouses at Ontario Agricultural College, Guelph, was \$90,799.98.”

Q. Who got that contract?

A. Jackson-Lewis had the general trades contract at \$19,523; and the King Construction had the superstructure of the greenhouses at \$40,138.

Q. And the balance, which is considerable, was that put in by the contractors or by separate contracts?

A. In addition to those two contracts, the plumbing and heating in the greenhouse cost \$17,601.05; and the electrical contract \$1,976.

MR. GRAVES: Who got the plumbing?

A. The plumbing was by Sheppard & Abbott, of Toronto.

Q. Three contracts then were let in Toronto, that is the boiler for the heating—the three contractors interested were from Toronto?

A. Yes. The R. E. Christie Electric Company was a Guelph company, and they did the electrical work.

MR. NIXON: Then at page 30, line 19.

WITNESS: You asked another one on page 29, about the railway siding.

Q. Mr. Hipel asked for the vouchers to be filed for the railway siding.

A. I have the vouchers here, but I would rather give you abstracts. These are the originals.

Q. Yes. What does that total up?

A. I was asked particularly for the Hydro-Electric end of that work, and that is here. It is about \$9,420.05. That was what we paid them for their portion of the work.

Q. And what was the total cost of the siding?

A. \$14,701.05. (Statement produced.)

EXHIBIT No. 17:

"The cost of the railway siding off the Guelph Street Railway tracks was \$14,701.05."

Q. Then we came to the new dairy barn, at line 24, page 30.

A. (Statement produced.)

EXHIBIT No. 18:

"The cost of the new dairy barn was \$11,931.55."

Q. And tenders were asked for on that—advertised for?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And who got that contract?

A. There were several. The concrete work for the foundation was by the McArthur Engineering Company, of Guelph; the frame of the building was by the Eastern Steel Products, Limited, of Preston; and Beatty Brothers of Fergus had the stable fittings for the barn.

Q. Then, on page 31.

A. You asked about the Graesser House also, on page 30.

Q. Yes, have you got that total?

A. Yes. (Statement produced.)

EXHIBIT No. 19:

“The cost of the Graesser House or Girls’ Residence at Ontario Agricultural College, Guelph, was \$86,375.31.

Fire insurance received for the loss of the old Graesser House amounted to \$6,100.00, making the net cost of the Graesser House \$80,275.31.”

There is a reduction of \$6,100 there, because just about the time of the construction of the new building the old building took fire and was a total loss, and we got \$6,100 fire insurance; and it was on account of the old building burning up that we enlarged the Graesser House a little, using the fire insurance for the additional work, so that the cost for that is lessened by the amount of insurance which was deducted, leaving it \$80,275.31.

Q. That is all complete now, is it?

A. Complete and occupied, yes.

Q. And tenders were advertised for that?

A. Yes.

Q. Who was the successful tenderer?

A. Jackson-Lewis.

Q. And what was his tender?

A. \$50,800.

Q. And how much was actually paid to Jackson-Lewis in connection with that house, do you know?

A. Jackson-Lewis were paid off in full at \$58,725.62, the majority of the excess being that addition to the building of which I spoke, on account of the fire.

Q. Then you were going to file the cost of the Central Heating Plant. You have filed the cost of the Graesser House, have you?

A. Yes. There is a question on page 31, line 5, as to the dormitory accommodation. You asked if there were two girls in a room, and the answer is that the dormitory accommodation at the Graesser House is for two students to a room. (Statement produced.)

EXHIBIT No. 20:

“At the Graesser House or Girls’ Dormitory, Ontario Agricultural College, Guelph, each room provides for dormitory accommodation for two students.”

Q. Then the Central Heating Plant was the next matter, was it not?

A. (Statement produced.)

EXHIBIT No. 21:

“The cost of the new Central Heating Plant building at Ontario Agricultural College, Guelph, with boilers and equipment installed and ready to operate was \$141,298.17.”

Q. Who had the main contract on that, for the building?

A. That was part of the Jackson-Lewis original contract.

Q. Did that Central Heating Plant contract include the cost of the ditch to carry the heating to the other buildings?

A. A portion of the tunnels—just the section from the Heating Plant to over in front of the main building.

Q. Who did the rest of that work?

A. The McArthur Engineering Company of Guelph.

Q. That is not finished yet?

A. No. We also did a lot of that work by day labour. We put day labour gangs on there to give relief in Guelph.

Q. Some of those trenches were not left in very good shape in the winter, were they?

A. No, we did that work regardless of weather conditions, in order to give the work, and they did cave.

Q. They are not completed yet?

A. No, there is still a section to build across the Provincial Highway, over to the west side of the road.

Q. And you have filed the cost of the heating plant?

A. Yes.

Q. What about the Power House, was that a separate affair?

A. That is all one, to centrally heat the buildings.

Q. A power house?

A. It is just a boiler house. We do not generate power there as such.

Q. Have you no transformers?

A. We have a transformer building where our electrical current comes in and is stepped down for use in the institution.

Q. I notice in the Public Accounts you had a bill for advertising of a new sheep barn. Did you go ahead and build that?

A. No, that was altered into a dairy barn, and that is the dairy barn which you have the figures on here.

Q. This covers pretty largely the activities of your Department at Guelph in the last two years?

A. Yes.

Q. There is nothing else that you know of?

A. You did not mention the distributing system, with the pipe lines, tunnels, etc.

Q. Have you that information?

A. Yes. (Statement produced.)

EXHIBIT No. 22:

"The cost of the distributing system at Ontario Agricultural College, Guelph, with pipe lines, cables and conduits installed complete is \$95,547.12.

"The estimated cost to complete is \$54,224.01.

"The total cost is estimated to be \$149,771.13."

Q. Then I presume you expect to practically wind up your activities at Guelph, as far as you know now, this current year?

A. For the present contracts, unless some other buildings are commenced.

HON. MR. NIXON: I think that is all I had in mind to ask.

By MR. FINLAYSON:

Q. Just one or two questions. These various contracts were all let by public tender?

A. Yes.

Q. Although this sounds a large item for central heating, it is a modern system?

A. Yes.

Q. And it has effected some economies here. Will you tell the Committee about that?

A. Mr. Chairman, I might say that when the East Block was first proposed a central heating system was to be installed in that building, on account of the savings that it would effect, because we had a number of buildings around the park here each with its individual heating system, and each with its own staff, and there is a lot of waste in handling heating plants of that nature.

Our central heating plant at the East Block has been in operation over a year. From it we heat the Parliament Buildings, the East Block, two garages, 15 Queen's Park, the Psychiatric Hospital and the Banting Institute.

MR. NIXON: Is that a coal-burning system?

A. Yes. And the actual saving on that Central Heating Plant per annum is nearly \$35,000 over what we were spending a few years ago.

HON. MR. FINLAYSON: So that the Guelph system is designed to effect the same saving?

A. Yes.

Q. Among the central heating plants, there is the University Heating Plant, just across the road?

A. Yes.

Q. And that has a greater distribution than any of the others?

A. Yes.

MR. NIXON: There was a sort of a central heating system at Guelph?

A. Yes, but that plant, with the new buildings, was not nearly sufficient to carry on under the new conditions.

MR. FINLAYSON: All right, Mr. Hogarth.

Is that the end of this lesson?

THE CHAIRMAN: I suppose that ends the labours of the Committee, and they will prepare their report.

MR. FINLAYSON: I move that the Committee adjourn *sine die*.

.

(The Public Accounts Committee adjourned *sine die*.)

INDEX

TO APPENDIX No. 1

MINUTES OF THE MEETINGS OF THE COMMITTEE ON FISH AND GAME
SESSION OF 1932

	PAGE
ANGLERS' Licenses, Mr. Lancaster (Grenville) <i>re</i>	7
Anglers, Ontario Federation of, <i>re</i> Fishing Regulations	4
B ASS, Season for Fishing—	
MR. J. A. TAYLOR <i>re</i>	4
MR. R. A. CARSCALLEN <i>re</i>	4
BATES, MR. M. V. (Metagama)—	
<i>Re</i> Bear Licenses	5
<i>Re</i> Moose Season	5
<i>Re</i> Spring Bear Hunting	5
Bear Hunting—	
MR. M. V. BATES (Metagama) <i>re</i>	5
Committee Disapprove of Spring Open Season for Non-residents	7
Bear Licenses—	
MR. M. V. BATES (Metagama) <i>re</i>	5
BELL, MR. T. H. (Bellwoods), <i>re</i> Use of Dogs in Hunting Deer	5-7
BURNS, MR. (Sault Ste. Marie), <i>re</i> Use of Dogs in Hunting Deer	5
C ARSCALLEN, R. A., <i>re</i> Season for Bass Fishing	4
Chairman, MR. ECCLESTONE elected as	3
Cold Storage Facilities for Commercial Fishermen, Government Assistance in Establishing—	
MR. TAYLOR <i>re</i>	4
MR. W. SIMPSON <i>re</i>	4
MR. BROCK MACAULEY <i>re</i>	4
DR. H. J. DAVIS (East Elgin) <i>re</i>	4
COLTER, MR. R. S. (Haldimand and Welland Hunters Association), <i>re</i> Use of Dogs in Hunting Deer	5
Commercial Fishermen—	
Government Assistance in Establishing Cold Storage Facilities for, Discussed	4
D AVIS, MR. ELMER (Kingston), <i>re</i> Use of Dogs in Hunting Deer	5
DAVIS, DR. H. J. (East Elgin) <i>re</i> Cold Storage Facilities for Commercial Fishermen	4
Deer Hunting Season—	
Partridge Season to Coincide with, Recommended by Committee	6
Deer, Use of Dogs in Hunting—	
MR. WILLIAM GASTLE (Hamilton) <i>re</i>	5

	PAGE
MR. C. B. TAYLOR (St. Thomas) <i>re</i>	5
MR. ELMER DAVIS (Kingston) <i>re</i>	5
MR. MCLEAN (Eglington) <i>re</i>	5, 7
MR. SAMUEL HARRIS (Toronto) <i>re</i>	5
MR. T. H. BELL (Bellwoods) <i>re</i>	5, 7
MR. MOODY (Kitchener) <i>re</i>	5
MR. WILLIAM ROBERTSON <i>re</i>	5
MR. WILLIAM LUCAS (London) <i>re</i>	5
MR. J. W. GRAVESTOCK (Peterborough) <i>re</i>	5
MR. R. S. COLTER (Haldimand and Welland Hunters Association) <i>re</i>	5
MR. JOHN PUTHERBOUGH (London) <i>re</i>	5
MR. BURNS (Sault Ste. Marie) <i>re</i>	5
MR. HUGHES (North Bay) <i>re</i>	5
MR. HIPEL (Waterloo South) <i>re</i>	6
MR. TAYLOR (North Grey) <i>re</i>	7
MR. HUTCHINSON (Kenora) <i>re</i>	7
Dogs, Use of in Hunting Deer, Discussed.....	5, 6, 7
Ducks, MR. GRIFFITHS (Burlington) <i>re</i> Decoys.....	5
ECCLESTONE, MR. , Elected as Chairman.....	3
ELLIOTT, MR. W. (Owen Sound)—	
<i>Re</i> Game Sanctuaries.....	5
<i>Re</i> Weasel Control.....	5
<i>Re</i> Vermin Bounty.....	5
<i>Re</i> Night Hunting.....	5
Essex County, Committee's Recommendation <i>re</i> Use of High-powered Rifles for Game Shooting in.....	6
Extension of Bass Fishing Season, Discussed.....	4
FIRE ARMS, MR. J. GRIFFITHS <i>re</i> Americans Importing Small Arms.....	5
Recommendation by Committee <i>re</i> High-powered Rifles in Essex County.....	9
MR. J. M. MOODY <i>re</i> Province-wide Gun Licenses.....	5
Committee's Recommendation <i>re</i> Province-wide Gun Licenses, left to Special Committee for Report.....	7
Fishing Regulations—	
MR. R. A. CARSCALLEN <i>re</i> Season for Bass Fishing.....	4
MR. J. A. TAYLOR <i>re</i> Season for Bass Fishing.....	4
DR. A. B. JAMES (Toronto), Recommendations Submitted.....	4
MR. JAMES SHEEDY, Addresses the Committee.....	4
MR. LANCASTER (Grenville) <i>re</i> Making Public Any Changes.....	7
Committee's Recommendation <i>re</i> Night Lines.....	6
Committee's Recommendation <i>re</i> Catch when Guide is Engaged.....	6
Committee's Recommendation <i>re</i> Purchase, Sale or Possession of Gill Nets.....	6
Committee's Recommendation <i>re</i> Open Season for Speckled Trout.....	6
Committee's Recommendation <i>re</i> Prohibiting Use of Spears.....	6

	PAGE
Frogs—	
Committee's Recommendation re Prohibiting Taking of.....	7
Furs—	
MR. TAYLOR <i>re</i> Royalty on Certain Furs Reared on Fur Farms.....	6
G AME SANCTUARIES—	
MR. W. ELLIOTT (Owen Sound) <i>re</i>	5
MR. J. GRIFFITHS (Burlington) <i>re</i>	5
MR. J. M. MOODY (Kitchener) <i>re</i>	5
GASTLE, MR. WILLIAM—	
<i>Re</i> Use of Dogs in Hunting Deer.....	5
GRAVESTOCK, MR. (Peterborough)—	
<i>Re</i> Closed Season for Rabbits.....	5
<i>Re</i> Use of Dogs in Hunting Deer.....	5
<i>Re</i> Open Season for Partridge.....	5
GREEN, MR. F. H. (Stratford)—	
<i>Re</i> Full-time Wardens.....	4
GRIFFITHS, MR. (Burlington)—	
<i>Re</i> Game Sanctuaries and Duck decoys.....	5
Gun Licenses, Province-wide—	
MR. J. M. MOODY <i>re</i>	5
Committee's Recommendation <i>re</i> , Left to Special Committee for Report.....	7
H ALDIMAND AND WELLAND HUNTERS ASSOCIATION—	
<i>Re</i> Use of Dogs in Hunting Deer.....	5
HARRIS, MR. SAMUEL (Toronto)—	
<i>Re</i> Use of Dogs in Hunting Deer.....	5
HIPPEL, MR. (Waterloo South)—	
Presents Petition <i>re</i> Retention of Dogs in Hunting Deer.....	6
HUGHES, MR. (North Bay Tourist Trade Association)—	
<i>Re</i> Use of Dogs in Hunting Deer.....	5
HUTCHINSON, MR. (Kenora)—	
<i>Re</i> Use of Dogs in Hunting Deer.....	7
J AMES, DR. A. B. (Toronto—	
<i>Re</i> Fishing Regulations.....	4
K ENT FISHING ASSOCIATION—	
<i>Re</i> Extension of Season for Bass Fishing.....	4
L AKE HURON AND GEORGIAN BAY FISHERMEN'S ASSOCIATION—	
<i>Re</i> Cold Storage Facilities for Commercial Fishermen.....	4
Lambton Fishing Association—	
<i>Re</i> Extension of Season for Bass Fishing.....	4
LANCASTER, MR. (Grenville)—	
<i>Re</i> Angling Licenses.....	6
<i>Re</i> Making Public any Changes in Fish and Game Laws.....	7

	PAGE
Licenses—	
Anglers', MR. LANCASTER <i>re</i>	6
Bear, MR. M. V. BATES (Metagama) <i>re</i>	5
Gill Nets, Recommendation of Committee <i>re</i> Sale, Purchase or Possession of.....	6
Gun, MR. J. M. MOODY <i>re</i>	5
LUCAS, INSPECTOR WILLIAM (London)—	
<i>Re</i> Use of Dogs in Hunting Deer.....	5
M CCREA, HON. MR.—	
Addresses the Committee.....	3
McLEAN, MR. (Eglington)—	
<i>Re</i> Use of Dogs in Hunting Deer.....	5, 7
M ACAULEY, MR. BROCK (Lake Huron and Georgian Bay Fishermen's Association)—	
<i>Re</i> Cold Storage Facilities for Commercial Fishermen.....	4
Meetings—	
February 24th, 10.00 a.m.....	3
March 2nd, 10.00 a.m.....	4
March 8th, 10.00 a.m.....	4
March 15th, 10.00 a.m.....	6
Middlesex Game Protective Association—	
<i>Re</i> Use of Dogs in Hunting Deer.....	5
MOODY, MR. J. M. (Kitchener)—	
<i>Re</i> Game Sanctuaries.....	5
<i>Re</i> Province-wide Gun Licenses.....	5
<i>Re</i> Use of Dogs in Hunting Deer.....	5
Muskrats—	
Committee Approves of Earlier Open Season in Southwestern Counties.....	7
N ETS, GILL—	
Recommendation of Committee <i>re</i> Sale, Purchase or Possession of.....	6
Night Hunting—	
MR. ELLIOTT (Owen Sound) <i>re</i>	5
Night Lines—	
Committee Recommends Prohibition of Use of.....	6
North Bay Tourist Trade Association—	
<i>Re</i> Use of Dogs in Hunting Deer.....	5
O NTARIO FEDERATION OF ANGLERS—	
<i>Re</i> Fishing Regulations.....	4
P ARTRIDGE—	
Open Season for, Discussed.....	5
Open Season Recommended by Committee.....	6
Perth Anglers' Association—	
<i>Re</i> Full-time Warden for Stratford District.....	4

	PAGE
Peterborough Game Protective Association—	
<i>Re</i> Use of Dogs in Hunting Deer.....	5
PUTHERBOUGH, MR. JOHN (London)—	
<i>Re</i> Use of Dogs in Hunting Deer.....	5
R ABBITS—	
Committee's Recommendation <i>re</i> Use of Hands when Hunting with Ferrets.....	7
Recommendations by Fish and Game Committee—	
1. <i>Re</i> Prohibiting Use of Night Lines and Other Unauthorized Methods of Taking Fish.....	6
2. <i>Re</i> Catch of Fish when a Guide is Engaged.....	6
3. <i>Re</i> Prohibiting the Purchase, Sale or Possession of Gill Nets, Except under Authority of a License.....	6
4. <i>Re</i> Prohibiting Use of Spears in Taking Fish.....	6
5. <i>Re</i> Prohibiting Use of High-powered Rifles for Game Shooting in Essex County..	6
6. <i>Re</i> Open Season for Partridge, Dates to be Set by Department.....	6
7. <i>Re</i> Open Season for Speckled Trout.....	6
8. <i>Re</i> Prohibiting Use of Hands when Rabbit Hunting with Ferrets.....	7
9. <i>Re</i> Paying Bounty on Wolf Pups.....	7
Rifles, High-powered—	
Committee's Recommendation <i>re</i> Use of, in Essex County.....	6
ROBERTSON, MR. WILLIAM (Middlesex Game Protective Association)—	
<i>Re</i> Use of Dogs in Hunting Deer.....	5
S HEEDY, MR. JAMES—	
<i>Re</i> Fishing Regulations.....	4
SIMPSON, MR. (Lake Huron & Georgian Bay Fishermen's Association)—	
<i>Re</i> Cold Storage Facilities for Commercial Fishermen.....	4
Snares—	
Committee Approve of Recommendation <i>re</i> Prohibiting Use of Snares in County of Victoria.....	7
Spears—	
Committee's Recommendation <i>re</i> Prohibiting Use of.....	6
T AYLOR, MR. (Grey North)—	
<i>Re</i> Royalty on Furs Reared on Fox Farms.....	6
TAYLOR, MR. (Lake Huron & Georgian Bay Fishermen's Association)—	
<i>Re</i> Cold Storage Facilities for Commercial Fishermen.....	4
TAYLOR, MR. C. B. (St. Thomas)—	
<i>Re</i> Use of Dogs in Hunting Deer.....	5
TAYLOR, MR. J. A. (Wallaceburg)—	
<i>Re</i> Season for Bass Fishing.....	4
Trout, Speckled—	
Committee's Recommendation <i>re</i> Open Season.....	6
V ERMIN, BOUNTY ON—	
MR. W. ELLIOTT <i>re</i>	5
Victoria County—	
Committee approve of Recommendation <i>re</i> Prohibiting Use of Snares in.....	7

WARDEN FOR STRATFORD DISTRICT—

	PAGE
MR. F. H. GREEN, <i>re</i>	4
Weasel, Control of—	
MR. ELLIOTT <i>re</i>	5
Wolf Bounty—	
MR. BURNS (Sault Ste. Marie) <i>re</i>	5
MR. WM. GASTLE (Hamilton) <i>re</i>	5
Recommendation of Committee <i>re</i> Bounty on Wolf Pups	7

INDEX

TO APPENDIX No. 2

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC ACCOUNTS
SESSION OF 1932

	PAGE
A DMINISTRATIVE BUILDING AT O.A.C., GUELPH—	
MR. HOGARTH examined by MR. NIXON	30
MR. HOGARTH re-examined by MR. NIXON	57
Cost of Advertising for Tenders for Construction of	33
Robert Simpson Company supplied Linoleum to	33
Statement submitted <i>re</i> Tenders for Construction of	58
Jackson-Lewis Company lowest Tenderers	58
Statement submitted <i>re</i> Total Cost of Power House, and Sections 1 and 2	61
Statement submitted showing Payments to date <i>re</i> Administration Buildings and Students' Residence, Sections 1, 2 and 3	62
Statement submitted <i>re</i> Cost of Administration Building to March 21st, 1932	63
Statement submitted <i>re</i> Cost of Dormitories	63
Automobile Service for President, O.A.C., Guelph—	
Supplied by Department of Agriculture	36
B ABCOCK, WILCOX—	
<i>Re</i> Central Heating Plant, O.A.C.	41
B AYLY, E., K.C., Deputy Attorney-General—	
MR. SINCLAIR moves Resolution <i>re</i> Appearance of, before Committee	15
Examined by MR. SINCLAIR	16
Submits Report <i>re</i> Appointment of MR. HOGG	18
Examined by MR. FINLAYSON	25
Re-examined by MR. SINCLAIR	28
B EATTY BROS., Fergus—	
Supplied Fittings for Dairy Barn at O.A.C., Guelph	68
B eaharnois Investigation—	
BAYLY, E., Deputy Attorney-General, examined	16
HOGG, FREDERICK D. (Ottawa), examined	43
B ENNETT, RT. HON. R. B.—	
Resolution by, <i>re</i> Appointment of Beauharnois Parliamentary Investigation Committee	19
B LACK, HON. W. D.—	
Elected as Chairman of the Committee	15
C ANADIAN PACIFIC RAILWAY—	
Railway Siding and Cost of, at O.A.C., Guelph	40
C ANNON, HON. MR.—	
Represented Quebec at Beauharnois Parliamentary Investigation Committee	47

	PAGE
Central Heating System at O.A.C., Guelph—	
MR. HOGARTH examined by MR. NIXON	41
MR. HOGARTH re-examined by MR. NIXON	64, 70
Statement submitted <i>re</i> Cost of	70
Chairman of the Committee—	
HON. W. D. BLACK, elected	15
D AIRY BARN at O.A.C., Guelph—	
McArthur Engineering Company did Foundation Work	68
Eastern Steel Products, Limited, Preston, erected Framework	68
Beatty Bros., Fergus, supplied Stable Fittings	68
MR. HOGARTH examined by MR. NIXON	40
MR. HOGARTH re-examined by MR. NIXON	68
Statement submitted showing Cost of	68
Distributing System at O.A.C., Guelph—	
Statement submitted showing Cost of	71
Dormitories at O.A.C., Guelph—	
Statement submitted <i>re</i> Cost of	63
Comparative Statement submitted showing Cost of Similar Buildings	63
Dormitory Rooms, Typical—	
Statement <i>re</i> Furnishings and Cost of same submitted	39
E ASTERN STEEL PRODUCTS, LIMITED, Preston—	
Erected Framework at O.A.C. Dairy Barn	68
F INLAYSON, MR.—	
Examines MR. E. BAYLY, K.C.	25
<i>Re</i> Guest Rooms at O.A.C., Guelph	42
G EOFFRION, MR., K.C.—	
Represents Province of Quebec at Beauharnois Parliamentary Committee of Investigation	18
Girls' Residence at O.A.C., Guelph—	
MR. HOGARTH examined by MR. NIXON	41
Statement submitted showing Cost of	69
Goldie, McCullough—	
<i>Re</i> Central Heating Plant, O.A.C., Guelph	41
MR. HOGARTH examined by MR. NIXON	64
Greenhouses at O.A.C., Guelph—	
Statement showing Cost of, submitted	67
Jackson-Lewis had General Trades Contract	67
King Construction Company had Superstructure	67
Greenhouses at O.A.C., Guelph—	
Sheppard & Abbott, Toronto, awarded Plumbing Contract	67
Christie, R. E., Electric Company, Guelph, awarded Contract for Electrical Work	67
Guelph Radial Track—	
Railway Siding at O.A.C., Guelph, comes off	40

	PAGE
Guest Rooms at O.A.C., Guelph—	
MR. NIXON examined MR. HOGARTH	37
Statements <i>re</i> Furniture and Cost of same submitted	37
MR. NIXON re-examined MR. HOGARTH	65
H OGG, MR. (of Hogg & Hogg, Barristers, Ottawa)—	
MR. SINCLAIR moves Resolution <i>re</i> Appearance of, before Committee	15
Examined by MR. SINCLAIR	43
Instructed by telegram to Attend Beauharnois Parliamentary Investigation Committee	43
Makes Statement Regarding his Status before Beauharnois Parliamentary Investi- gation Committee	50
Horticultural Building at O.A.C., Guelph—	
MR. NIXON examined MR. HOGARTH <i>re</i>	39
Greenhouses and Cost of	39
Statement submitted showing Cost of	66
Muntz, E. P., Limited, Toronto, constructed Building	67
Hydro—	
Supplied Material and Constructed Railway Siding at O.A.C., Guelph	40
<i>Re</i> Purchase of Beauharnois Power	29
J ACKSON-LEWIS COMPANY—	
<i>Re</i> Contract for Administration Building at O.A.C., Guelph	31
<i>Re</i> Museum Contract	32
<i>Re</i> East Block Contract	32
Lowest Tenderers for Construction of Administration Building at O.A.C., Guelph	58
Awarded General Trades Contract for O.A.C. Greenhouses	67
K ING CONSTRUCTION COMPANY—	
Awarded Contract for Superstructure at O.A.C., Greenhouses, Guelph	67
McArthur Engineering Company, Guelph—	
Do Concrete Work at O.A.C. Dairy Barn	68
M EETINGS—	
1. March 16th, 9.30 a.m.	15
2. March 18th, 10.00 a.m.	16
3. March 22nd, 10.00 a.m.	43
MORIN, MR. (Beauce)—	
Retained as Associate-Counsel by Beauharnois Parliamentary Investigation Committee	18
Muntz, E. P., Limited, Toronto—	
Built Horticultural Building at O.A.C., Guelph	67
Museum—	
Contract let by Museum Board	32
O RDER-IN-COUNCIL—	
Authorizing Beauharnois Power Purchase by Hydro	29

POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS—

	PAGE
Extract from page 820, Report of Special Committee.....	47
President's House at O.A.C., Guelph—	
Cost of Furnishing three years ago.....	65
No Government Furniture in Present Residence.....	65
President's Office at O.A.C., Guelph—	
MR. NIXON examined MR. HOGARTH <i>re</i> Furnishings.....	34
Statement Exhibited showing Cost of Furniture.....	34
MR. HIPEL examined MR. HOGARTH.....	35
PRICE, HON. W. H.—	
Conducts Election of Chairman.....	15
Public Parlor at O.A.C., Guelph—	
MR. NIXON examined MR. HOGARTH <i>re</i>	38
Statements <i>re</i> Furnishings and Cost of same, submitted.....	38
Public Works Department—	
Construction Work at O.A.C., Guelph.....	31

RAILWAY SIDING at O.A.C., Guelph—

Cost of, and Location Discussed.....	40
Comes off Guelph Radial Track.....	40
Canadian Pacific Railway <i>re</i> Cost of.....	40
Hydro-Electric Supplied Material and did Construction Work.....	40
MR. HOGARTH re-examined.....	68
Statement submitted showing Total Cost of.....	68

ST. LAWRENCE RIVER DEVELOPMENT—

Discussed.....	20, 43
MR. HOGG informs Beauharnois Parliamentary Investigation of his Status.....	49
Sheppard & Abbott, Toronto—	
Awarded Plumbing Contract at O.A.C. Greenhouses.....	67
Simpson, Robert, Company—	
<i>Re</i> Linoleum Supplied by, at Administration Building, O.A.C., Guelph.....	33
SINCLAIR, MR.—	
Resolution by, <i>re</i> Appearance before Committee of, MR. E. BAYLY, K.C.....	15
Resolution by, <i>re</i> Appearance before Committee of MR. HOGG, of Hogg & Hogg, Ottawa.....	15
Examined MR. E. BAYLY, K.C.....	16
Re-examined MR. E. BAYLY, K.C.....	28
Students' Residence at O.A.C., Guelph—	
<i>See</i> Administrative Building.	
SWEEZEY, MR. R. O.—	
Extract from Evidence of, before Beauharnois Parliamentary Investigation Committee.....	47

TILLEY, MR.—

Retained by Beauharnois Parliamentary Investigation Committee.....	18
--	----